



# Online LROI annual report 2023

Joint arthroplasty data to 31 December 2022

## Introduction


# Welcome to LROI Report 2023

This online annual report 2023 of the Dutch Arthroplasty Register (LROI) contains trends and outcome information on primary and revision hip, knee, ankle, shoulder, elbow, wrist and finger arthroplasties in the Netherlands between 2007 and 2022. Since 2007, the LROI has been collecting data on hip and knee procedures, on ankle, shoulder, and elbow procedures since 2014, and on wrist and finger procedures since 2016.

## What's new

## Survival outcomes are available for all joints

**FIGURE** First revision outcomes of primary THA, TKA, ankle, shoulder, elbow, wrist and finger arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2007-2022




**TABLE**

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
THP	422,181	1.61 (1.57-1.65)	2.56 (2.51-2.61)	3.21 (3.15-3.27)	3.77 (3.70-3.84)	4.64 (4.56-4.72)	6.02 (5.88-6.16)
TKP	335,039	0.95 (0.91-0.98)	3.26 (3.20-3.32)	4.23 (4.16-4.30)	4.90 (4.82-4.98)	5.74 (5.64-5.84)	6.74 (6.60-6.88)
Ankle	1,100	0.86 (0.30-1.43)	3.82 (2.57-5.07)	6.29 (4.54-8.04)	7.62 (5.44-9.80)	n.a.	n.a.
Shoulder	26,112	2.17 (1.99-2.35)	4.47 (4.19-4.75)	5.59 (5.26-5.92)	6.47 (6.07-6.87)	n.a.	n.a.
Elbow	1,211	3.46 (2.41-4.51)	7.52 (5.90-9.14)	9.59 (7.67-11.51)	12.42 (9.77-15.07)	n.a.	n.a.
Wrist	501	2.61 (1.15-4.07)	6.18 (3.81-8.55)	6.81 (4.14-9.48)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Finger	1,331	0.80 (0.30-1.29)	2.07 (1.22-2.92)	2.23 (1.33-3.13)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: THA: total hip arthroplasty; TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

© LROI 2023

**FIGURE** Second revision outcomes of primary THA, TKA, shoulder and elbow arthroplasties after first revision procedure in the Netherlands in 2007-2022



**TABLE**

	Number (n)	Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr
THP	13,847	8.22 (7.75-8.69)	12.44 (11.86-13.02)	14.65 (14.00-15.30)	16.42 (15.69-17.15)	18.37 (17.50-19.24)
TKP	10,751	5.33 (4.90-5.76)	11.97 (11.31-12.63)	15.60 (14.82-16.38)	17.76 (16.88-18.64)	19.58 (18.54-20.62)
Shoulder	1,060	11.02 (9.08-12.96)	16.45 (14.02-18.88)	17.90 (15.27-20.53)	19.57 (16.12-23.02)	n.a.
Elbow	96	13.25 (6.25-20.25)	29.92 (18.96-40.88)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

© LROI 2023

### Survival outcomes of hip, knee, ankle, shoulder and elbow arthroplasties are expanded. Examples are:

- survival by year of surgery by fixation of primary [THA](#) and [TKA](#);
- survival by year of surgery by approach of primary [THA](#);
- survival according to province of residence of the patient of [THA](#) and [TKA](#);
- survival according to articulation and PE type of [THA](#) and [TKA](#);
- survival by year of [reverse TSA](#), [anatomical TSA](#) and [hemi](#);
- survival according to patient characteristics of [ankle](#) and [total elbow](#) arthroplasties;
- survival by year of revision [THA](#) and [TKA](#).

### This year PROMs response rate are shown by hospital volume:

- of patients who underwent a primary [total hip arthroplasty](#) for osteoarthritis;
- of patients who underwent a primary [total knee arthroplasty](#) for osteoarthritis;
- of patients who underwent a primary [total \(anatomical or reverse\) shoulder arthroplasty](#) for osteoarthritis.

## Contact

### **Dutch Arthroplasty Register (LROI)**

Bruistensingel 230 | 5232 AD 's-Hertogenbosch | The Netherlands

+31(0) 73 700 3420 | [lroi@orthopeden.org](mailto:lroi@orthopeden.org)

[www.lroi.nl](http://www.lroi.nl)

## Colophon

**Citation:** LROI, 2023. *Annual report 2023*. Retrieved from <https://www.lroi-report.nl/> (accessed [access-date]).

### Analyses and editorial board

I.M.A. (Ilse) de Reus MSc, researcher, LROI head office, 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands  
Dr.ir. S.A.M. (Shirley) Baert, data manager, LROI head office, 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands  
N. (Nikki) van Mil, secretary, LROI head office, 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands

### LROI executive board

G.A.W. (Geke) Denissen MSc, director, LROI head office, 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands  
B. (Bart) Pijls, medical director, LROI head office, 's-Hertogenbosch, the Netherlands

### LROI supervisory board

Dr. J.W.K. (Jan Willem) Louwerens, *chairman, orthopaedic surgeon, Sint Maartenskliniek Nijmegen, the Netherlands*  
Drs. A.M.E. (Anouk) Giesberts, *secretary general, orthopaedic surgeon, Maxima Medisch Centrum Eindhoven, the Netherlands*  
Dr. J.J. (Jeroen) Nieuwenhuis, *treasurer, orthopaedic surgeon, VieCuri MC, Venlo, the Netherlands*  
Dr. W.P. (Wierd) Zijlstra, *NOV member, orthopaedic surgeon, Medisch Centrum Leeuwarden, the Netherlands*  
Drs. M. (Matthijs) van Essen, *extern member*

### LROI scientific advisory board

Dr. R.W. (Reinoud) Brouwer, *chairman, orthopaedic surgeon, Martini Ziekenhuis, Groningen, the Netherlands*  
Drs. C.M. (Christine) Catlender, *patient representative, NPCF, Utrecht, the Netherlands*  
Mr. drs. B.J. (Bert Jan) Groenink, *privacy jurist, Catharina Ziekenhuis, Eindhoven, The Netherlands*  
Dr. G. (Gerjon) Hannink, *epidemiologist, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands*  
Dr. A. (Anne) Karelse, *orthopaedic surgeon, ZorgSaam Zeeuws-Vlaanderen, Terneuzen, the Netherlands*  
Dr. M.P. (Matthijs) Somford, *orthopaedic surgeon, Rijnstate, Arnhem, the Netherlands*  
Dr. S.L. (Stéphanie) van der Pas, *statistician, Amsterdam UMC, Amsterdam, the Netherlands*  
Dr. E.M. (Ewald) Bronkhorst, *biostatistician, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands*  
Dr. D. (Dennis) Janssen, *biomechanical engineer, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands*  
Dr. R.C.I. (Rutger) van Geenen, *orthopaedic surgeon, Amphia, Breda, the Netherlands*  
Dr. M.G.J. (Maaike) Gademan, *epidemiologist, LUMC, Leiden, the Netherlands*  
Prof. dr. B.W. (Wim) Schreurs, *auditor, orthopaedic surgeon, Radboudumc, Nijmegen, the Netherlands*

### Orthopaedic association

Netherlands Orthopaedic Association (NOV)

### Layout

SDB groep BV, Almere, the Netherlands

### Photography

Puur Pulles Fotografie, Hilversum, the Netherlands

Werry Crone, Utrecht, the Netherlands

### Site by

GoPublic, Amsterdam, the Netherlands

© 's-Hertogenbosch, Netherlands Orthopaedic Association (NOV), LROI organization, [www.lroi.nl](http://www.lroi.nl)

All information in this report was composed with the utmost care. If any changes or modifications will be made after publication, these will be published on this website.

All rights reserved. No part of this document or any of its contents may be reproduced, copied, modified, adapted, stored in an automated data file or made public, in any form or in any way, electronic, nor mechanic, nor by photocopies, recordings or in any other way, without prior written consent of the LROI.

## Contents

<b>Introduction .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>Contact.....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>Colophon.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>Contents.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Hip arthroplasty .....</b>	<b>18</b>
Numbers.....	18
Registered procedures .....	18
Type of procedures .....	18
Type of procedure per hospital.....	19
Type of hospital – primary .....	19
Type of hospital – revision .....	20
Total hip arthroplasty.....	20
Demographics .....	20
Patient characteristics by diagnosis .....	20
Surgical techniques .....	21
Surgical approach.....	21
Fixation.....	21
Prosthesis characteristics and materials.....	22
ODEP acetabular component.....	22
ODEP femoral component .....	22
Femoral head diameter.....	23
Dual mobility .....	23
Cemented acetabular component .....	24
Uncemented acetabular component.....	24
Inlay .....	25
Femur component .....	25
Femoral head component.....	26
Articulation.....	26
Bone cement.....	27
Antibiotics .....	27
Viscosity .....	27
Vacuum mixing system .....	28
Most frequently registered .....	28
Components acetabulum.....	28
Components femur .....	29
Types of bone cement.....	29
Practice variation .....	29
Surgical approach.....	29
Fixation.....	30

Femoral head diameter.....	30
Articulation.....	30
Hip hemiarthroplasty .....	31
Demographics .....	31
Surgical techniques .....	32
Surgical approach.....	32
Fixation.....	32
Most frequently registered.....	33
Components.....	33
Types of bone cement.....	33
Hip revision arthroplasty.....	34
Type of revision .....	34
Reasons for revision.....	34
Surgical techniques .....	35
Dual mobility .....	35
Fixation.....	35
Bone cement antibiotics .....	36
Most frequently registered.....	36
Most frequently registered acetabulum components.....	36
Most frequently registered femur components .....	37
Most frequently registered types of bone cement.....	37
Survival total hip arthroplasty.....	38
Revision within 1 and 3 years .....	38
By type of revision within 1 year.....	38
By type of revision within 3 year.....	38
First major or minor revision .....	38
Overall revision per hospital .....	39
Major revision per hospital.....	40
Reasons for revision by type of revision .....	40
Time after primary THA.....	41
Revision by patient characteristics .....	41
THA by patient province .....	41
THA by pre-PROM .....	42
THA by complete PROM (pre-, 3mnd, 12 mnd) .....	43
THA by pre-OHS .....	44
THA by gender.....	45
THA by age category .....	46
THA by diagnosis .....	47
THA by ASA score .....	48
THA by BMI category.....	49
THA by Charnley score .....	50

THA by Smoking .....	51
Revision by procedure characteristics .....	52
THA by type of revision .....	52
THA by procedure year .....	53
THA cemented by procedure year .....	54
THA uncemented by procedure year .....	55
THA anterior approach by procedure year .....	56
THA posterior approach by procedure year .....	57
THA by procedure side .....	58
THA by approach .....	58
THA by femoral head size .....	59
THA by articulation .....	60
THA by PE type .....	61
THA by modularity .....	62
THA by ODEP 5A or higher acetabulum .....	63
THA by ODEP 5A or higher femur .....	63
THA by cemented material acetabulum .....	64
THA by cemented material femur .....	65
THA by uncemented material femur .....	66
THA by uncemented material inlay .....	67
Revision per component name .....	68
Cemented primary THA – overall revision .....	68
Uncemented primary THA – overall revision .....	69
Cemented primary THA – major revision .....	71
Uncemented primary THA – major revision .....	72
Bone cement .....	73
Rerevision .....	74
Overall second revision .....	74
By procedure year of first major revision .....	75
By type of first revision .....	76
By time to first revision .....	77
By fixation of primary THA .....	78
Reasons for seconds revision by type of first revision .....	78
Survival resurfacing hip arthroplasty .....	79
PROMs .....	80
Response .....	80
Response pre-PROM per hospital .....	80
Response PROM trajectory per hospital .....	81
Mean scores .....	82
NRS (rest) .....	82
NRS (activity) .....	83

EQ5D index score .....	84
EQ5D thermometer.....	85
HOOS-PS score .....	86
Oxford Hip score .....	87
Anchor question: Daily functioning.....	88
<b>Knee arthroplasty.....</b>	<b>89</b>
Numbers.....	89
Registered procedures .....	89
Type of procedures .....	89
Type of procedure per hospital.....	90
Type of hospital - primary .....	90
Type of hospital - revision.....	91
Type of procedure by type of hospital.....	91
Type of primary knee prosthesis by type of hospital.....	92
Type of primary knee prosthesis by age category .....	92
Patient characteristics.....	93
By type of knee prosthesis .....	93
By diagnosis.....	94
Total knee arthroplasty.....	94
Surgical techniques .....	94
Surgical approach.....	94
Fixation.....	95
Prosthesis characteristics.....	95
ODEP rating .....	95
Type of femur component .....	96
Implantation of patella .....	96
Materials .....	97
Femur component .....	97
Tibia component .....	97
Insert .....	98
Patella component.....	98
Bone cement .....	99
Antibiotics .....	99
Viscosity .....	99
Vacuum mixing system .....	100
Most frequently registered.....	100
Total knee prostheses .....	100
Types of bone cement.....	100
Practice variation .....	101
Surgical approach.....	101
Fixation.....	101

Type of femur component .....	101
Implantation of patella .....	102
Unicondylar knee arthroplasty .....	102
Surgical techniques .....	102
Surgical approach.....	102
Fixation.....	103
Most frequently registered.....	103
Unicondylar knee prostheses.....	103
Types of bone cement.....	103
Patellofemoral knee arthroplasty .....	104
Surgical techniques .....	104
Surgical approach.....	104
Fixation.....	104
Most frequently registered.....	104
Patellofemoral knee prostheses .....	104
Types of bone cement.....	105
Knee revision arthroplasty .....	105
Type of revision .....	105
Reasons for revision.....	105
Surgical techniques .....	106
Fixation.....	106
Conversion to TKA.....	106
Bone cement antibiotics .....	107
Most frequently registered.....	108
Components.....	108
Types of bone cement.....	109
Survival total knee arthroplasty .....	109
Revision within 1 and 3 years .....	109
By type of revision within 1 year.....	109
By type of revision within 3 year.....	109
First major or minor revision .....	110
Overall revision per hospital .....	110
Major revision per hospital.....	111
Reasons for revision by type of revision .....	111
Time after primary TKA.....	111
Revision by patient characteristics .....	112
TKA by patient province .....	112
TKA by pre-PROM.....	113
TKA by complete PROM (pre-, 6mnd, 12 mnd).....	114
TKA by pre-OKS .....	115
TKA by gender .....	116

TKA by age category.....	117
TKA by diagnosis .....	118
TKA by ASA score .....	119
TKA by BMI category.....	120
TKA by Charnley score.....	121
TKA by smoking.....	122
Revision by procedure characteristics .....	123
TKA by type of revision .....	123
TKA by procedure year.....	124
TKA cemented by procedure year .....	125
TKA uncemented by procedure year .....	126
TKA by procedure side .....	127
TKA by ODEP 5A or higher.....	127
TKA by type of femur .....	128
TKA by PE type .....	129
Revision per component name.....	130
Cemented primary TKA – overall revision .....	130
Uncemented primary TKA – overall revision .....	132
Cemented primary TKA – major revision.....	133
Uncemented primary TKA – major revision.....	134
Bone cement TKA.....	135
Rerevision.....	136
Overall second revision.....	136
By procedure year of first major revision .....	137
By type of first revision .....	138
By time to first revision .....	139
Reasons for seconds revision by type of first revision.....	140
Survival unicondylar knee arthroplasty .....	141
UKA by type pf revision.....	141
UKA by procedure year .....	142
UKA cemented by procedure year .....	143
UKA uncemented by procedure year.....	144
UKA by component .....	145
UKA by fixation.....	146
UKA by pre-PROM .....	147
PROMs.....	148
Response .....	148
Response TKA.....	148
Response pre-PROM per hospital .....	148
Response PROM trajectory per hospital.....	149
Response UKA .....	149

Mean scores (pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months) .....	150
NRS (rest) .....	150
NRS (activity) .....	151
EQ5D index score .....	152
EQ5D thermometer.....	153
KOOS-PS score.....	154
Oxford Knee score.....	155
NRS (satisfaction) .....	156
Anchor questions .....	157
<b>Ankle arthroplasty .....</b>	<b>158</b>
Numbers.....	158
Registered procedures .....	158
Type of procedures .....	158
Type of procedure per hospital.....	158
Type of hospital.....	159
Primary ankle arthroplasty .....	160
Demographics .....	160
Patient characteristics by diagnosis.....	160
Surgery and prosthesis.....	160
Surgical approach.....	160
Medial malleolus osteotomy .....	161
Extension heel cord.....	161
Most frequently registered ankle prostheses.....	161
Ankle revision arthroplasty .....	162
Type of revision.....	162
Reasons for revision.....	162
Survival.....	163
Revision by patient characteristics .....	163
By gender .....	163
By age category.....	164
By BMI .....	165
Revision by procedure characteristics .....	166
Overall.....	166
By previous surgery.....	167
<b>Shoulder arthroplasty .....</b>	<b>168</b>
Numbers.....	168
Registered procedures .....	168
Procedures .....	168
Type of procedure per hospital.....	168
Type of hospital.....	169
Type of primary shoulder prosthesis by type of hospital .....	169

Type of primary shoulder prosthesis by age category.....	170
Primary shoulder arthroplasty .....	171
Demographics .....	171
Patient characteristics by type of shoulder prosthesis.....	171
Surgical techniques .....	172
Surgical approach by type of shoulder prosthesis.....	172
Fixation by type of shoulder prosthesis.....	172
Bone cement.....	173
Antibiotics by type of shoulder prosthesis.....	173
Viscosity by type of shoulder prosthesis.....	173
Vacuum mixing system by type of shoulder prosthesis.....	174
Most frequently registered components.....	174
Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty .....	174
Total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty.....	175
Shoulder hemiarthroplasty .....	175
Most frequently registered types of bone cement.....	176
Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty .....	176
Total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty.....	176
Shoulder hemiarthroplasty .....	176
Shoulder revision arthroplasty.....	177
Type of revision.....	177
Reasons for revision.....	177
Surgical techniques .....	178
Fixation.....	178
Conversion to TSA.....	178
Conversion to hemi.....	179
Bone cement antibiotics .....	179
Most frequently registered .....	180
Components .....	180
Types of bone cement.....	180
Survival overall.....	181
Revision within 1 and 3 years .....	181
By type of shoulder arthroplasty .....	181
By type of revision within 1 year.....	181
Time after primary reverse TSA .....	181
Time after primary anatomical TSA .....	181
Time after primary hemi .....	182
Reasons for revision by type of shoulder.....	182
Revision within 7 years .....	183
Overall .....	183
By type of shoulder arthroplasty .....	184

By procedure year.....	185
Survival reverse total shoulder arthroplasty .....	186
Revision by patient characteristics .....	186
Reverse TSA by gender.....	186
Reverse TSA by age category .....	187
Reverse TSA by diagnosis .....	188
Reverse TSA by ASA score.....	189
Reverse TSA by BMI category .....	190
Reverse TSA by Walch score .....	191
Reverse TSA by smoking .....	192
Revision by procedure characteristics .....	193
Reverse TSA by procedure year .....	193
Survival total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty.....	194
Revision by patient characteristics .....	194
Anatomical TSA by gender .....	194
Anatomical TSA by age category.....	195
Anatomical TSA by diagnosis .....	196
Anatomical TSA by ASA score .....	197
Anatomical TSA by BMI category .....	198
Anatomical TSA by Walch score.....	199
Anatomical TSA by smoking .....	200
Revision by procedure characteristics .....	201
Anatomical TSA by procedure year.....	201
Survival hemi.....	202
Revision by procedure characteristics .....	202
Hemi by procedure year .....	202
Response .....	203
Response .....	203
Response pre-PROM per hospital .....	203
Mean scores (pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months) .....	204
NRS (rest) .....	204
NRS (activity).....	205
EQ5D index score .....	206
EQ5D thermometer.....	207
Oxford Shoulder score .....	208
Recommendation.....	209
Anchor questions .....	210
<b>Elbow arthroplasty .....</b>	<b>211</b>
Numbers.....	211
Registered procedures .....	211
Type of procedures .....	211

Type of procedure per hospital.....	211
Type of hospital.....	212
<b>Primary elbow arthroplasty .....</b>	<b>212</b>
Demographics .....	212
Patient characteristics by type of elbow prosthesis .....	212
Surgical techniques .....	213
Surgical approach.....	213
Fixation.....	213
Bone cement.....	214
Antibiotics .....	214
Viscosity .....	214
Vacuum mixing system .....	215
Most frequently registered.....	215
Elbow prostheses .....	215
Types of bone cement.....	215
Elbow revision arthroplasty .....	216
Type of revision.....	216
Reasons for revision.....	216
Surgical techniques .....	217
Fixation.....	217
Conversion to TEA.....	217
Bone cement antibiotics .....	218
Most frequently registered components.....	218
Most frequently registered types of bone cement.....	218
Survival Overall .....	219
Overall .....	219
By type of elbow arthroplasty.....	220
Survival TEA.....	220
Revision by patient characteristics .....	220
TEA by gender .....	220
TEA by age category .....	221
TEA by BMI .....	221
<b>Wrist arthroplasty .....</b>	<b>222</b>
Numbers.....	222
Registered procedures .....	222
Type of procedure.....	222
Type of procedure per hospital.....	222
Primary wrist arthroplasty .....	223
Demographics .....	223
Patient characteristics.....	223
Surgery and prosthesis.....	223

Most frequently registered components.....	223
Wrist revision arthroplasty .....	224
Type of revision.....	224
Reasons for revision.....	224
Most frequently registered components.....	224
Survival.....	225
Overall .....	225
<b>Finger arthroplasty .....</b>	<b>226</b>
Numbers.....	226
Registered procedures .....	226
Type of procedure .....	226
Type of procedure per hospital.....	226
Type of primary finger prosthesis .....	227
Primary finger arthroplasty.....	227
Demographics .....	227
Patient characteristics by specialism .....	227
Surgery and prosthesis.....	228
Surgical approach.....	228
Soft tissue stabilisation .....	228
Most frequently registered components.....	228
Finger revision arthroplasty .....	229
Type of revision .....	229
Reasons for revision.....	229
Survival.....	230
Overall .....	230
<b>Data quality.....</b>	<b>231</b>
Completeness.....	231
Coverage and completeness .....	231
Overall completeness per arthroplasty.....	231
Completeness per year .....	232
Completeness primary THA per hospital .....	233
Completeness primary hip hemiarthroplasties per hospital .....	233
Completeness hip revision arthroplasties per hospital .....	234
Completeness primary knee arthroplasties per hospital.....	234
Completeness knee revision arthroplasties per hospital.....	234
Completeness primary ankle arthroplasties per hospital.....	235
Completeness primary shoulder arthroplasties per hospital .....	235
Completeness shoulder revision arthroplasties per hospital .....	236
Completeness primary elbow arthroplasties per hospital.....	236
Completeness elbow revision arthroplasties per hospital.....	236
Completeness primary wrist arthroplasties per hospital .....	237

Completeness wrist revision arthroplasties per hospital .....	237
Completeness primary finger arthroplasties per hospital .....	238
Completeness finger revision arthroplasties per hospital .....	238
Validity .....	239
Overall validity .....	239
Validity per variable .....	239
<b>General .....</b>	<b>240</b>
Methodology of survival analyses.....	240
Participating hospitals.....	241
General hospitals .....	241
University medical centres.....	242
Private hospitals.....	242
Definitions and abbreviations .....	243
Definitions .....	243
Abbreviations .....	249

# Hip arthroplasty

## Numbers

### Registered procedures

**TABLE Number of registered hip arthroplasties per year of surgery (2007-2022) in the LROI in April 2023**


Year of surgery	Type of hip arthroplasty					Total (n)
	Total arthroplasty (n)	Hemi-arthroplasty (n)	Resurfacing arthroplasty (n)	Unknown/missing (n)	Revision arthroplasty (n)	
2007	8,901	1,056	452	933	1,268	12,610
2008	15,452	1,524	731	395	1,858	19,960
2009	22,106	2,145	864	298	2,679	28,092
2010	23,913	2,423	610	296	2,951	30,193
2011	24,357	2,519	229	349	3,197	30,651
2012	25,730	2,897	10	420	3,766	32,823
2013	26,236	3,069	2	286	3,517	33,110
2014	28,191	3,766	0	152	3,583	35,692
2015	28,870	4,967	15	69	3,834	37,755
2016	29,571	5,450	16	103	3,883	39,023
2017	30,264	5,946	5	52	3,871	40,138
2018	31,635	6,384	2	26	3,843	41,890
2019	33,080	6,314	1	37	3,835	43,267
2020	27,193	6,597	0	18	3,466	37,274
2021	31,567	6,193	0	18	3,563	41,341
2022	36,707	6,077	0	32	3,592	46,408
Total	423,773	67,327	2,937	3,484	52,706	550,227

© LROI 2023

The LROI is nearly complete as of 2010. Therefore, a dotted line was inserted between 2009 and 2010.

### Type of procedures

**FIGURE Number of primary total hip arthroplasties and hip revision arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**




THA: total hip arthroplasty.

Out of 36,707 primary total hip arthroplasties that were performed in 2022,  
2.8% (n=1,018) was performed bilaterally.

## Type of procedure per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of primary total hip arthroplasties and hip revision arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=40,299)



THA: total hip arthroplasty.

## Type of hospital – primary

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of hospital performing primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



Please note: The number of general hospitals that performed primary total hip arthroplasties increased from 72 to 73 between 2013-2022; the number of private hospitals increased from 6 to 16 and the number of University Medical Centers remained 7 between 2013-2022.

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

## Type of hospital – revision

**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of hospital performing hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**



Type of hospital (%)											
General hospital	88.48	87.50	86.05	86.97	85.71	84.99	86.62	84.91	84.34	84.55	<b>86.01</b>
Private hospital	1.54	1.20	1.17	1.11	1.11	1.48	1.49	1.59	2.33	2.34	<b>1.52</b>
University Medical Center	9.98	11.30	12.78	11.92	13.17	13.53	11.89	13.50	13.33	13.11	<b>12.46</b>
Total (n):	<b>3,517</b>	<b>3,583</b>	<b>3,834</b>	<b>3,883</b>	<b>3,871</b>	<b>3,843</b>	<b>3,835</b>	<b>3,466</b>	<b>3,563</b>	<b>3,592</b>	<b>36,987</b>

Please note: The number of general hospitals that performed hip revision arthroplasties decreased from 72 to 65 between 2013-2022; the number of private hospitals increased from 5 to 9 and the number of University Medical Centers remained 7 between 2013-2022.  
RA: revision arthroplasty.

## Total hip arthroplasty

### Demographics

#### Patient characteristics by diagnosis

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary total hip arthroplasty by diagnosis in the Netherlands in 2022**

	Osteoarthritis	Fracture	Osteonecrosis	Late post-traumatic	Dysplasia	Rheumatoid arthritis	Post-Perthes disease	Tumour	Total
N (%)	31,602 (86.1)	2,115 (5.8)	902 (2.5)	845 (2.3)	533 (1.5)	140 (0.4)	82 (0.2)	78 (0.2)	36,707
Mean age (years) (SD)	70.0 (9.8)	70.3 (8.7)	62.5 (16.0)	67.4 (12.3)	51.6 (14.7)	62.8 (14.7)	50.0 (17.1)	63.3 (12.8)	69.4 (10.5)
Age (years) (%)									
<50	2	1	19	8	45	17	44	14	4
50-59	13	8	19	16	27	21	22	16	13
60-69	29	34	23	29	14	29	21	41	29
70-79	40	45	25	32	11	21	13	23	39
≥80	16	12	14	15	2	12	0	6	15
Gender (%)									
Men	36	36	47	46	28	25	66	44	36
Women	64	64	53	54	72	75	34	56	64
ASA score (%)									
I	14	12	9	13	30	1	28	3	14
II	62	54	52	50	59	71	66	27	60
III-IV	24	34	39	37	11	28	6	70	26
Type of hospital (%)									
General	79	96	82	88	73	86	73	67	80
UMC	1	4	9	6	10	7	6	32	2
Private	20	0	9	6	17	6	21	1	18
Charnley-score (%)									
A One hip joint affected	40	59	57	75	52	29	73	75	42
B1 Both hip joints affected	32	16	20	9	26	24	15	21	31
B2 Contralateral hip joint with a total hip prosthesis	25	18	18	10	19	20	12	0	24
C Multiple joints affected or chronic disease that affects quality of life	3	7	5	6	3	27	0	4	3
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	27.4 (4.5)	24.9 (4.1)	26.7 (5.2)	25.8 (4.5)	26.2 (4.9)	26.9 (4.5)	27.6 (4.4)	26.6 (4.5)	27.2 (4.6)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)									
Underweight (<18.5)	1	4	4	4	2	3	0	1	1
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	33	54	38	43	45	31	34	40	35
Overweight (>25-30)	42	32	34	38	34	47	41	37	41
Obesity (>30-40)	23	10	23	14	18	18	25	21	22
Morbid obesity (>40)	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	1	1
Smoking (%)									
No	91	85	81	84	87	91	73	91	90
Yes	9	15	19	16	13	9	27	9	10


Please note: in 2022, 249 (0.7%) patients received a primary THA after a diagnosis that is not listed in the table. Of 143 (0.4%) primary THAs the diagnosis was not registered.  
General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation; THA: total hip arthroplasty.

© LROI 2023

## Surgical techniques

### *Surgical approach*

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in surgical approach for performing a primary total hip arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2013-2022




### *Surgical approach (%)*

Posterolateral	61.81	62.00	60.64	59.30	55.63	55.18	52.42	49.92	47.34	45.92	<b>54.64</b>
Anterior	9.96	12.38	16.80	20.92	27.76	31.91	37.70	41.30	44.36	44.87	<b>29.62</b>
Straight lateral	21.53	20.17	17.02	13.49	10.62	7.38	5.19	4.08	3.52	3.50	<b>10.22</b>
Anterolateral	6.61	5.35	5.09	5.48	4.98	4.44	3.45	2.04	2.34	2.07	<b>4.10</b>
Direct superior	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.28	0.65	0.80	0.82	1.71	2.03	3.48	<b>1.05</b>
Other	0.09	0.11	0.44	0.54	0.36	0.28	0.42	0.94	0.42	0.16	<b>0.37</b>
Total (n):	26,056	28,028	28,793	29,555	30,240	31,613	33,055	27,157	31,423	36,554	302,474

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

## Fixation

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



### *Fixation (%)*


Uncemented	62.76	61.62	63.64	64.05	65.60	66.53	67.73	69.00	69.33	68.05	<b>65.97</b>
Cemented	28.06	27.87	26.09	26.06	25.27	24.08	22.67	21.00	20.30	20.36	<b>24.00</b>
Hybrid	4.63	4.79	4.91	4.90	5.28	6.27	6.69	7.03	7.75	8.60	<b>6.18</b>
Reversed hybrid	4.55	5.72	5.37	4.99	3.85	3.12	2.91	2.97	2.62	2.99	<b>3.85</b>

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

## Prosthesis characteristics and materials

### *ODEP acetabular component*

**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in ODEP rating acetabulum component in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**




Please note: More information on ODEP rating can be found on [www.odep.org.uk](http://www.odep.org.uk).

THA: total hip arthroplasty.


### *ODEP femoral component*

**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in ODEP rating femur component in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**




Please note: More information on ODEP rating can be found on [www.odep.org.uk](http://www.odep.org.uk).

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

*Femoral head diameter***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in femoral head component diameter in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022


Femoral head size (%)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
22-28 mm	30.74	27.19	23.38	21.22	16.54	13.02	11.49	10.70	10.19	10.56	17.11
32 mm	47.81	52.86	55.57	57.00	63.03	65.53	66.65	65.48	63.76	61.98	60.23
36 mm	21.12	19.66	20.83	21.48	20.15	21.10	21.52	23.44	25.72	27.08	22.34
>= 38 mm	0.33	0.30	0.22	0.30	0.28	0.34	0.34	0.37	0.33	0.39	0.32
Total (n):	25,914	27,882	28,618	28,780	29,492	30,810	31,555	25,206	31,016	36,073	295,346

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

*Dual mobility***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of acetabulum component in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

Type of acetabulum component (%)	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Dual mobility cup	1.43	1.73	1.94	2.59	3.17	3.47	4.26	5.47	5.78	5.18	3.57
Normal cup	98.57	98.27	98.06	97.41	96.83	96.53	95.74	94.53	94.22	94.82	96.43
Total (n):	26,042	28,008	28,709	28,951	29,668	31,004	31,977	25,803	31,249	36,255	297,666

THA: total hip arthroplasty.


*Cemented acetabular component***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in cemented acetabulum material in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Cemented acetabulum material (%)**

Standard PE	68.34	63.09	58.96	57.32	57.27	45.39	39.50	33.33	30.75	33.80	<b>49.93</b>
Cross-linked PE	25.31	30.89	34.29	34.19	31.54	35.71	35.28	44.63	43.80	42.17	<b>35.29</b>
Stainless steel	3.28	3.65	4.68	7.02	9.14	11.09	14.94	19.74	23.82	21.85	<b>11.26</b>
Cross-linked PE+AO	3.00	2.14	1.91	1.25	1.82	7.59	9.97	2.11	1.44	1.85	<b>3.30</b>
Cobalt chrome	0.07	0.22	0.17	0.23	0.22	0.22	0.32	0.19	0.20	0.34	<b>0.22</b>
Total (n):	<b>8,421</b>	<b>9,358</b>	<b>8,918</b>	<b>8,875</b>	<b>8,468</b>	<b>8,266</b>	<b>8,008</b>	<b>6,252</b>	<b>6,966</b>	<b>7,956</b>	<b>81,488</b>

Please note: Titanium was used in 10 (0.01%) primary THAs in 2013-2022.


Please note: Stainless steel was used in cemented dual mobility cups.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; PE: polyethylene; AO: antioxidant.

*Uncemented acetabular component***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in uncemented acetabulum material in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Uncemented acetabulum material (%)**

Titanium	96.94	97.53	97.61	97.54	97.05	97.31	96.47	93.88	96.15	96.39	<b>96.67</b>
Tantalum	1.85	1.87	1.73	1.97	2.23	2.23	2.97	5.33	2.96	2.22	<b>2.54</b>
Stainless steel	0.26	0.26	0.29	0.27	0.38	0.26	0.40	0.70	0.78	0.83	<b>0.46</b>
Cobalt chrome	0.95	0.34	0.36	0.21	0.34	0.19	0.17	0.10	0.11	0.56	<b>0.33</b>
Total (n):	<b>17,620</b>	<b>18,649</b>	<b>19,790</b>	<b>20,076</b>	<b>21,200</b>	<b>22,738</b>	<b>23,963</b>	<b>19,547</b>	<b>24,276</b>	<b>28,283</b>	<b>216,142</b>


THA: total hip arthroplasty.

*Inlay***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in inlay material in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

## Inlay material (%)

Cross-linked PE	62.32	68.64	69.95	70.52	75.23	80.27	80.32	82.62	79.27	78.06	<b>75.39</b>
Cross-linked PE+AO	10.25	12.14	14.38	15.07	13.21	9.87	8.57	7.68	10.87	12.26	<b>11.38</b>
Ceramics/Zo	12.80	10.51	9.81	9.18	7.34	7.15	7.76	6.13	6.02	5.62	<b>7.96</b>
Standard PE	13.49	8.50	5.60	5.15	4.08	2.62	3.14	3.25	3.45	3.22	<b>4.89</b>
Cobalt chrome	0.94	0.15	0.14	0.05	0.11	0.08	0.19	0.31	0.40	0.56	<b>0.29</b>
Ceramics	0.20	0.06	0.12	0.03	0.02	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.00	0.29	<b>0.08</b>
Total (n):	<b>16,183</b>	<b>17,337</b>	<b>18,511</b>	<b>19,074</b>	<b>20,545</b>	<b>22,163</b>	<b>23,419</b>	<b>18,857</b>	<b>24,150</b>	<b>27,319</b>	<b>207,558</b>


THA: total hip arthroplasty; PE: polyethylene; AO: antioxidant; Zo: Oxidized Zirconium.

*Femur component***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in femur component material in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

## Femur material (%)

Titanium	67.80	67.65	69.46	69.27	69.34	70.19	70.54	70.53	71.93	71.67	<b>69.93</b>
Cobalt chrome	25.27	25.06	23.50	23.60	23.89	23.94	23.71	22.12	22.10	21.40	<b>23.40</b>
Stainless steel	6.94	7.29	7.04	7.12	6.77	5.87	5.75	7.35	5.96	6.93	<b>6.67</b>
Total (n):	<b>25,937</b>	<b>27,929</b>	<b>28,586</b>	<b>28,715</b>	<b>29,531</b>	<b>30,909</b>	<b>31,759</b>	<b>25,133</b>	<b>31,016</b>	<b>36,061</b>	<b>295,576</b>


THA: total hip arthroplasty.

**Femoral head component****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in femoral head material in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Femoral head material (%)**

Ceramics/Zo	54.30	54.91	56.47	59.65	63.21	65.99	70.61	68.66	72.82	75.85	<b>64.74</b>
Cobalt chrome	30.45	30.39	29.11	27.47	26.04	22.90	18.51	19.46	16.36	16.56	<b>23.41</b>
Oxidized Zirconium	5.74	6.03	6.03	6.79	6.80	8.95	10.06	11.57	10.67	7.57	<b>8.04</b>
Ceramics	8.82	8.01	7.69	5.39	3.69	2.07	0.72	0.23	0.09	0.01	<b>3.49</b>
Stainless steel	0.70	0.66	0.70	0.71	0.27	0.08	0.10	0.09	0.06	0.02	<b>0.32</b>
Total (n):	<b>25,915</b>	<b>27,875</b>	<b>28,618</b>	<b>28,780</b>	<b>29,489</b>	<b>30,812</b>	<b>31,557</b>	<b>25,205</b>	<b>31,010</b>	<b>36,073</b>	<b>295,334</b>

Please note: A titanium femoral head was used in 14 (<0.01%) primary THAs in 2013-2022. A standard PE femoral head was used in 7 (<0.01%) primary THAs in 2013-2022.


THA: total hip arthroplasty; PE: polyethylene; Zo: Oxidized Zirconium.

**Articulation****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in articulation in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Articulation (%)**

CoP	54.26	55.73	57.46	58.73	61.73	63.20	65.38	63.98	68.55	71.59	<b>62.44</b>
MoP	30.88	31.40	29.92	28.23	26.23	22.69	18.56	19.45	15.68	15.70	<b>23.54</b>
ZoP	5.79	6.10	6.07	6.84	6.81	8.83	10.09	11.72	10.74	7.67	<b>8.08</b>
CoC	8.46	6.73	6.50	6.18	5.16	5.22	5.82	4.62	4.72	4.62	<b>5.75</b>
MoM	0.59	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.04	0.12	0.17	0.11	0.13	<b>0.12</b>
CoM	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.02	0.03	0.06	0.20	0.30	<b>0.07</b>
Total (n):	<b>25,389</b>	<b>27,329</b>	<b>28,154</b>	<b>28,352</b>	<b>29,135</b>	<b>30,303</b>	<b>31,039</b>	<b>24,633</b>	<b>30,399</b>	<b>34,761</b>	<b>289,494</b>

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CoP: Ceramics-on-polyethylene; MoP: Metal-on-polyethylene; CoC: Ceramics-on-ceramics; ZoP: Oxidized Zirconium-on-polyethylene; MoM: Metal-on-Metal; CoM: Ceramics-on-Metal; MoC: Metal-on-ceramics.

Please note: MoC was used in 4 (<0.01%) primary THAs in 2013-2022.

**Bone cement****Antibiotics****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of antibiotics in bone cement in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Bone cement antibiotics (%)**


Gentamicin	92.20	93.00	94.03	94.94	96.13	96.61	99.00	98.69	99.20	99.37	<b>96.28</b>
Erythromycin+Colistin	3.05	3.65	3.21	2.87	2.63	2.76	0.47	0.41	0.14	0.07	<b>1.96</b>
Tobramycin	4.05	2.86	2.31	1.85	0.98	0.98	0.32	0.17	0.13	0.04	<b>1.31</b>
Gentamicin+Clindamycin	0.33	0.28	0.36	0.29	0.22	0.26	0.25	0.50	0.44	0.30	<b>0.32</b>
No antibiotics	0.33	0.20	0.07	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.01	0.01	0.05	0.01	<b>0.07</b>
Gentamicin+Vancomycin	0.03	0.01	0.01	0.04	0.02	0.05	0.10	0.26	0.12	0.04	<b>0.06</b>
Total (n):	<b>9,401</b>	<b>10,249</b>	<b>9,869</b>	<b>9,746</b>	<b>9,901</b>	<b>9,676</b>	<b>9,696</b>	<b>7,813</b>	<b>9,114</b>	<b>10,869</b>	<b>96,334</b>

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

**Viscosity****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in bone cement viscosity in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Bone cement viscosity (%)**

High	87.54	88.43	90.64	92.05	93.84	94.05	95.68	95.98	96.85	97.52	<b>93.22</b>
Medium	12.45	11.56	9.36	7.95	6.15	5.95	4.32	4.02	3.13	2.48	<b>6.78</b>
Low	0.01	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.01	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.02	0.00	<b>0.01</b>
Total (n):	<b>9,401</b>	<b>10,249</b>	<b>9,869</b>	<b>9,746</b>	<b>9,901</b>	<b>9,676</b>	<b>9,696</b>	<b>7,813</b>	<b>9,114</b>	<b>10,869</b>	<b>96,334</b>

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

*Vacuum mixing system***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

THA: total hip arthroplasty; Separately packed: separately packed bone cement components; Pre-packed: bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system.

**Most frequently registered***Components acetabulum***TABLE** The most frequently registered acetabulum (both cemented and uncemented) components in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Acetabulum cemented (n)	8,332	8,064	6,345	7,087	8,250
<b>Acetabulum name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Avantage Cemented	9.6	12.9	16.4	19.5	17.4
Muller low profile Durasul	16.3	15.9	15.4	18.7	16.0
IP Cup	14.4	14.5	13.5	13.0	12.6
FAL Cup	10.1	11.1	7.1	6.7	11.7
Marathon	2.8	3.0	10.8	8.7	8.7
Exeter Rimfit X3	8.4	8.4	9.2	6.6	7.3
CCB cup Low Profile	3.1	1.8	5.0	4.7	4.5
IP Cup X-Linked	2.6	2.9	2.5	3.3	3.7
Reflection All Poly XLPE	4.2	3.8	3.3	3.2	2.3
Polarcup cemented	0.8	1.4	2.4	2.6	2.3

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Acetabulum uncemented (n)	22,457	23,621	19,206	23,860	27,736
<b>Acetabulum name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Allofit	32.6	35.7	32.0	34.9	37.6
Pinnacle	21.6	19.8	19.5	20.5	19.4
G7 PPS	0.0	0.0	1.3	5.8	9.3
R3	11.3	13.0	14.4	13.3	8.9
Trident	6.6	6.9	7.7	8.9	7.3
RM Pressfit Vitamys cup	2.9	3.5	4.3	3.7	3.7
Trident Titanium	3.0	3.5	3.5	0.6	2.5
Pinnacle Gription	0.8	0.9	2.4	2.2	2.4
Continuum	2.2	2.9	5.1	2.9	2.1
Delta-TT	1.6	1.8	1.6	1.5	1.7

© LROI 2023

## Components femur

**TABLE** The most frequently registered femur (both cemented and uncemented) components in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Femur cemented (n)	9,241	9,269	7,305	8,589	10,172
Femur name; Proportion (%)					
Lubinus SPII	37.1	37.4	33.8	34.5	36.7
Original ME Muller	25.5	27.0	27.8	28.3	28.7
Exeter	12.5	11.7	12.5	9.5	9.0
Taperloc Hip Cemented CoCr	1.5	1.5	2.7	6.2	6.3
C-Stem AMT	2.7	2.4	6.7	5.8	5.6
Twinsys stem Cemented	1.5	1.9	1.9	1.3	3.5
CPT	0.1	1.3	1.6	3.7	3.2
Spectron EF	5.3	4.0	2.8	2.7	1.9
Stanmore	8.5	8.5	2.1	0.7	1.5
Corail Cemented	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.7	0.6

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Femur uncemented (n)	21,456	22,198	17,582	22,126	25,626
Femur name; Proportion (%)					
Taperloc Complete	28.9	32.3	27.1	30.6	31.5
Corail	22.1	19.9	20.3	20.1	19.4
Accolade II	1.0	3.9	7.8	10.0	11.2
Polarstem	10.1	13.1	14.6	13.7	10.1
Avenir Muller	0.1	0.0	1.2	2.2	5.2
Fitmore	0.2	1.2	1.9	4.2	3.6
Corail AMT	1.2	1.7	2.4	3.3	3.6
Twinsys stem Cementless	4.4	4.7	4.2	3.5	3.4
M/L Taper	2.4	2.6	3.2	2.1	2.3
CLS Spotorno	4.1	3.3	3.2	2.2	2.2

© LROI 2023

## Types of bone cement

**TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	4,504	5,120	4,100	5,097	6,434
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	45.0	47.3	51.2	50.9	58.9
Refabacina Bone Cement R	50.2	46.9	41.3	43.5	34.9
Refabacina Plus Bone Cement	4.8	5.8	7.4	5.6	6.2


Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	5,135	4,509	3,660	3,941	4,329
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	83.6	86.5	82.6	87.5	88.8
Palacos MV+G	4.6	5.0	4.2	3.7	4.3
Refabacina Bone Cement R	2.3	3.2	7.2	3.8	4.2
Subiton G	0.7	2.9	3.2	2.5	1.2
Copal G+C	0.4	0.3	0.6	0.8	0.6

© LROI 2023

## Practice variation

### Surgical approach


**FIGURE** Distribution of surgical approach used during primary total hip arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=36,547)



THA: total hip arthroplasty.

**Fixation**


**FIGURE** Distribution of type of fixation used during primary total hip arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=36,530)



THA: total hip arthroplasty.

**Femoral head diameter**


**FIGURE** Distribution of diameter femoral head used during primary total hip arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=36,067)



THA: total hip arthroplasty.

**Articulation**

**FIGURE** Distribution of articulation used during primary total hip arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=34,755)



THA: total hip arthroplasty; CoP: Ceramics-on-polyethylene; MoP: Metal-on-polyethylene; ZoP: Oxidized Zirconium-on-polyethylene; CoC: Ceramics-on-ceramics; MoM: Metal-on-Metal; CoM: Ceramics-on-Metal.

## Hip hemiarthroplasty

### Demographics

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a primary hip hemiarthroplasty by specialism in the Netherlands in 2022**

N	Orthopaedic surgeon 4,317 (70.6%)	Trauma surgeon 1,789 (29.4%)	Total 6,077
Mean age (years) (SD)	81.1 (9.0)	82.0 (7.8)	81.3 (8.7)
Age (years) (%)			
<50	1	0	0
50-59	2	1	2
60-69	6	5	6
70-79	29	26	28
≥80	62	68	64
Gender (%)			
Men	36	38	37
Women	64	62	63
ASA score (%)			
I	1	1	1
II	28	24	27
III-IV	71	75	72
Type of hospital (%)			
General	97	96	97
Private	0	0	0
UMC	3	4	3
Diagnosis (%)			
Fracture (acute)	93	99	94
Osteoarthritis	4	0	3
Late post-traumatic	1	0	1
Tumour	1	1	1
Osteonecrosis	0	0	0
Dysplasia	0	0	0
Rheumatoid arthritis	0	0	0
Post-Perthes' disease	0	0	0
Inflammatory arthritis	0	0	0
Other	1	0	1
Charlson-score (%)			
A One hip joint affected	51	34	47
B1 Both hip joints affected	17	42	22
B2 Contralateral hip joint with a total hip prosthesis	24	16	23
C Multiple joints affected or chronic disease that affects quality of life	8	8	8
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	24.6 (4.4)	24.3 (3.7)	24.5 (4.2)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)			
Underweight (<18.5)	5	5	5
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	55	57	55
Overweight (>25-30)	30	32	31
Obesity (>30-40)	9	6	8
Morbid obesity (>40)	1	0	1
Smoking (%)			
No	91	91	91
Yes	9	9	9


Please note: in 2022, 65 general hospitals, 7 UMCs and 7 private hospital performed primary hip hemiarthroplasties.  
 General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.

© LROI 2023

## Surgical techniques

### *Surgical approach*

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in surgical approach for performing a primary hip hemiarthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



Surgical approach (%)

Posterolateral	50.48	50.99	50.27	47.37	46.88	48.27	43.76	41.45	42.76	44.00	<b>46.07</b>
Straight lateral	37.22	35.29	33.27	35.48	32.98	32.07	35.57	27.60	33.21	31.13	<b>33.02</b>
Anterolateral	10.81	11.08	13.58	13.40	13.83	12.64	11.67	10.40	10.85	9.53	<b>11.79</b>
Anterior	1.36	2.60	2.77	3.62	6.04	6.62	8.67	11.62	12.75	13.95	<b>7.69</b>
Direct superior	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.12	0.11	0.16	0.53	0.38	1.25	<b>0.29</b>
Other	0.13	0.03	0.11	0.13	0.15	0.29	0.17	8.39	0.05	0.13	<b>1.14</b>
Total (n):	3,025	3,726	4,758	5,442	5,909	6,236	6,287	6,565	6,055	6,020	<b>54,023</b>

HA: hemiarthroplasty.

## Fixation

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in primary hip hemiarthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



Fixation (%)

Cemented	62.94	64.98	67.38	72.69	78.57	80.04	83.92	83.73	86.13	85.30	<b>78.21</b>
Uncemented	37.06	35.02	32.62	27.31	21.43	19.96	16.08	16.27	13.87	14.70	<b>21.79</b>
Total (n):	2,933	3,692	4,693	5,342	5,809	6,106	6,188	6,378	6,063	5,974	<b>53,178</b>

HA: hemiarthroplasty.

## Most frequently registered

### Components

**TABLE** The most frequently registered femur and femoral head components in primary hip hemiarthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Femur component (n)	5,997	5,913	6,079	5,894	5,700
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Original ME Muller	24.3	28.2	28.3	25.0	25.4
Lubinus SPII	18.8	20.8	18.6	19.7	24.5
C-Stem AMT	4.1	4.1	4.7	6.7	6.0
Spectron EF	7.8	7.4	7.6	9.4	5.8
CPT	0.0	0.1	0.1	4.5	5.2
Corail Cemented	2.0	2.2	1.7	3.0	4.6
CCA stem	8.6	6.5	10.0	8.5	3.5
EXETER	5.1	5.5	4.9	1.4	3.5
ACCOLADE II	0.0	0.9	2.5	2.8	3.0
Lubinus Classic Plus	0.0	1.7	2.7	3.1	3.0

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Femoral head component (n)	5,877	5,841	6,152	5,839	5,702
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Zimmerbiomet Unipolar Head	30.2	32.6	33.2	27.9	27.5
Link CoCr head	18.3	20.1	19.0	21.6	24.6
Modular Cathcard Unipolar head	10.0	9.6	9.9	13.4	13.6
Versys Endo	1.0	1.0	0.9	5.6	6.7
Stainless Steel head	9.5	7.9	10.9	9.3	5.8
UHR Unitrax	8.9	7.5	6.9	3.7	5.4
Smith & Nephew CoCr head	3.3	3.9	3.3	6.4	3.8
Smith & Nephew Uni-polar	7.1	6.0	5.9	4.1	2.4
ZimmerBiomet CoCr head	0.1	0.0	0.2	1.0	2.3
Link Modular trauma head	0.1	1.7	1.7	1.0	1.8

© LROI 2023

### Types of bone cement

**TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during primary hip hemiarthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	2,189	2,541	2,569	2,892	2,937
<b>Cement name; Proportion (%)</b>					
PALACOS R+G	49.7	42.8	48.3	48.9	49.3
Refabacinc Bone Cement R	41.1	45.7	41.7	44.6	45.3
Refabacinc Plus Bone Cement	9.2	11.5	10.0	6.4	5.5
Cemex Genta	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0


Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	1,901	1,667	1,710	1,585	1,475
<b>Cement name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Palacos R+G	77.3	77.4	64.2	76.1	79.1
Copal G+C	0.9	5.9	7.2	10.9	11.5
Palacos MV+G	3.7	5.2	4.8	6.1	6.4
Refabacinc Bone Cement R	3.6	3.4	16.4	1.1	1.2
Subiton G	1.6	3.7	4.7	4.5	0.9

© LROI 2023

## Hip revision arthroplasty

### Type of revision

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of revision in hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



RA: revision arthroplasty.

Major partial revision: revision of at least acetabulum or femur component.

Minor partial revision: only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIR procedures).

Unknown partial revision: partial revision of which the revised components were unknown.


In 1,120 (63%) major partial hip revision arthroplasties the acetabulum component was revised and in 668 (37%) major partial revision arthroplasties the femur component was revised in 2022.

### Reasons for revision


**TABLE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in reasons for revision in patients who underwent a hip revision arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Hip revision arthroplasty (n)	3,583	3,834	3,883	3,871	3,843	3,835	3,466	3,563	3,592	33,470
<b>Reasons for revision: Proportion<sup>1</sup> (%)</b>										
Loosening of acetabulum component	26.4	24.8	22.3	21.8	21.1	20.6	18.5	16.8	18.3	21.2
Infection	12.3	17.9	19.4	21.2	20.7	22.7	25.0	25.3	23.9	20.9
Dislocation	19.1	19.9	19.4	17.8	18.9	18.5	17.6	18.9	17.4	18.6
Loosening of femur component	20.9	19.5	18.8	18.2	19.2	17.2	17.0	17.1	15.6	18.2
Inlay wear	20.1	19.6	18.3	18.2	16.0	15.8	13.4	13.1	13.1	16.5
Peri-prosthetic fracture	11.7	11.4	12.5	14.7	14.4	14.5	17.1	16.2	17.0	14.3
Girdlestone situation	6.4	5.7	6.1	5.2	4.8	4.5	4.3	4.9	4.2	5.1
Symptomatic MoM bearing	5.8	4.6	3.9	2.7	2.7	2.8	2.6	2.3	2.3	3.3
Peri-articular ossification	2.6	2.0	2.3	1.5	1.3	1.1	1.2	1.2	1.0	1.6
Other	11.6	11.3	10.6	10.1	11.3	12.8	10.8	11.8	10.4	11.2


<sup>1</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.

**Surgical techniques*****Dual mobility*****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of acetabulum component in hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

RA: revision arthroplasty.

**Fixation****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

RA: revision arthroplasty.

**Bone cement antibiotics****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of antibiotics in bone cement in hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Most frequently registered****Most frequently registered acetabulum components****TABLE** The most frequently registered acetabulum (both cemented and uncemented) components in hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Acetabulum cemented (n)	1,421	1,396	1,208	1,411	1,335
Acetabulum name; Proportion (%)					
Avantage Cemented	53.4	54.2	56.5	60.2	52.5
Polarcup cemented	12.0	14.4	14.7	11.3	12.5
Trabecular Metal	1.3	3.1	5.5	6.4	8.5
Bi-Mentum Cemented Cups	0.0	0.0	0.2	5.0	5.2
BiMobile DM	0.1	0.4	1.2	1.6	3.4
Exeter Rimfix X3	6.4	4.0	2.9	2.6	2.6
DS Evolution	2.9	1.9	2.2	2.8	2.3
FAL Cup	2.8	2.7	0.9	0.9	2.2
Muller low profile Durasul	3.0	1.6	2.1	1.1	1.4
Marathon	1.8	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.4

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Acetabulum uncemented (n)	546	508	428	447	440
Acetabulum name; Proportion (%)					
Continuum	23.4	17.5	22.2	16.3	13.0
Allofit	8.4	8.9	6.5	11.0	9.5
G7 OsseoTi	0.0	0.0	0.2	3.4	9.1
Delta-One TT	11.2	11.0	11.7	10.5	8.6
Avantage Reload	5.5	4.9	10.0	7.6	7.0
G7 PPS	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	3.9
DS Evolution	1.5	1.6	1.2	2.7	3.6
Trident	10.8	10.0	7.7	6.9	3.6
Redapt	0.2	0.4	2.6	2.9	3.4
Avantage Cemented	1.6	3.3	2.8	4.3	3.0

© LROI 2023

***Most frequently registered femur components*****TABLE** The most frequently registered femur (both cemented and uncemented) components in hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Femur cemented (n)	663	635	602	616	585
<b>Femur name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Lubinus SPII	25.9	26.8	21.4	22.7	27.0
Exeter	30.8	20.9	24.4	21.1	22.4
Original ME Muller	9.5	10.4	10.8	6.8	10.4
CPT	0.8	6.0	6.6	11.2	5.3
Spectron EF	9.5	7.6	7.3	4.9	4.8
C-Stem AMT	2.7	1.9	4.5	4.2	4.6
C-Stem AMT Long	1.2	2.0	2.8	6.2	4.1
TAPERLOC Hip Cemented CoCr	0.2	0.5	1.0	2.8	3.2
MP Reconstruction Prosthesis	2.6	2.8	3.0	4.7	3.1
Twinsys stem Cemented	2.7	3.5	2.3	1.9	2.6

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Femur uncemented (n)	764	730	706	781	784
<b>Femur name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Arcos	9.4	9.9	12.3	22.7	21.8
MP Reconstruction Prosthesis	10.7	13.4	12.9	18.8	16.3
Restoration Modular	20.3	21.9	17.4	10.5	12.9
Redapt	3.3	7.7	10.5	8.2	9.9
Revitan	9.4	7.8	10.1	6.1	4.3
Corail Revision	4.6	3.3	1.8	3.1	3.8
Alloclassic SLL	6.2	4.5	3.1	3.2	3.4
Taperloc Complete	3.1	1.5	3.0	2.9	3.3
Wagner SL	2.1	4.1	3.4	3.8	2.8
MRP-Titan	0.4	1.5	1.3	0.6	2.4

© LROI 2023

***Most frequently registered types of bone cement*****TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during hip revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	337	470	460	575	561
<b>Cement name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Palacos R+G	30.9	35.7	52.0	56.7	58.3
Refabacin Bone Cement R	62.6	54.3	40.7	36.5	35.5
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	5.9	8.9	5.9	2.8	3.9
Refabacin Revision	0.6	1.1	1.5	4.0	2.3

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	1,330	1,140	900	911	866
<b>Cement name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Palacos R+G	34.4	38.5	31.8	32.1	35.9
Copal G+C	29.2	28.3	29.7	35.3	29.4
Refabacin Bone Cement R	13.5	12.6	14.7	15.3	16.7
Copal G+V	1.4	5.1	6.0	6.5	5.4
Palacos MV+G	4.1	3.6	4.0	3.1	4.7

© LROI 2023

## Survival total hip arthroplasty

### Revision within 1 and 3 years

#### *By type of revision within 1 year*

**TABLE Cumulative 1-year revision percentage of primary total hip arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=153,183)**

	Cumulative 1-year revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
Any type of revision <sup>1</sup>	1.8 (1.8-1.9)
Major revision <sup>2</sup>	1.0 (1.0-1.1)
Only acetabulum	0.3 (0.3-0.3)
Only femur	0.5 (0.4-0.5)
Acetabulum and femur	0.2 (0.2-0.2)
Minor revision <sup>3</sup>	0.8 (0.8-0.8)
DAIR	0.6 (0.5-0.6)
No DAIR	0.2 (0.2-0.3)

<sup>1</sup> Any type of revision includes minor and major revisions as well as revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.

<sup>2</sup> Revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.

<sup>3</sup> Only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIR procedures).

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2017-2021, 1,860 (1.2%) primary THAs were implanted in patients who died within one year after the primary procedure.

#### *By type of revision within 3 year*

**TABLE Cumulative 3-year revision percentage of primary total hip arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2015-2019 (n=152,915)**

	Cumulative 3-year revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
Any type of revision <sup>1</sup>	2.7 (2.6-2.8)
Major revision <sup>2</sup>	1.8 (1.7-1.8)
Only acetabulum	0.7 (0.6-0.7)
Only femur	0.8 (0.7-0.8)
Acetabulum and femur	0.4 (0.4-0.4)
Minor revision <sup>3</sup>	0.9 (0.8-0.9)
DAIR	0.6 (0.5-0.6)
No DAIR	0.3 (0.3-0.3)

<sup>1</sup> Any type of revision includes minor and major revisions as well as revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.

<sup>2</sup> Revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.

<sup>3</sup> Only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIR procedures).

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2015-2019, 6,782 (4.4%) primary THAs were implanted in patients who died within three years after the primary procedure.

#### *First major or minor revision*

**TABLE Cumulative 1-year first revision percentage of primary total hip arthroplasties by type of first major or minor revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=153,183)**

	Cumulative 1-year first revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
First major revision <sup>1</sup>	1.1 (1-1.1)
Acetabulum	0.6 (0.6-0.7)
Femur	0.8 (0.7-0.8)
First minor revision <sup>2</sup>	0.8 (0.8-0.8)
Inlay	0.4 (0.3-0.4)
Femoral head	0.8 (0.7-0.8)


<sup>1</sup> First revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

<sup>2</sup> Only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIR procedures).

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023


In 2017-2021, 1,860 (1.2%) primary THAs were implanted in patients who died within one year after the primary procedure.

*Overall revision per hospital***FIGURE** Funnel plot of proportion of hip revision arthroplasties within one year after a total hip arthroplasty per hospital in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=153,183)

Please note: The proportions of revisions within 1 year per hospital were adjusted for casemix factors age, gender, ASA score, BMI, smoking, charnley score and diagnosis (osteoarthritis versus other).

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CL: control limits; CI: confidence interval.

**The mean 1-year revision percentage is 1.8 (95% CI: 1.8-1.9 ) in the Netherlands in 2017-2021.  
Control limits indicate the plausible range of outcome if all hospitals perform equally well.**

**Major revision per hospital****FIGURE** Funnel plot of proportion of hip major revision arthroplasties within one year after a total hip arthroplasty per hospital in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=153,183)

Please note: Major revision is defined as revision of at least acetabulum or femur component.

Please note: The proportions of revisions within 1 year per hospital were adjusted for casemix factors age, gender, ASA score, BMI, smoking, charnley score and diagnosis (osteoarthritis versus other).

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CL: control limits; CI: confidence interval.

**The mean 1-year major revision percentage is 1.0 (95% CI: 1.0-1.1) in the Netherlands in 2017-2021.  
Control limits indicate the plausible range of outcome if all hospitals perform equally well.**

**Reasons for revision by type of revision****TABLE** Reasons for revision within one year of primary total hip arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021

Reasons for revision	Major revision <sup>1</sup> (n=1,605)	Minor revision <sup>2</sup> (n=1,242)	Any type of revision <sup>3</sup> (n=2,877)
	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)
Infection	19.3	71.1	39.6
Dislocation	32.2	13.6	24.7
Peri-prosthetic fracture	29.7	1.7	18.3
Loosening of femur component	16.3	0.6	9.8
Loosening of acetabulum component	8.6	0.1	4.9
Girdlestone situation	3.1	0.0	1.4
Inlay wear	0.6	0.6	0.6
Peri-articular ossification	0.6	0.1	0.5
Symptomatic MoM bearing	0.0	0.0	0.0
Other	11.0	13.5	12.3

<sup>1</sup> First revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefore, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

<sup>2</sup> Only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIR procedures).

<sup>3</sup> Any type of revision includes all first revisions, including revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.


<sup>4</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.

*Time after primary THA***TABLE** Time after primary total hip arthroplasty until short-term revision in the Netherlands in 2015-2019 (n=152,915)

Time after primary THA	Percentage revisions (%)
Day 0-29	1.0
Day 30-182	0.7
Day 183-364	0.3
Day 365-730 (second year)	0.5
Day 731-1095 (third year)	0.3

THA: total hip arthroplasty.

© LROI 2023

**Revision by patient characteristics***THA by patient province***FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentages of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by patient province in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=236,338)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages


Patient province	Number (n)	Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr	
Drenthe	8,567	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	1.8 (1.5-2.1)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	2.8 (2.0-3.5)	
Flevoland	4,830	1.2 (0.9-1.5)	1.9 (1.5-2.4)	2.6 (2.1-3.1)	3.1 (2.4-3.7)	3.1 (2.4-3.7)	
Friesland	9,666	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	1.5 (1.3-1.8)	1.8 (1.5-2.1)	2.1 (1.7-2.5)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	
Gelderland	31,454	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	2.7 (2.4-2.9)	3.0 (2.7-3.3)	
Groningen	8,173	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	1.7 (1.4-2.0)	2.1 (1.7-2.4)	2.4 (2.0-2.8)	2.9 (2.3-3.4)	
Limburg	16,728	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	1.7 (1.5-2.0)	2.1 (1.8-2.3)	2.5 (2.2-2.9)	3.1 (2.6-3.5)	
Noord-Brabant	36,923	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	2.0 (1.9-2.2)	2.7 (2.5-2.9)	3.2 (2.9-3.4)	3.4 (3.1-3.6)	
Noord-Holland	36,387	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	1.5 (1.4-1.7)	1.9 (1.7-2.1)	2.2 (2.0-2.4)	2.7 (2.4-3.0)	
Overijssel	15,533	1.1 (1.0-1.3)	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	2.3 (2.1-2.6)	2.9 (2.5-3.2)	3.5 (3.0-4.1)	
Utrecht	17,059	0.9 (0.7-1.0)	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	1.9 (1.7-2.1)	2.2 (2.0-2.5)	2.6 (2.2-3.0)	
Zeeland	5,668	0.7 (0.4-0.9)	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1.3 (1.0-1.7)	1.5 (1.1-1.9)	1.7 (1.2-2.1)	
Zuid-Holland	45,350	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	1.7 (1.6-1.8)	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	3.0 (2.7-3.3)	

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

THA by pre-PROM

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by valid pre-operative PROM of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=238,517)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
Pre-PROM						
Valid	126,953	1.6 (1.5-1.6)	2.3 (2.2-2.4)	2.8 (2.7-2.9)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	3.5 (3.3-3.7)
Invalid	111,564	1.8 (1.7-1.8)	2.6 (2.5-2.7)	3.1 (3.0-3.2)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	3.9 (3.8-4.1)


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Valid: pre-operative PROM reported; Invalid: non-responders to pre-operative PROM; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

THA by complete PROM (pre-, 3mnd, 12 mnd)

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by complete PROM (complete = pre-, 3mnd and 12mnd reported) of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=238,517)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
Reported pre-, 3mnd and 12mnd PROM						
Complete	58,077	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	2.1 (1.9-2.2)	2.5 (2.3-2.7)	n.a.
Incomplete	180,440	1.9 (1.8-2.0)	2.7 (2.7-2.8)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)	3.7 (3.6-3.8)	4.1 (3.9-4.2)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: A PROM trajectory is considered complete when preoperative, 3-months postoperative and 12-months postoperative PROMs are reported.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

## THA by pre-OHS

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by pre-operative Oxford Hip score of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=114,308)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Pre-operative Oxford Hip score	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
0 to 19	40,639	1.9 (1.7-2.0)	2.7 (2.5-2.9)	3.3 (3.0-3.5)	3.9 (3.6-4.1)
20 to 29	46,940	1.6 (1.4-1.7)	2.3 (2.2-2.5)	2.8 (2.6-3.0)	3.1 (2.9-3.3)
30 to 39	24,615	1.2 (1.1-1.4)	1.9 (1.7-2.1)	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	2.8 (2.5-3.0)
40 to 48	2,114	0.9 (0.5-1.4)	1.3 (0.8-1.9)	1.5 (0.9-2.1)	1.9 (0.9-2.9)


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
PROM: patient reported outcome measure; THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The Oxford Hip score measures the physical functioning and pain of patients with osteoarthritis to the hip. The score has a range of 0.0 to 48.0, with 0.0 representing no functional ability and 48.0 the most functional ability.

## THA by gender

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by gender in the Netherlands in 2007-2022  
(n=421,488)



**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Gender</b>							
Men	144,135	1.9 (1.8-2.0)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.6 (3.5-3.7)	4.2 (4.1-4.3)	5.2 (5.0-5.3)	6.6 (6.3-6.8)
Women	277,353	1.5 (1.4-1.5)	2.4 (2.3-2.4)	3.0 (2.9-3.0)	3.5 (3.5-3.6)	4.4 (4.3-4.5)	5.7 (5.6-5.9)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## THA by age category

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by age category in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=421,825)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


Age (years)	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<50	18,157	1.6 (1.5-1.8)	3.3 (3.0-3.6)	4.6 (4.3-4.9)	5.5 (5.1-5.9)	6.9 (6.5-7.4)	9.0 (8.2-9.7)
50-59	52,315	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	3.0 (2.8-3.1)	3.9 (3.7-4.1)	4.7 (4.5-4.9)	5.8 (5.5-6.0)	7.8 (7.4-8.3)
60-69	131,393	1.6 (1.5-1.6)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	3.8 (3.7-3.9)	4.7 (4.6-4.8)	6.2 (6.0-6.5)
70-79	157,168	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	2.5 (2.4-2.5)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	4.3 (4.2-4.5)	5.4 (5.2-5.6)
≥80	62,792	1.7 (1.6-1.8)	2.3 (2.2-2.5)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)	3.0 (2.8-3.1)	3.3 (3.1-3.5)	3.6 (3.4-3.9)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**THA by diagnosis**

**FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by diagnosis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022  
(n=417,494)**



**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Diagnosis	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Osteoarthritis	362,602	1.5 (1.5-1.5)	2.4 (2.3-2.5)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.6 (3.5-3.6)	4.4 (4.3-4.5)	5.7 (5.6-5.8)
Other	54,892	2.4 (2.2-2.5)	3.6 (3.5-3.8)	4.5 (4.3-4.7)	5.2 (4.9-5.4)	6.2 (5.9-6.4)	8.1 (7.6-8.6)


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## THA by ASA score

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by ASA score in the Netherlands in 2007-2022  
(n=409,985)




**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>ASA score</b>							
I	80,230	1.1 (1.1-1.2)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)	2.9 (2.8-3)	3.5 (3.4-3.7)	4.5 (4.4-4.7)	6.1 (5.8-6.3)
II	256,244	1.6 (1.5-1.6)	2.5 (2.4-2.5)	3.1 (3-3.2)	3.6 (3.6-3.7)	4.5 (4.4-4.6)	5.8 (5.6-5.9)
III-IV	73,511	2.4 (2.3-2.5)	3.3 (3.2-3.5)	3.9 (3.7-4)	4.3 (4.2-4.5)	5.0 (4.8-5.3)	5.7 (5.4-6.1)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## THA by BMI category

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by BMI category in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=271,882)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )						
Underweight (<18.5)	2,561	1.8 (1.3-2.4)	3.1 (2.4-3.8)	3.7 (2.8-4.5)	4.3 (3.3-5.4)	n.a.
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	92,940	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)	3.2 (3.0-3.3)	3.6 (3.4-3.8)
Overweight (>25-30)	112,723	1.7 (1.6-1.7)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.4 (3.3-3.6)	3.8 (3.6-4.0)
Obesity (>30-40)	60,543	2.4 (2.3-2.5)	3.4 (3.2-3.5)	4.0 (3.8-4.1)	4.4 (4.2-4.6)	4.9 (4.6-5.2)
Morbid obesity (>40)	3,115	4.7 (3.9-5.4)	5.8 (4.9-6.6)	6.7 (5.7-7.6)	7.5 (6.4-8.6)	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## THA by Charnley score

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by Charnley score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=259,642)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
<b>Charnley-score</b>						
A One hip joint affected	115,839	1.6 (1.6-1.7)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	3.1 (3.0-3.2)	3.6 (3.4-3.7)	4.1 (3.9-4.3)
B1 Both hip joints affected	77,502	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	2.4 (2.2-2.5)	2.8 (2.7-2.9)	3.2 (3.0-3.4)	3.5 (3.3-3.7)
B2 Contralateral hip joint with a total hip prosthesis	58,775	1.9 (1.8-2.1)	2.7 (2.5-2.8)	3.2 (3.1-3.4)	3.6 (3.4-3.8)	4.0 (3.7-4.3)
C Multiple joints affected or chronic disease that affects quality of life	7,526	2.3 (1.9-2.6)	3.7 (3.2-4.1)	4.3 (3.7-4.8)	4.9 (4.2-5.5)	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

*THA by Smoking*

**FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by smoking in the Netherlands in 2014-2022  
(n=267,455)**



**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr	
<b>Smoking</b>							
No	239,071	1.8 (1.7-1.8)	2.6 (2.5-2.6)	3.1 (3.0-3.2)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	4.0 (3.8-4.1)	
Yes	28,384	2.1 (1.9-2.3)	3.1 (2.9-3.3)	3.7 (3.4-3.9)	4.2 (3.9-4.4)	4.6 (4.2-5.0)	


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## Revision by procedure characteristics

## THA by type of revision

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=422,181)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number at risk (n)	Competing Risk (95% CI)	Kaplan Meier <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)
<b>Any type of revision</b>			
1-year revision (%)	376,573	1.6 (1.6-1.7)	1.6 (1.6-1.6)
3-year revision (%)	307,019	2.5 (2.5-2.6)	2.6 (2.5-2.6)
5-year revision (%)	234,419	3.1 (3.1-3.2)	3.2 (3.2-3.3)
10-year revision (%)	90,820	4.3 (4.3-4.4)	4.6 (4.6-4.7)
14-year revision (%)	17,037	5.3 (5.2-5.4)	6.0 (5.9-6.2)
<b>Major revision<sup>2</sup></b>			
1-year revision (%)	378,448	1.1 (1.1-1.1)	1.1 (1.0-1.1)
3-year revision (%)	308,894	1.9 (1.9-1.9)	1.9 (1.9-2.0)
5-year revision (%)	235,988	2.4 (2.4-2.5)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)
10-year revision (%)	91,574	3.6 (3.5-3.6)	3.8 (3.8-3.9)
14-year revision (%)	17,194	4.5 (4.4-4.6)	5.1 (5.0-5.2)
<b>Minor revision<sup>3</sup></b>			
1-year revision (%)	380,459	0.6 (0.5-0.6)	0.6 (0.6-0.6)
3-year revision (%)	312,992	0.7 (0.7-0.7)	0.8 (0.7-0.8)
5-year revision (%)	240,760	0.8 (0.7-0.8)	0.8 (0.8-0.9)
10-year revision (%)	95,353	0.9 (0.9-0.9)	1.0 (1.0-1.0)
14-year revision (%)	18,353	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.1 (1.1-1.2)

<sup>1</sup> The cumulative revision percentage using the Kaplan Meier method is shown in the figure.<sup>2</sup> First revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.<sup>3</sup> Only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIK procedures).


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2007-2022, 62,257 (15.3%) primary THAs were implanted in patients who died within fourteen years after the primary diagnosis

## THA by procedure year


**FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary THA in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=283,342)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary THA for osteoarthritis	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
2009-2010	38,309	0.94 (0.85-1.04)	1.98 (1.84-2.12)	2.71 (2.55-2.87)	3.31 (3.13-3.49)	4.31 (4.10-4.52)	5.16 (4.92-5.40)
2011-2012	42,811	0.93 (0.83-1.02)	1.85 (1.72-1.98)	2.36 (2.21-2.51)	2.84 (2.68-3.00)	3.58 (3.40-3.76)	n.a.
2013-2014	46,740	1.05 (0.96-1.14)	1.93 (1.80-2.06)	2.46 (2.32-2.60)	2.88 (2.73-3.03)	3.46 (3.27-3.65)	n.a.
2015-2016	50,268	1.00 (0.91-1.09)	1.79 (1.67-1.91)	2.28 (2.15-2.41)	2.66 (2.52-2.80)	n.a.	n.a.
2017-2018	53,690	1.08 (0.99-1.17)	1.76 (1.65-1.87)	2.18 (2.05-2.31)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	51,524	0.95 (0.87-1.04)	1.59 (1.48-1.70)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.


© LROI 2023

**THA cemented by procedure year****FIGURE Cumulative major revision percentage of cemented total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=71,728)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
Procedure year primary cemented THA	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr
2009-2010	11,494	0.53 (0.40-0.67)	1.18 (0.98-1.38)	1.56 (1.33-1.79)	1.99 (1.73-2.25)	2.87 (2.54-3.20)
2011-2012	12,167	0.54 (0.41-0.67)	1.28 (1.08-1.48)	1.75 (1.51-1.99)	2.15 (1.88-2.42)	2.79 (2.48-3.10)
2013-2014	12,648	0.76 (0.61-0.92)	1.47 (1.26-1.68)	2.01 (1.76-2.26)	2.44 (2.16-2.72)	2.87 (2.51-3.23)
2015-2016	12,607	0.67 (0.53-0.81)	1.42 (1.21-1.63)	1.94 (1.70-2.19)	2.32 (2.05-2.59)	n.a.
2017-2018	12,449	0.83 (0.67-0.99)	1.49 (1.27-1.71)	1.92 (1.67-2.17)	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	10,363	0.67 (0.51-0.83)	1.41 (1.17-1.65)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
 THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.


© LROI 2023

**THA uncemented by procedure year****FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentage of uncemented total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=185,162)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
Procedure year primary uncemented THA	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr
2009-2010	23,429	1.12 (0.98-1.26)	2.33 (2.14-2.52)	3.27 (3.04-3.50)	3.99 (3.74-4.24)	4.97 (4.68-5.26)
2011-2012	27,054	1.07 (0.95-1.19)	2.07 (1.90-2.24)	2.59 (2.40-2.78)	3.08 (2.87-3.29)	3.83 (3.59-4.07)
2013-2014	29,399	1.15 (1.03-1.27)	2.05 (1.89-2.21)	2.54 (2.36-2.72)	2.95 (2.75-3.15)	3.45 (3.22-3.68)
2015-2016	32,682	1.12 (1.01-1.23)	1.87 (1.72-2.02)	2.33 (2.17-2.49)	2.69 (2.51-2.87)	n.a.
2017-2018	36,209	1.17 (1.06-1.28)	1.81 (1.67-1.95)	2.19 (2.04-2.34)	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	36,389	1.03 (0.93-1.13)	1.63 (1.50-1.76)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.


## THA anterior approach by procedure year

**FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentage of the anterior approach for total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=58,787)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
Procedure year primary THA by anterior	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr
2009-2010	1,556	1.03 (0.53-1.53)	3.24 (2.36-4.12)	4.03 (3.05-5.01)	4.72 (3.66-5.78)	5.16 (4.04-6.28)
2011-2012	2,810	0.89 (0.54-1.24)	1.79 (1.30-2.28)	2.02 (1.50-2.54)	2.40 (1.83-2.97)	2.89 (2.26-3.52)
2013-2014	5,589	0.86 (0.62-1.10)	1.62 (1.29-1.95)	1.96 (1.59-2.33)	2.19 (1.80-2.58)	2.99 (2.42-3.56)
2015-2016	10,172	0.69 (0.53-0.85)	1.25 (1.03-1.47)	1.47 (1.23-1.71)	1.61 (1.36-1.86)	n.a.
2017-2018	17,188	0.85 (0.71-0.99)	1.35 (1.18-1.52)	1.71 (1.51-1.91)	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	21,472	0.67 (0.56-0.78)	1.11 (0.97-1.25)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a.: if <50 cases were at risk.

## THA posterior approach by procedure year


**FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentage of the posterior approach for total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=161,584)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary THA by posterolateral	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr
2009-2010	22,427	0.98 (0.85-1.11)	2.05 (1.86-2.24)	2.92 (2.70-3.14)	3.56 (3.31-3.81)	4.68 (4.39-4.97)
2011-2012	26,414	0.95 (0.83-1.06)	1.85 (1.69-2.01)	2.42 (2.23-2.61)	2.91 (2.70-3.12)	3.68 (3.44-3.92)
2013-2014	28,669	1.10 (0.98-1.22)	2.02 (1.86-2.18)	2.57 (2.38-2.76)	3.06 (2.86-3.26)	3.70 (3.45-3.95)
2015-2016	29,718	1.11 (0.99-1.23)	1.95 (1.79-2.11)	2.52 (2.34-2.70)	2.99 (2.79-3.19)	n.a.
2017-2018	28,916	1.22 (1.09-1.35)	1.96 (1.80-2.12)	2.43 (2.25-2.61)	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	25,440	1.19 (1.06-1.32)	1.96 (1.78-2.14)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
 THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

© LROI 2023


**THA by procedure side****FIGURE Cumulative major revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure side in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=362,270)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**

Procedure side	Number (n)	Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Left	165,298	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	2.3 (2.3-2.4)	2.8 (2.7-2.9)	3.7 (3.6-3.8)	4.9 (4.7-5.0)
Right	196,972	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	2.4 (2.3-2.4)	2.9 (2.8-2.9)	3.6 (3.5-3.7)	4.8 (4.6-5.0)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**THA by approach****FIGURE Cumulative major revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by approach in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=358,792)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**


Approach	Number (n)	Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Posterolateral	199,255	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	1.9 (1.9-2.0)	2.6 (2.5-2.6)	3.1 (3.0-3.2)	3.9 (3.8-4.0)	5.2 (5.0-5.4)
Anterior	86,528	0.7 (0.7-0.8)	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	1.7 (1.6-1.8)	2.0 (1.8-2.1)	2.6 (2.3-2.8)	3.6 (3.0-4.1)
Straight lateral	50,193	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	2.3 (2.2-2.5)	2.8 (2.7-3.0)	3.5 (3.3-3.7)	4.6 (4.3-4.9)
Anterolateral	19,989	1.0 (0.8-1.1)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	3.0 (2.8-3.3)	3.9 (3.6-4.3)	5.2 (4.7-5.6)
Direct superior	2,827	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	1.2 (0.7-1.7)	1.7 (0.8-2.6)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk.

© LROI 2023

## THA by femoral head size


**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage for dislocation of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by femoral head size in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=349,595)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages for dislocation

	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Femoral head size</b>							
22-28 mm	83,450	0.6 (0.5-0.6)	0.9 (0.8-0.9)	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	1.3 (1.2-1.3)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	1.8 (1.7-2.0)
32 mm	192,130	0.4 (0.4-0.4)	0.6 (0.6-0.6)	0.7 (0.7-0.8)	0.8 (0.8-0.9)	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.1 (1.0-1.2)
36 mm	74,015	0.3 (0.3-0.3)	0.5 (0.4-0.5)	0.5 (0.5-0.6)	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	0.9 (0.7-1.1)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

## THA by articulation

**FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentages of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by articulation in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=345,444)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages


Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr
<b>Articulation</b>						
CoP	200,902	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.8 (1.7-1.8)	2.2 (2.2-2.3)	2.6 (2.6-2.7)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)
MoP	90,321	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	1.7 (1.6-1.8)	2.3 (2.2-2.4)	2.8 (2.7-2.9)	3.7 (3.5-3.8)
ZoP	25,457	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	1.7 (1.5-1.9)	2.2 (2.0-2.4)	2.7 (2.4-2.9)	3.2 (2.9-3.5)
CoC	23,506	0.8 (0.7-0.9)	1.5 (1.4-1.7)	1.9 (1.7-2.1)	2.2 (2.0-2.5)	2.9 (2.7-3.2)
MoM	5,258	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	4.5 (3.9-5.0)	7.9 (7.2-8.7)	10.1 (9.3-11.0)	12.5 (11.6-13.4)
						14.9 (13.8-15.9)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk.

## THA by PE type

**FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentages of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by inlay material in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=362,608)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)							
	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Inlay material							
XLPE	185,201	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	1.8 (1.7-1.8)	2.2 (2.2-2.3)	2.6 (2.6-2.7)	3.2 (3.1-3.4)	4.1 (3.8-4.3)
Non XLPE	177,407	0.9 (0.9-0.9)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	2.4 (2.4-2.5)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.9 (3.8-4.0)	5.2 (5.0-5.4)


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum or femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

XLPE: cross-linked polyethylene; THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## THA by modularity

**FIGURE** Cumulative femur revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by femur modularity in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=352,831)**TABLE** Cumulative femur revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative femur revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Modularity</b>							
Modular head	351,400	0.7 (0.6-0.7)	1.1 (1.1-1.2)	1.4 (1.4-1.5)	1.7 (1.6-1.7)	2.2 (2.1-2.2)	2.8 (2.7-2.9)
Modular neck	1,431	1.0 (0.5-1.5)	2.6 (1.8-3.4)	5.0 (3.9-6.2)	7.8 (6.3-9.2)	8.7 (7.2-10.2)	10.3 (8.4-12.2)

Femur revision percentage: first revision of the femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**THA by ODEP 5A or higher acetabulum****FIGURE Cumulative acetabulum revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by ODEP rating acetabulum in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n= 356,071)****TABLE Cumulative acetabulum revision percentages**

	Number (n)	Cumulative acetabulum revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>ODEP acetabulum</b>							
<5A	16,432	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	3.4 (3.1-3.6)	4.4 (4.0-4.7)	5.6 (5.2-6.0)	7.0 (6.5-7.5)
5A or higher	339,639	0.5 (0.5-0.5)	1.1 (1.1-1.1)	1.5 (1.4-1.5)	1.7 (1.7-1.8)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)	2.9 (2.8-3.0)


Acetabulum revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

ODEP rating: ODEP provides ratings for hip femoral stems, hip acetabular cups and total knee replacement implants. Detailed information can be found at [www.odep.org.uk](http://www.odep.org.uk).

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**THA by ODEP 5A or higher femur****FIGURE Cumulative femur revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by ODEP rating femur in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n= 353,885)****TABLE Cumulative femur revision percentage**


	Number (n)	Cumulative femur revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>ODEP femur</b>							
<5A	13,730	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	2.5 (2.2-2.7)	3.0 (2.7-3.3)	3.8 (3.5-4.1)	4.9 (4.4-5.3)
5A or higher	340,155	0.6 (0.6-0.7)	1.1 (1.1-1.1)	1.4 (1.3-1.4)	1.7 (1.6-1.7)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)

Femur revision percentage: first revision of the femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

ODEP rating: ODEP provides ratings for hip femoral stems, hip acetabular cups and total knee replacement implants. Detailed information can be found at [www.odep.org.uk](http://www.odep.org.uk).

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

© LROI 2023


**THA by cemented material acetabulum****FIGURE** Cumulative acetabulum revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by cemented acetabulum material in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=94,072)**TABLE** Cumulative acetabulum revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative acetabulum revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Cemented acetabulum material</b>							
Standard PE	61,878	0.7 (0.6-0.7)	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	1.9 (1.8-2.0)	2.3 (2.2-2.4)	3.1 (2.9-3.3)	4.3 (4.0-4.5)
Cross-linked PE	29,906	0.4 (0.4-0.5)	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	1.4 (1.2-1.5)	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	1.9 (1.7-2.1)	2.1 (1.8-2.4)
Cross-linked PE + Antioxidant	2,288	0.4 (0.2-0.7)	1.1 (0.7-1.6)	1.6 (1.0-2.2)	2.3 (1.4-3.2)	2.3 (1.4-3.2)	n.a.

Acetabulum revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; PE: polyethylene; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

## THA by cemented material femur

**FIGURE** Cumulative femur revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by cemented femur material in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=260,625)**TABLE** Cumulative femur revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative femur revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Cemented femur material</b>							
Cobalt chrome	16,152	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	1.5 (1.3-1.7)	1.8 (1.5-2.1)	2.3 (1.8-2.7)
Stainless steel	4,113	0.5 (0.3-0.7)	0.8 (0.5-1.1)	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	1.1 (0.7-1.5)	1.5 (0.9-2.1)	1.9 (0.9-3.0)
Titanium	360	1.4 (0.2-2.7)	2.1 (0.6-3.6)	2.1 (0.6-3.6)	3.4 (1.3-5.6)	3.4 (1.3-5.6)	n.a.


Femur revision percentage: first revision of the femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## THA by uncemented material femur

**FIGURE** Cumulative femur revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by uncemented femur material in the Netherlands in 2007-2021 (n=18,297)**TABLE** Cumulative femur revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative femur revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Uncemented femur material</b>							
Titanium	16,101	1.1 (1.0-1.3)	1.7 (1.4-1.9)	2.1 (1.8-2.3)	2.3 (2.0-2.5)	2.8 (2.5-3.2)	3.9 (3.3-4.5)
Cobalt chrome	2,196	0.3 (0.0-0.5)	0.5 (0.1-0.9)	0.6 (0.2-1.1)	0.6 (0.2-1.1)	0.6 (0.2-1.1)	n.a.

Femur revision percentage: first revision of the femur component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

## THA by uncemented material inlay

**FIGURE** Cumulative acetabulum revision percentage of total hip arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by uncemented inlay material in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=229,639)**TABLE** Cumulative acetabulum revision percentages

	Cumulative acetabulum revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Uncemented inlay material</b>							
Cross-linked PE	161,064	0.5 (0.4-0.5)	1.0 (0.9-1.0)	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	2.2 (2.0-2.3)
Standard PE	22,825	0.5 (0.4-0.6)	1.0 (0.9-1.2)	1.5 (1.3-1.6)	1.8 (1.7-2.0)	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	2.9 (2.7-3.2)
Ceramics/Oxidized Zirconium	21,639	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	1.2 (1.0-1.3)	1.3 (1.2-1.5)	1.7 (1.5-1.9)	2.0 (1.7-2.4)
Cross-linked PE + Antioxidant	19,413	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	1.1 (0.9-1.2)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	n.a.
Cobalt chrome	3,476	0.8 (0.5-1.1)	1.7 (1.3-2.2)	2.5 (2.0-3.1)	3.2 (2.6-3.8)	4.4 (3.7-5.2)	5.6 (4.7-6.4)
Ceramics	1,222	0.8 (0.3-1.2)	1.5 (0.8-2.1)	1.7 (1.0-2.5)	2.1 (1.3-2.9)	2.8 (1.8-3.7)	3.8 (2.6-4.9)

Acetabulum revision percentage: first revision of the acetabulum component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; PE: polyethylene; CI: confidence interval; n.a.: if <50 cases were at risk.

## Revision per component name

*Cemented primary THA – overall revision*

**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of cemented primary total hip arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=89,014)**

Femur component	Acetabulum component	Type of revision (n)							Cumulative revision percentage (95% CI)						
		Total primary THAs (n)	Median (IQR) age (yr)	Total revision arthroplasties (n)	Total hip (complete revision)	Only acetabulum component	Only femur component	Only femoral head/inlay	Missing/unknown	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All cemented THAs for osteoarthritis		89,014	76 (71-81)	2,607	512	269	1,056	723	47	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	2.6 (2.5-2.7)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.7 (3.6-3.9)	4.7 (4.4-4.9)
Lubinus SPII	IP Cup	15,008	77 (72-81)	395	61	48	176	104	6	1.1 (1.0-1.3)	2.0 (1.7-2.2)	2.5 (2.2-2.8)	2.8 (2.5-3.1)	3.2 (2.8-3.5)	4.1 (3.5-4.6)
Original ME Muller	Muller low profile Durasul	9,450	75 (70-80)	258	30	5	65	155	3	1.8 (1.5-2.1)	2.4 (2.0-2.7)	2.8 (2.4-3.2)	3.1 (2.7-3.5)	3.6 (3.1-4.1)	4.0 (3.3-4.6)
Lubinus SPII	FAL Cup	6,450	75 (70-80)	213	49	13	77	69	5	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	2.6 (2.2-3.0)	3.2 (2.7-3.6)	3.8 (3.3-4.3)	4.5 (3.8-5.2)	5.5 (4.4-6.5)
Original ME Muller	Muller low profile	6,443	77 (73-81)	188	24	2	113	43	6	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	2.3 (2.0-2.7)	2.7 (2.3-3.1)	3.1 (2.7-3.6)	3.2 (2.7-3.6)	3.6 (3.0-4.2)
Exeter	Exeter Rimfit X3	5,098	76 (69-80)	114	28	25	24	37	0	1.3 (1.0-1.6)	1.9 (1.5-2.3)	2.3 (1.9-2.8)	2.5 (2.0-3.0)	3.2 (2.4-3.9)	n.a.
Spectron EF	Reflection All Poly XLPE	4,976	77 (73-82)	121	42	14	41	24	0	0.7 (0.4-0.9)	1.4 (1.1-1.8)	1.9 (1.5-2.2)	2.5 (2.1-3.0)	2.9 (2.4-3.5)	3.1 (2.6-3.7)
Stanmore	Stanmore	3,381	75 (70-80)	77	25	2	41	6	3	0.7 (0.4-1.0)	1.5 (1.0-1.9)	1.9 (1.4-2.3)	2.1 (1.6-2.6)	2.5 (1.9-3.1)	3.1 (2.2-4.0)
Exeter	Exeter Contemporary Hooded	2,827*	77 (72-81)	101	23	23	38	14	3	1.2 (0.8-1.6)	1.7 (1.2-2.2)	2.3 (1.7-2.9)	2.9 (2.3-3.6)	4.3 (3.4-5.2)	5.1 (3.9-6.2)
Lubinus SPII	SHP	2,506*	75 (71-80)	48	9	3	35	1	0	0.2 (0.0-0.4)	0.7 (0.3-1.0)	1.0 (0.6-1.3)	1.7 (1.2-2.2)	2.0 (1.4-2.6)	2.5 (1.6-3.4)
Exeter	Exeter	2,447*	73 (68-79)	150	24	14	77	30	5	2.8 (2.1-3.4)	3.6 (2.8-4.3)	4.1 (3.3-4.9)	4.9 (4.1-5.8)	6.3 (5.2-7.3)	7.2 (6.0-8.4)
Exeter	Exeter Contemporary Flanged	2,439	75 (67-80)	79	17	9	42	9	2	0.8 (0.4-1.1)	1.4 (0.9-1.9)	2.0 (1.4-2.6)	2.3 (1.7-2.9)	3.2 (2.4-4.0)	5.2 (3.8-6.6)
Stanmore	SHP	2,097*	75 (71-79)	116	39	5	60	10	2	1.6 (1.0-2.1)	3.1 (2.3-3.8)	4.0 (3.1-4.9)	4.7 (3.7-5.6)	5.6 (4.5-6.7)	7.7 (6.1-9.3)
CCA stem	CCB cup Low Profile	1,788	77 (73-80)	60	9	6	15	29	1	2.0 (1.4-2.7)	2.7 (1.9-3.4)	3.0 (2.1-3.8)	3.3 (2.4-4.1)	4.3 (3.0-5.5)	5.5 (3.3-7.6)
Original ME Muller	Avantage Cemented	1,530	77 (71-82)	55	0	1	5	45	1	3.1 (2.2-4.0)	3.7 (2.7-4.8)	4.9 (3.3-6.5)	5.5 (3.6-7.4)	n.a.	n.a.
C-Stem AMT	Marathon	1,485	79 (74-83)	19	3	3	0	16	0	1.1 (0.6-1.6)	1.4 (0.8-2.1)	1.4 (0.8-2.1)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Stanmore	Exceed ABT Cemented	1,338	76 (71-81)	28	6	1	11	10	0	1.1 (0.5-1.6)	1.6 (0.9-2.2)	2.3 (1.4-3.3)	3.3 (1.8-4.8)	n.a.	n.a.
Lubinus SPII	IP Cup X-Linked	1,321	78 (73-82)	31	7	3	8	13	0	1.4 (0.8-2.1)	2.3 (1.4-3.2)	3.1 (2.0-4.2)	3.1 (2.0-4.2)	n.a.	n.a.
Twinsys stem Cemented	CCB cup Low Profile	1,198	80 (76-83)	16	1	3	6	5	1	0.8 (0.3-1.4)	1.4 (0.6-2.1)	1.7 (0.8-2.6)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	2.0 (1.0-3.0)	n.a.
Stanmore	All Poly Arcom Cup	1,056*	74 (69-79)	22	3	4	14	0	1	0.3 (0.0-0.6)	1.3 (0.6-2.0)	1.7 (0.9-2.5)	1.8 (1.0-2.7)	2.7 (1.5-3.9)	n.a.
Lubinus SPII	Avantage Cemented	930	78 (72-83)	34	6	1	4	23	0	3.1 (2.0-4.2)	3.4 (2.2-4.6)	4.4 (2.8-6.1)	5.2 (3.0-7.3)	n.a.	n.a.
Stanmore	Muller	879	76 (71-81)	12	3	2	6	1	0	0.7 (0.1-1.2)	1.3 (0.5-2.0)	1.3 (0.5-2.0)	1.6 (0.6-2.6)	n.a.	n.a.
Spectron EF	Muller cup	831*	77 (72-81)	12	3	2	4	3	0	0.4 (0.0-0.8)	0.7 (0.1-1.3)	1.0 (0.3-1.6)	1.1 (0.4-1.8)	1.4 (0.6-2.1)	1.5 (0.7-2.4)
Spectron EF	Reflection All Poly	617*	77 (74-82)	50	11	0	35	4	0	0.8 (0.1-1.5)	1.8 (0.7-2.9)	2.7 (1.4-4.0)	3.3 (1.8-4.7)	6.6 (4.5-8.8)	10.5 (7.7-13.3)
Spectron EF	Muller low profile Durasul	503*	78 (74-83)	13	5	0	2	6	0	0.8 (0.0-1.6)	1.6 (0.5-2.8)	2.1 (0.8-3.4)	3.4 (1.5-5.3)	n.a.	n.a.
MS30	Muller low profile	497*	78 (74-82)	17	0	8	9	0	0	0.8 (0.0-1.6)	1.7 (0.5-2.8)	2.3 (1.0-3.7)	2.9 (1.3-4.5)	4.8 (2.4-7.1)	n.a.
Lubinus SPII	FAL Cup X-Linked	442	78 (74-81)	5	0	1	3	1	0	0.5 (0.1-2.2)	1.3 (0.0-2.7)	2.5 (0.0-5.0)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Exeter	Avantage Cemented	436	74 (66-81)	9	3	2	0	4	0	1.4 (0.3-2.6)	2.2 (0.7-3.8)	2.8 (0.9-4.7)	2.8 (0.9-4.7)	n.a.	n.a.
Stanmore	Apollo	381*	75 (70-79)	6	3	1	1	0	1	0.3 (0.0-0.8)	0.8 (0.0-1.7)	1.4 (0.2-2.6)	1.4 (0.2-2.6)	1.7 (0.4-3.1)	n.a.
Stanmore	Avantage Cemented	369	79 (74-84)	11	0	1	0	10	0	2.2 (0.7-3.7)	3.0 (1.3-4.8)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
GHE-huftstiel	Huftpfanne	273*	75 (71-80)	23	5	3	15	0	0	0.4 (0.0-1.1)	1.5 (0.0-3.0)	2.7 (0.7-4.7)	4.4 (1.8-6.9)	7.2 (3.9-10.6)	n.a.
Charnley Modular	Marathon	255*	71 (65-79)	10	3	5	2	0	0	0.4 (0.0-1.2)	1.2 (0.0-2.6)	1.6 (0.0-3.2)	4.0 (1.4-6.5)	4.5 (1.8-7.3)	n.a.

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary THAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; THA: total hip arthroplasty; RA: revision arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

Only combinations with over 250 procedures have been listed.

Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure, femoral head size and articulation of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.

*Uncemented primary THA – overall revision*

**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of uncemented primary total hip arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=230,573)**

Femur component	Acetabulum component	Type of revision (n)						Cumulative revision percentage (95% CI)								
		Total primary THAs (n)	Median age (yr)	IQR	Total RAs (n)	Total hip (complete revision)	Only femur component	Only acetabulum component	Only femoral head/inlay	Missing/unknown	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All uncemented THAs for osteoarthritis		230,573	68 (62-74)		8,232	1,349	2,880	2,155	1,709	139	1.5 (1.5-1.6)	2.5 (2.4-2.5)	3.1 (3.0-3.2)	3.7 (3.6-3.8)	4.6 (4.5-4.7)	5.9 (5.7-6.1)
Corail	Pinnacle	41,174	69 (63-75)		928	173	286	194	264	11	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	1.8 (1.6-1.9)	2.2 (2.1-2.4)	2.5 (2.4-2.7)	3.3 (3.1-3.6)	3.7 (3.3-4.0)
Taperloc Complete	Allofit	24,355	67 (61-73)		473	87	168	88	123	7	1.4 (1.3-1.6)	2.1 (1.9-2.2)	2.5 (2.3-2.7)	2.6 (2.3-2.8)	n.a.	n.a.
Alloclassic Zweymuller SL	Allofit	14,806	70 (64-77)		538	85	208	130	110	5	1.2 (1.0-1.4)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	2.6 (2.4-2.9)	3.2 (2.9-3.5)	4.3 (3.9-4.6)	5.3 (4.7-5.8)
Polarstem	R3	12,311	70 (63-75)		267	26	87	36	116	2	1.8 (1.5-2.0)	2.3 (2.0-2.6)	2.8 (2.4-3.3)	3.0 (2.4-3.6)	n.a.	n.a.
CLS Spotorno	Allofit	11,557	64 (58-69)		537	54	203	153	109	18	2.6 (2.3-2.9)	3.7 (3.3-4.0)	4.2 (3.8-4.5)	4.7 (4.3-5.1)	5.3 (4.8-5.8)	6.0 (5.2-6.7)
Taperloc Complete	Exceed ABT	8,825	69 (63-75)		201	37	73	38	49	4	1.4 (1.2-1.7)	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	2.2 (1.9-2.5)	2.5 (2.1-2.8)	2.8 (2.4-3.3)	n.a.
Accolade	Trident	7,605	69 (62-76)		344	47	178	49	68	2	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	2.8 (2.5-3.2)	3.7 (3.3-4.1)	4.5 (4.0-5.0)	5.5 (4.9-6.2)	8.3 (6.3-10.4)
Mallory Head Stems	Mallory Head	6,019	65 (60-69)		228	28	23	101	70	6	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	2.3 (1.9-2.6)	2.7 (2.3-3.1)	3.2 (2.8-3.7)	3.8 (3.2-4.3)	4.9 (4.1-5.7)
Accolade II	Trident	5,021	69 (62-75)		94	15	36	12	29	2	1.7 (1.3-2.0)	2.3 (1.7-2.8)	2.5 (1.8-3.3)	4.3 (0.8-7.7)	n.a.	n.a.
Twinsys stem Cementless	RM Pressfit Vitamys cup	4,888	66 (60-72)		145	16	65	37	24	3	1.8 (1.4-2.2)	2.5 (2.0-2.9)	2.9 (2.3-3.4)	3.2 (2.6-3.8)	4.8 (3.8-5.8)	n.a.
Accolade	Trident Trinanium	4,539*	68 (62-74)		139	13	48	31	44	3	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	2.8 (2.3-3.3)	3.3 (2.7-3.8)	3.9 (3.1-4.6)	n.a.
Taperloc Hip system	Exceed ABT	3,893*	68 (62-75)		127	22	35	37	25	8	1.2 (0.8-1.5)	2.3 (1.8-2.8)	2.7 (2.2-3.2)	2.9 (2.4-3.5)	3.4 (2.8-4.0)	n.a.
SL Plus	Bicon Plus	3,777	70 (64-76)		274	40	138	75	18	3	1.7 (1.3-2.1)	4.0 (3.3-4.6)	5.4 (4.7-6.1)	6.4 (5.6-7.2)	7.6 (6.7-8.5)	8.8 (7.6-9.9)
Taperloc Hip system	Mallory Head	3,670*	67 (61-71)		137	26	38	49	23	1	1.5 (1.1-1.8)	2.6 (2.1-3.1)	2.9 (2.4-3.5)	3.4 (2.8-4.0)	3.7 (3.1-4.4)	4.7 (3.7-5.6)
Twinsys stem Cementless	RM Pressfit cup	3,402	73 (68-78)		131	18	61	25	26	1	2.5 (2.0-3.0)	3.1 (2.5-3.7)	3.4 (2.8-4.1)	3.9 (3.2-4.6)	4.6 (3.7-5.5)	n.a.
Taperloc Complete	Mallory Head	3,113	67 (61-72)		117	20	25	32	39	1	2.1 (1.6-2.6)	3.1 (2.5-3.7)	3.4 (2.7-4.0)	3.7 (3.0-4.4)	4.4 (3.4-5.4)	n.a.
Alloclassic offset	Allofit	2,966	71 (65-77)		89	19	35	16	16	3	1.4 (0.9-1.8)	2.0 (1.5-2.5)	2.6 (2.0-3.2)	2.9 (2.2-3.5)	3.8 (2.9-4.7)	4.5 (3.4-5.6)
Synergy	Reflection	2,930*	66 (60-72)		146	12	69	30	34	1	2.1 (1.6-2.6)	2.7 (2.1-3.3)	3.1 (2.5-3.7)	3.6 (2.9-4.3)	4.4 (3.6-5.2)	6.7 (5.4-8.0)
Alloclassic Zweymuller SL	Alloclassic Zweymuller CSF	2,903*	69 (63-75)		136	18	55	26	35	2	1.1 (0.7-1.5)	2.7 (2.1-3.2)	3.3 (2.6-3.9)	3.5 (2.8-4.2)	4.4 (3.6-5.1)	5.6 (4.6-6.6)
Taperloc Complete	G7 PPS	2,884	69 (63-75)		42	11	11	10	10	0	1.7 (1.1-2.2)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
M/L Taper	Allofit IT	2,437	71 (65-77)		81	10	32	28	10	1	2.2 (1.6-2.8)	3.2 (2.4-3.9)	3.6 (2.8-4.4)	3.9 (3.0-4.7)	4.1 (3.1-5.0)	n.a.
Corail AMT	Pinnacle	2,432	69 (61-74)		24	3	6	6	9	0	0.8 (0.4-1.1)	1.1 (0.6-1.5)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Avenir Muller	Allofit	2,245	68 (62-74)		28	3	12	3	10	0	1.2 (0.7-1.6)	1.5 (0.8-2.1)	1.7 (0.9-2.5)	2.2 (1.1-3.3)	2.2 (1.1-3.3)	n.a.
Synergy	R3	2,102	66 (60-72)		67	11	35	11	8	2	1.8 (1.2-2.4)	2.3 (1.7-2.9)	2.9 (2.1-3.6)	3.2 (2.4-4.0)	3.4 (2.6-4.3)	n.a.
Symax	Trident	2,073*	69 (63-75)		74	7	19	20	28	0	0.6 (0.3-0.9)	1.7 (1.1-2.2)	2.2 (1.5-2.8)	2.8 (2.0-3.5)	3.2 (2.4-4.0)	4.1 (3.1-5.0)
Fitmore	Allofit	1,946	67 (62-72)		27	7	9	2	8	1	1.2 (0.7-1.7)	1.7 (0.9-2.5)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary THAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; THA: total hip arthroplasty; RA: revision arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

**TABLE Continued - Cumulative revision percentages of uncemented primary total hip arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=230,573)**

Femur component	Acetabulum component	Type of revision (n)							Cumulative revision percentage (95% CI)						
		Total primary THAs (n)	Median (IQR) age (yr)	Total RAs (n)	Total hip (complete revision)	Only femur component	Only acetabulum component	Only femoral head/inlay	Missing/unknown	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Anthology	R3	1,843	65 (59-69)	61	9	20	17	15	0	2.1 (1.4-2.7)	2.6 (1.9-3.4)	3.3 (2.4-4.1)	3.5 (2.6-4.3)	3.7 (2.7-4.6)	n.a.
Symax	Trident Titanium	1,743*	67 (61-73)	92	11	41	26	13	1	2.3 (1.6-3.0)	3.6 (2.7-4.5)	4.0 (3.0-4.9)	4.8 (3.8-5.8)	5.4 (4.3-6.5)	n.a.
Mallory Head Stems	Exceed ABT	1,637*	65 (59-71)	38	3	16	17	2	0	0.7 (0.3-1.1)	1.6 (1.0-2.2)	1.7 (1.1-2.4)	2.1 (1.4-2.8)	2.4 (1.6-3.2)	n.a.
Omnifit HA	Trident	1,501*	63 (57-67)	148	19	71	24	30	4	3.1 (2.2-3.9)	4.5 (3.4-5.5)	6.3 (5.0-7.5)	7.7 (6.4-9.1)	9.5 (8.0-11.0)	10.8 (9.1-12.4)
M/L Taper	Continuum	1,472	68 (63-73)	30	3	20	4	3	0	1.6 (0.9-2.3)	2.2 (1.4-2.9)	2.3 (1.5-3.2)	2.3 (1.5-3.2)	n.a.	n.a.
Alloclassic Zweymuller SL	Continuum	1,246	71 (64-77)	36	7	14	4	10	1	1.6 (0.9-2.3)	2.2 (1.4-3.0)	2.6 (1.7-3.5)	2.9 (2.0-3.9)	3.4 (2.2-4.5)	n.a.
CLS Spotorno	RM Classic cup	1,178*	63 (58-68)	78	14	22	34	7	1	1.9 (1.1-2.6)	2.6 (1.7-3.6)	3.3 (2.3-4.4)	3.9 (2.8-5.0)	5.1 (3.8-6.3)	7.0 (5.5-8.6)
CLS Spotorno	Pinnacle	1,165*	67 (62-72)	59	8	22	13	16	0	1.3 (0.6-1.9)	2.3 (1.4-3.1)	2.8 (1.8-3.8)	3.5 (2.4-4.6)	4.9 (3.5-6.3)	n.a.
Summit Tapered	Pinnacle Gription	1,117	68 (62-74)	28	2	7	5	13	1	2.2 (1.3-3.0)	2.4 (1.5-3.3)	3.0 (1.7-4.4)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
SL Plus Mia	R3	1,109*	71 (65-77)	34	3	16	7	8	0	1.9 (1.1-2.7)	2.7 (1.8-3.7)	3.0 (2.0-4.1)	3.0 (2.0-4.1)	3.3 (2.2-4.4)	n.a.
SL Plus	Reflection	1,020*	67 (61-73)	43	6	14	14	9	0	1.8 (1.0-2.6)	3.3 (2.2-4.4)	3.8 (2.6-5.0)	4.3 (3.0-5.5)	4.4 (3.1-5.7)	n.a.
SL Plus	Hofer-Imhoff Lubrimet	978*	70 (64-76)	61	13	30	10	6	2	1.1 (0.5-1.8)	2.3 (1.3-3.2)	3.6 (2.4-4.7)	4.4 (3.1-5.8)	5.6 (4.1-7.1)	7.5 (5.6-9.4)
Alloclassic Zweymuller SL	Trilogy	967*	68 (63-75)	35	10	10	7	8	0	1.4 (0.6-2.1)	2.2 (1.3-3.1)	2.6 (1.6-3.6)	2.9 (1.9-4.0)	3.6 (2.4-4.8)	3.7 (2.5-4.9)
Polarstem	Reflection	927*	70 (64-76)	18	5	4	2	7	0	1.1 (0.4-1.7)	1.6 (0.8-2.4)	2.1 (1.1-3.0)	2.1 (1.1-3.0)	n.a.	n.a.
Accolade II	Trident Titanium	904	68 (61-73)	20	2	7	3	8	0	2.6 (1.3-3.8)	3.3 (1.7-4.9)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Optimys stem	RM Pressfit Vitamys cup	896	62 (55-68)	17	2	9	3	2	1	1.8 (0.9-2.7)	2.1 (1.1-3.1)	2.1 (1.1-3.1)	2.1 (1.1-3.1)	n.a.	n.a.
Taperloc Complete	Continuum	814	68 (59-74)	18	5	7	1	5	0	2.0 (1.0-2.9)	2.3 (1.3-3.4)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
SL Plus	EP-Fit Plus	790*	68 (63-75)	45	10	24	10	1	0	1.3 (0.5-2.1)	3.1 (1.9-4.3)	3.8 (2.4-5.1)	4.9 (3.3-6.4)	5.7 (4.0-7.3)	6.3 (4.5-8.0)
Alloclassic Zweymuller SL	Alloclassic Variall	778*	71 (64-77)	23	4	10	3	5	1	1.0 (0.3-1.7)	1.9 (1.0-2.9)	2.4 (1.3-3.4)	2.6 (1.5-3.8)	3.0 (1.7-4.2)	3.3 (1.9-4.7)
CLS Spotorno	Fitmore	758*	66 (61-71)	37	3	17	6	10	1	1.9 (0.9-2.8)	2.4 (1.3-3.5)	2.6 (1.5-3.8)	3.3 (2.0-4.6)	4.3 (2.9-5.8)	5.3 (3.6-7.0)
DB10	Spidercup	755*	71 (64-77)	40	2	20	10	7	1	1.5 (0.6-2.3)	2.3 (1.2-3.3)	2.9 (1.6-4.1)	3.7 (2.4-5.1)	4.8 (3.2-6.4)	6.6 (4.5-8.8)
CLS Spotorno	Morscher	712*	73 (68-78)	37	6	19	12	0	0	1.3 (0.4-2.1)	2.6 (1.4-3.7)	3.2 (1.9-4.5)	4.2 (2.7-5.7)	5.6 (3.8-7.5)	n.a.
CLS Spotorno	RM Pressfit cup	625*	66 (60-71)	54	5	21	19	5	4	3.1 (1.7-4.4)	4.4 (2.8-6.0)	5.7 (3.9-7.5)	6.6 (4.6-8.6)	7.9 (5.7-10.1)	9.8 (7.3-12.3)
Corail	Pinnacle Gription	599	71 (64-77)	5	0	4	1	0	0	0.6 (0.0-1.2)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
CBH stem	RM Pressfit Vitamys cup	593*	65 (60-70)	24	8	7	7	2	0	1.0 (0.2-1.8)	2.4 (1.1-3.6)	3.3 (1.8-4.7)	4.0 (2.4-5.5)	4.2 (2.5-5.8)	n.a.
CBH stem	RM Pressfit cup	551*	75 (69-79)	23	4	6	11	2	0	2.2 (1.0-3.4)	3.7 (2.1-5.3)	3.9 (2.3-5.5)	4.3 (2.6-6.0)	4.3 (2.6-6.0)	n.a.
Alloclassic Zweymuller SL	Trabecular Metal	547*	68 (62-75)	27	2	8	9	7	1	0.6 (0.0-1.2)	1.9 (0.7-3.0)	2.6 (1.3-4.0)	3.4 (1.8-4.9)	3.6 (2.0-5.2)	5.5 (3.3-7.6)

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary THAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

**Only combinations with over 500 procedures have been listed.**

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure, femoral head size and articulation of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

*Cemented primary THA – major revision*

**TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages of the most frequently used cemented primary total hip arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=89,014)**

Femur component	Acetabulum component	Total primary THAs (n)	Median (IQR) age (yr)	Major revision <sup>1</sup> arthroplasties (n)	Cumulative revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
					1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All cemented THAs for osteoarthritis		89,014	76 (71-81)	1,944	0.7 (0.6-0.7)	1.4 (1.3-1.4)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)	2.3 (2.1-2.4)	2.9 (2.8-3.1)	3.8 (3.6-4.1)
Lubinus SPII	IP Cup	15,008	77 (72-81)	313	0.6 (0.4-0.7)	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	2.2 (2.0-2.5)	2.7 (2.3-3.0)	3.6 (3.0-4.2)
Original ME Muller	Muller low profile Durasul	9,450	75 (70-80)	113	0.5 (0.4-0.7)	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	1.3 (1.0-1.6)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	1.8 (1.4-2.1)	2.0 (1.5-2.5)
Lubinus SPII	FAL Cup	6,450	75 (70-80)	150	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	2.9 (2.4-3.4)	3.6 (2.9-4.2)	4.4 (3.4-5.4)
Original ME Muller	Muller low profile	6,443	77 (73-81)	146	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	1.8 (1.4-2.1)	2.1 (1.7-2.4)	2.4 (2.0-2.8)	2.5 (2.1-2.9)	2.8 (2.3-3.3)
Exeter	Exeter Rimfit X3	5,098	76 (69-80)	80	0.7 (0.4-0.9)	1.2 (0.9-1.5)	1.7 (1.3-2.0)	1.8 (1.4-2.3)	2.4 (1.7-3.0)	n.a.
Spectron EF	Reflection All Poly XLPE	4,976	77 (73-82)	99	0.4 (0.2-0.5)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	1.5 (1.1-1.8)	2.1 (1.6-2.5)	2.5 (2.0-2.9)	2.7 (2.1-3.2)
Stanmore	Stanmore	3,381	75 (70-80)	69	0.5 (0.3-0.8)	1.3 (0.9-1.7)	1.7 (1.2-2.1)	1.9 (1.4-2.3)	2.3 (1.7-2.8)	2.8 (2.0-3.7)
Exeter	Exeter Contemporary Hooded	2,827*	77 (72-81)	87	0.7 (0.4-1.0)	1.2 (0.8-1.6)	1.8 (1.3-2.3)	2.3 (1.8-2.9)	3.9 (3.0-4.7)	4.6 (3.5-5.8)
Lubinus SPII	SHP	2,506*	75 (71-80)	47	0.2 (0.0-0.4)	0.6 (0.3-0.9)	0.9 (0.5-1.3)	1.7 (1.1-2.2)	2.0 (1.4-2.6)	2.5 (1.6-3.4)
Exeter	Exeter	2,447*	73 (68-79)	123	1.8 (1.2-2.3)	2.5 (1.9-3.1)	3.1 (2.4-3.8)	3.9 (3.1-4.6)	5.2 (4.2-6.1)	6.0 (4.9-7.0)

<sup>1</sup> Revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary THAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure, femoral head size and articulation of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

*Uncemented primary THA – major revision*

**TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages of the most frequently used uncemented primary total hip arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=230,573)**

Femur component	Acetabulum component	Total primary THAs (n)	Median (IQR) age (yr)	Major revision <sup>1</sup> arthroplasties (n)	Cumulative revision percentage (95% CI)					
					1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All uncemented THAs for osteoarthritis		230,573	68 (62-74)	6,646	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	1.9 (1.9-2.0)	2.5 (2.4-2.5)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.8 (3.7-3.9)	5.1 (4.9-5.2)
Corail	Pinnacle	41,174	69 (63-75)	701	0.7 (0.6-0.8)	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	1.7 (1.5-1.8)	1.9 (1.8-2.1)	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	3.1 (2.7-3.5)
Taperloc Complete	Allofit	24,355	67 (61-73)	362	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	2.1 (1.8-2.4)	n.a.	n.a.
Alloclassic Zweymuller SL	Allofit	14,806	70 (64-77)	438	0.9 (0.7-1.0)	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	2.1 (1.9-2.3)	2.5 (2.2-2.8)	3.5 (3.1-3.8)	4.4 (3.9-5.0)
Polarstem	R3	12,311	70 (63-75)	159	0.9 (0.8-1.1)	1.4 (1.1-1.6)	1.9 (1.5-2.2)	2.1 (1.5-2.6)	n.a.	n.a.
CLS Spotorno	Allofit	11,557	64 (58-69)	434	1.9 (1.7-2.2)	2.8 (2.5-3.1)	3.3 (3.0-3.6)	3.8 (3.4-4.1)	4.4 (4.0-4.8)	5.1 (4.3-5.8)
Taperloc Complete	Exceed ABT	8,825	69 (63-75)	157	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	1.5 (1.2-1.7)	1.7 (1.4-1.9)	2.0 (1.6-2.3)	2.3 (1.9-2.7)	n.a.
Accolade	Trident	7,605	69 (62-76)	285	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	2.2 (1.9-2.6)	3.0 (2.6-3.4)	3.7 (3.2-4.1)	4.6 (4.0-5.2)	7.5 (5.4-9.6)
Mallory Head Stems	Mallory Head	6,019	65 (60-69)	167	0.9 (0.7-1.2)	1.6 (1.3-1.9)	2.0 (1.6-2.4)	2.4 (2.0-2.8)	2.8 (2.3-3.2)	3.5 (2.8-4.1)
Accolade II	Trident	5,021	69 (62-75)	64	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	1.5 (1.1-1.9)	1.8 (1.1-2.5)	3.5 (0.1-6.9)	n.a.	n.a.
Twinsys stem Cementless	RM Pressfit Vitamys cup	4,888	66 (60-72)	124	1.4 (1.1-1.8)	2.1 (1.6-2.5)	2.4 (1.9-2.8)	2.8 (2.3-3.3)	4.3 (3.3-5.3)	n.a.

<sup>1</sup> Revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure, femoral head size and articulation of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

*Bone cement*

**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of the most frequently types of bone cement by type of mixing system in primary total hip arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2007-2022**

Bone cement	Cumulative revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)							
	Total primary THAs (n)	Total RAs (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Separately packed	95,252	3,388	1.5 (1.4-1.5)	2.4 (2.3-2.5)	3.0 (2.9-3.1)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	4.4 (4.2-4.5)	5.6 (5.3-5.9)
Palacos R+G	73,479	2,516	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	3.1 (2.9-3.2)	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	4.2 (4.1-4.4)	5.3 (5.0-5.6)
Refabacin Bone Cement R	6,387	194	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	1.9 (1.5-2.2)	2.3 (1.9-2.6)	2.8 (2.4-3.3)	3.7 (3.1-4.2)	4.0 (3.4-4.6)
Palacos MV+G	3,916	106	0.9 (0.6-1.2)	1.5 (1.1-1.9)	2.2 (1.7-2.7)	3.2 (2.6-3.8)	3.6 (2.9-4.4)	n.a.
Simplex ABC EC	2,685	158	2.2 (1.7-2.8)	3.5 (2.8-4.2)	4.4 (3.6-5.2)	5.1 (4.2-6.0)	6.6 (5.5-7.8)	10.4 (7.9-13.0)
Simplex ABC Tobra	2,341	155	2.0 (1.4-2.5)	3.2 (2.5-4.0)	4.0 (3.2-4.8)	4.7 (3.8-5.6)	6.6 (5.5-7.6)	8.6 (7.1-10.2)
Simplex P	1,323*	30	0.8 (0.3-1.2)	1.8 (1.1-2.5)	1.9 (1.1-2.6)	2.1 (1.3-2.8)	2.8 (1.7-3.8)	2.8 (1.7-3.8)
Simplex HV	574*	13	0.7 (0.0-1.4)	0.9 (0.1-1.7)	2.1 (0.9-3.3)	2.6 (1.2-4.0)	n.a.	n.a.
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	561*	26	1.8 (0.7-2.9)	2.9 (1.5-4.3)	3.7 (2.1-5.3)	4.1 (2.4-5.8)	4.8 (2.9-6.7)	5.7 (3.4-8.0)
Palamed G	493*	15	0.4 (0.0-1.0)	0.8 (0.0-1.6)	1.3 (0.3-2.3)	2.0 (0.7-3.2)	3.0 (1.4-4.6)	4.0 (1.8-6.1)
Biomet Plus Bone Cement	453*	26	0.4 (0.0-1.1)	1.4 (0.3-2.4)	2.5 (1.1-4.0)	3.3 (1.6-5.0)	4.4 (2.4-6.3)	7.1 (4.4-9.7)
Subiton G	434	8	1.2 (0.2-2.2)	2.2 (0.6-3.7)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
CMW 1 Gentamicin Bone Cement	327*	14	1.8 (0.4-3.3)	2.8 (1.0-4.6)	3.1 (1.2-5.0)	3.1 (1.2-5.0)	4.0 (1.8-6.2)	4.9 (2.4-7.5)
Palacos R	312*	17	1.6 (0.2-3.0)	2.7 (0.8-4.5)	4.2 (1.8-6.5)	5.0 (2.4-7.6)	6.8 (3.5-10.0)	n.a.
Palamed	277*	26	1.1 (0.0-2.3)	2.5 (0.7-4.4)	3.7 (1.4-5.9)	3.7 (1.4-5.9)	6.1 (3.2-9.0)	10.6 (6.7-14.5)
Pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system	38,541	1,101	2.0 (1.8-2.1)	2.7 (2.6-2.9)	3.3 (3.1-3.5)	3.8 (3.5-4.0)	4.5 (4.1-4.8)	4.8 (4.3-5.4)
Refabacin Bone Cement R	18,013	558	2.1 (1.8-2.3)	2.8 (2.6-3.1)	3.4 (3.1-3.7)	3.9 (3.6-4.3)	4.8 (4.2-5.4)	n.a.
Palacos R+G	15,065	390	2.0 (1.8-2.3)	2.8 (2.5-3.1)	3.4 (3.0-3.8)	3.7 (3.2-4.2)	n.a.	n.a.
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	4,701	128	1.3 (0.9-1.6)	2.2 (1.7-2.6)	2.6 (2.1-3.1)	3.1 (2.5-3.6)	3.5 (2.8-4.2)	n.a.
Cemex Genta	432	10	1.2 (0.2-2.2)	1.9 (0.6-3.2)	2.2 (0.8-3.5)	2.2 (0.8-3.5)	3.3 (0.7-6.0)	n.a.

\* Denotes types of bone cement with no reported use in primary THAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; THA: total hip arthroplasty; RA: revision arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023


**Only types of bone cement with over 250 procedures have been listed.**

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure, femoral head size and articulation of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

## Rerevision

### *Overall second revision*

**FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total hip arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=13,847)



**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages

	Number at risk (n)	Competing Risk (95% CI)	Kaplan Meier <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)
1-year second revision (%)	11,231	8.4 (8.0-8.9)	8.2 (7.8-8.7)
3-year second revision (%)	8,283	12.3 (11.7-12.8)	12.4 (11.9-13.0)
5-year second revision (%)	5,656	14.1 (13.5-14.7)	14.6 (14.0-15.3)
7-year second revision (%)	3,588	15.7 (15.0-16.4)	16.4 (15.7-17.1)
10-year second revision (%)	1,514	17.2 (16.4-18.0)	18.4 (17.5-19.2)

<sup>1</sup> The cumulative revision percentage using the Kaplan Meier method is shown in the figure.

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis.


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

*By procedure year of first major revision*

**FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total hip arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision by procedure year of first major revision in the Netherlands in 2012-2022 (n=7,579)



**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages

Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year first major revision	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
2011-2012	1,097	4.30 (3.10-5.50)	8.61 (6.94-10.28)	10.79 (8.93-12.65)	12.17 (10.19-14.15)
2013-2014	1,309	5.08 (3.88-6.28)	9.91 (8.27-11.55)	12.15 (10.35-13.95)	13.66 (11.75-15.57)
2015-2016	1,537	6.06 (4.86-7.26)	9.73 (8.23-11.23)	11.45 (9.83-13.07)	13.32 (11.53-15.11)
2017-2018	1,812	5.41 (4.36-6.46)	9.44 (8.07-10.81)	11.35 (9.83-12.87)	n.a.
2019-2020	1,824	6.21 (5.09-7.33)	10.12 (8.69-11.55)	n.a.	n.a.


Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

Major revision: revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**By type of first revision****FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total hip arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision by type of first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=13,847)**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
Major first revision	10,350	5.8 (5.3-6.3)	9.9 (9.3-10.5)	11.9 (11.2-12.6)	13.7 (12.9-14.5)
Minor first revision	3,322	14.9 (13.7-16.1)	19.6 (18.2-21.0)	22.1 (20.5-23.6)	24.1 (22.4-25.8)

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis.


Major revision: revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.

Minor revision: only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIIR procedures).

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**By time to first revision****FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total hip arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision by time to first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=13,847)**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
First revision within 1 year	6,546	11.2 (10.4-12.0)	15.5 (14.6-16.4)	17.2 (16.2-18.1)	18.5 (17.4-19.5)
First revision within 1-3 years	2,865	5.3 (4.5-6.2)	10.4 (9.2-11.6)	13.7 (12.3-15.0)	16.2 (14.6-17.7)
First revision within 3-5 years	1,584	5.6 (4.5-6.8)	9.2 (7.7-10.7)	12.1 (10.3-13.9)	13.7 (11.7-15.7)

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**By fixation of primary THA****FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total hip arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision by fixation of the primary procedure in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=13,546)**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages

Fixation of primary THA	Number (n)	Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
Uncemented	8,953	7.8 (7.3-8.4)	12.1 (11.4-12.8)	14.4 (13.6-15.2)	16.2 (15.3-17.1)
Cemented	3,080	9.5 (8.4-10.5)	13.5 (12.2-14.8)	15.5 (14.1-16.9)	16.8 (15.2-18.4)
Reversed hybrid	815	7.6 (5.7-9.4)	13.3 (10.8-15.8)	16.0 (13.2-18.8)	18.3 (15.1-21.6)
Hybrid	698	9.0 (6.8-11.2)	12.5 (9.9-15.1)	13.8 (11.0-16.7)	17.3 (13.6-21.0)

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**Reasons for seconds revision by type of first revision****TABLE** Reasons for second revision within seven years in patients who underwent a second revision after a one-stage first revision of a total hip arthroplasty by type of first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022

Reasons for second revision	Major first revision <sup>1</sup> (n=1,116)		Minor first revision <sup>2</sup> (n=676)		Any type of first revision <sup>3</sup> (n=1,858)	
	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)
Infection	30.6	59.6	41.6			
Dislocation	26.7	26.5	26.1			
Loosening of acetabulum component	19.8	5.0	14.0			
Loosening of femur component	17.7	4.9	12.8			
Peri-prosthetic fracture	10.2	2.7	7.3			
Inlay wear	3.2	2.8	3.0			
Symptomatic MoM bearing	1.5	0.4	1.1			
Peri-articular ossification	1.0	0.3	0.7			
Other	12.6	7.4	1.0			

<sup>1</sup> Revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.

<sup>2</sup> Only inlay and/or femoral head exchange (including DAIR procedures).

<sup>3</sup> Any type of revision includes minor and major revisions as well as revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.


<sup>4</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision or re-surgery. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis.

© LROI 2023

## Survival resurfacing hip arthroplasty

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentages of resurfacing hip arthroplasties by prosthesis component in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=2,884)



**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages of resurfacing hip arthroplasties by prosthesis component in the Netherlands in 2007-2021 (n=2,893)

Type of prosthesis	Total primary RHAs (n)	Median (IQR) age (yr)	Total RAs (n)	Cumulative revision percentage (95% CI)					
				1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
All resurfacing hip arthroplasties	2,893	54 (49-59)	373	1.6 (1.2-2.1)	4.4 (3.6-5.1)	7.6 (6.6-8.6)	10.3 (9.1-11.4)	11.8 (10.7-13.0)	13.0 (11.8-14.3)
BHR	854	54 (48-58)	82	0.5 (0.0-0.9)	2.8 (1.7-3.9)	5.4 (3.9-6.9)	7.7 (5.9-9.5)	9.0 (7.0-10.9)	10.2 (8.1-12.4)
Adept	474	54 (48-59)	59	2.3 (1.0-3.7)	4.7 (2.8-6.5)	6.8 (4.5-9.1)	9.8 (7.1-12.5)	10.7 (7.9-13.5)	12.2 (9.2-15.2)
Durom	344	54 (50-59)	56	1.7 (0.4-3.1)	6.7 (4.1-9.4)	11.1 (7.8-14.5)	14.1 (10.4-17.8)	15.3 (11.5-19.1)	16.5 (12.5-20.5)
Conserve Plus	338	55 (50-60)	31	0.9 (0.0-1.9)	3.0 (1.2-4.8)	6.0 (3.4-8.5)	7.8 (4.9-10.7)	9.1 (6.0-12.2)	9.5 (6.3-12.6)
ASR	272	53 (47-56)	81	4.0 (1.7-6.4)	9.2 (5.8-12.6)	18.4 (13.8-23)	23.3 (18.2-28.3)	27.4 (22.1-32.7)	28.2 (22.8-33.5)
Cormet	215	57 (50-61)	21	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.9 (0.0-2.3)	3.4 (0.9-5.8)	5.3 (2.3-8.4)	7.3 (3.7-10.8)	10.0 (5.8-14.2)
Recap Magnum Shells	172	55 (48-59)	21	2.9 (0.4-5.4)	4.7 (1.5-7.8)	5.8 (2.3-9.3)	8.2 (4.1-12.3)	11.2 (6.5-15.9)	12.7 (7.6-17.9)
Mitch	101	57 (51-61)	10	5.0 (0.7-9.2)	5.9 (1.3-10.5)	8.9 (3.4-14.5)	9.9 (4.1-15.8)	9.9 (4.1-15.8)	n.a.


Please note: RHA: resurfacing hip arthroplasty; RA: revision arthroplasty; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2022

## PROMs

### Response

**FIGURE Pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative response percentage of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis per pre-operative PROMs registering hospital (n=91) in the Netherlands in 2014-2021**



**TABLE PROMs response percentages**

Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022 <sup>1</sup>
THA for osteoarthritis (n)	23,465	24,090	24,593	25,423	26,734	27,722	22,353	26,304	30,486
THA PROMs response (%)									
Pre-operative	19.3	40.4	51.8	58	62.4	65.3	61.2	62.5	66.7
Pre-operative and 12 months postoperative	11.7	24.5	33.1	39.2	41.1	41.8	41.1	43.3	n.a.
Pre-operative, 3 and 12 months postoperative	8.1	18.8	26.7	32.3	34.2	33.8	33.2	35.7	n.a.


<sup>1</sup> The 12 months postoperative PROMs response percentage is not (yet) available for 2022.

THA: total hip arthroplasty; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

© LROI 2023


### Response pre-PROM per hospital

**FIGURE Scatterplot of pre-operative response percentage of patients who underwent a primary THA for osteoarthritis per hospital (n=88) in the Netherlands in 2022**



## Response PROM trajectory per hospital

**FIGURE Scatterplot of PROM trajectory (pre-operative and 12 months postoperative) response percentage of patients who underwent a primary THA for osteoarthritis per hospital (n=100) in the Netherlands in 2014-2021**




Please note: THA: total hip arthroplasty; OA: osteoarthritis; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

**The mean PROM trajectory response rate was 30.0% in the Netherlands between 2014-2021. 43 out of 100 (43.0%) hospitals scored above the national mean.**

**Mean scores****NRS (rest)**

**FIGURE** Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative NRS (rest) scores of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2021



**TABLE** Mean NRS (rest) scores

NRS (rest) score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative		
	Age category	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
<60 years		8,389	5.54 (5.48-5.59)	8,411	1.16 (1.13-1.20)	8,354	0.90 (0.86-0.93)
60-74 years		31,946	5.17 (5.14-5.19)	32,023	1.15 (1.13-1.17)	31,797	0.85 (0.83-0.86)
≥75 years		15,556	5.01 (4.97-5.06)	15,520	1.23 (1.20-1.26)	15,388	0.92 (0.89-0.95)
Total		55,897	5.18 (5.16-5.20)	55,960	1.17 (1.16-1.19)	55,545	0.88 (0.86-0.89)


THA: total hip arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

The NRS (rest) score measures pain during rest. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing no pain and 10.0 representing the most possible pain.

**NRS (activity)**

**FIGURE** Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative NRS (activity) scores of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2021



**TABLE** Mean NRS (activity) scores

NRS (activity) score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Age category						
<60 years	8,391	7.40 (7.36-7.44)	8,414	2.25 (2.21-2.30)	8,360	1.61 (1.56-1.65)
60-74 years	31,960	7.17 (7.14-7.19)	32,066	2.07 (2.04-2.09)	31,815	1.41 (1.38-1.43)
≥75 years	15,553	7.21 (7.18-7.24)	15,515	2.09 (2.05-2.13)	15,397	1.45 (1.41-1.48)
Total	55,910	7.21 (7.20-7.23)	56,001	2.10 (2.08-2.12)	55,578	1.45 (1.43-1.47)


THA: total hip arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

The NRS (activity) score measures pain during activity. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing no pain and 10.0 representing the most possible pain.

*EQ5D index score*

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative EQ-5D index scores of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2021**



**TABLE Mean EQ-5D index scores**

EQ-5D Index score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Age category						
<60 years	8,390	0.51 (0.50-0.51)	8,328	0.78 (0.78-0.78)	8,268	0.83 (0.83-0.84)
60-74 years	31,922	0.55 (0.54-0.55)	31,661	0.80 (0.80-0.80)	31,385	0.84 (0.84-0.84)
≥75 years	15,445	0.51 (0.51-0.52)	15,209	0.78 (0.78-0.78)	15,068	0.81 (0.80-0.81)
Total	55,763	0.53 (0.53-0.53)	55,204	0.79 (0.79-0.79)	54,727	0.83 (0.83-0.83)


THA: total hip arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

The EQ-5D index score measures quality of life. The score has a range of -0.329 to 1.0, with 1.0 representing the best possible quality of life.

*EQ5D thermometer*

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative EQ-5D thermometer scores of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2021**




**TABLE Mean EQ-5D thermometer scores**

EQ-5D thermometer score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Age category						
<60 years	8,413	63.6 (63.1-64.0)	8,381	76.9 (76.6-77.3)	8,340	78.8 (78.4-79.2)
60-74 years	31,997	66.8 (66.5-67.0)	31,946	77.6 (77.4-77.8)	31,701	78.3 (78.1-78.5)
≥75 years	15,453	66.0 (65.7-66.3)	15,354	75.0 (74.7-75.3)	15,223	74.4 (74.1-74.7)
Total	55,869	66.1 (65.9-66.2)	55,687	76.8 (76.7-77.0)	55,270	77.3 (77.1-77.5)

THA: total hip arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

The EQ-5D thermometer score measures the health situation. The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing the worst possible health situation and 100.0 the best possible health situation.

**HOOS-PS score****FIGURE** Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative HOOS-PS scores of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2021**TABLE** Mean HOOS-PS scores

HOOS-PS score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative		
	Age category	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
	<60 years	8,185	49.1 (48.8-49.5)	8,006	17.7 (17.4-18.0)	8,093	12.0 (11.7-12.3)
	60-74 years	30,759	47.2 (47.0-47.4)	30,034	16.6 (16.4-16.7)	30,133	12.0 (11.8-12.1)
	≥75 years	14,283	48.7 (48.4-49.0)	13,408	18.7 (18.5-19.0)	13,454	15.1 (14.9-15.4)
	Total	53,233	47.9 (47.8-48.1)	51,454	17.3 (17.2-17.4)	51,686	12.8 (12.7-12.9)


THA: total hip arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

The HOOS-PS score measures the physical functioning of patients with osteoarthritis to the hip. The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing no effort and 100.0 the most possible effort.

**Oxford Hip score**

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative Oxford Hip scores of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2021**



**TABLE Mean Oxford Hip scores**

Oxford Hip score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative <sup>†</sup>	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Age category						
<60 years	7,873	22.8 (22.6-23.0)	7,802	39.5 (39.3-39.7)	7,753	42.8 (42.6-43.0)
60-74 years	30,128	23.9 (23.8-24.0)	29,902	40.0 (40.0-40.1)	29,639	42.8 (42.7-42.9)
≥75 years	14,526	22.5 (22.4-22.7)	14,183	39.1 (38.9-39.2)	14,052	41.3 (41.1-41.4)
Total	52,533	23.4 (23.2-23.4)	51,893	39.7 (39.6-39.8)	51,450	42.4 (42.3-42.5)


THA: total hip arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

The Oxford Hip score measures the physical functioning and pain of patients with osteoarthritis to the hip. The score has a range of 0.0 to 48.0, with 0.0 representing no functional ability and 48.0 the most functional ability.

**Anchor question: Daily functioning**

**FIGURE** Mean 3 months and 12 months postoperative Anchor scores: change in daily functioning of patients who underwent a THA for osteoarthritis by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2021



**TABLE** Mean anchor question: Daily functioning

Anchor question score	3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative <sup>a</sup>	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Age category				
<60 years	8,138	5.90 (5.87-5.92)	8,177	6.16 (6.14-6.19)
60-74 years	31,110	5.83 (5.82-5.84)	31,042	6.04 (6.03-6.06)
≥75 years	15,076	5.63 (5.61-5.65)	14,950	5.72 (5.70-5.74)
Total	54,340	5.78 (5.77-5.80)	54,175	5.97 (5.96-5.98)

THA: total hip arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

The anchor question measures change in daily functioning after joint replacement. The score has a range of 1.0 to 7.0, with 1.0 representing very deteriorated and 7.0 representing very improved.

# Knee arthroplasty

## Numbers

### Registered procedures

**TABLE Number of registered knee arthroplasties per year of surgery (2007-2022) in the LROI in April 2023**


Year of surgery	Type of knee arthroplasty					Total (n)
	Total arthroplasty (n)	Unicondylar arthroplasty (n)	Patellofemoral arthroplasty (n)	Unknown/missing (n)	Revision arthroplasty (n)	
2007	7,035	780	47	878	595	9,335
2008	11,747	1,221	92	405	908	14,373
2009	16,792	1,547	139	175	1,300	19,953
2010	18,507	1,716	143	241	1,624	22,231
2011	19,521	1,586	116	207	1,794	23,224
2012	21,727	1,578	171	255	2,117	25,848
2013	22,302	1,805	135	207	2,309	26,758
2014	24,242	2,364	116	118	2,556	29,396
2015	24,246	2,693	156	48	2,686	29,829
2016	24,884	2,947	144	100	2,926	31,001
2017	25,555	3,662	168	43	2,997	32,425
2018	25,835	4,073	183	29	2,930	33,050
2019	25,886	4,888	175	43	3,101	34,093
2020	19,615	4,725	158	22	2,496	27,016
2021	21,514	5,666	108	15	2,601	29,904
2022	26,708	6,972	116	26	2,985	36,807
Total	336,116	48,223	2,167	2,812	35,925	425,243

© LROI 2023

The LROI is nearly complete as of 2010. Therefore, a dotted line was inserted between 2009 and 2010.

## Type of procedures


**FIGURE Number of primary knee arthroplasties and knee revision arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**



Out of 33,822 primary knee arthroplasties that were performed in 2022,  
3% (n=1022) was performed bilaterally.

## Type of procedure per hospital


**FIGURE Number of primary knee arthroplasties and knee revision arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=36,781)**



TKA: total knee arthroplasty; UKA: unicompartmental knee arthroplasty; PKA: patellofemoral knee arthroplasty.

## Type of hospital - primary

**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of hospital performing primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**




Please note: The number of general hospitals that performed primary total knee arthroplasties decreased from 72 to 64 between 2013-2022; the number of private hospitals increased from 11 to 17 and the number of University Medical Centers remained 7 between 2013-2022.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

## Type of hospital - revision

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of hospital performing knee revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022




Please note: The number of general hospitals that performed knee revision arthroplasties decreased from 72 to 63 between 2013-2022; the number of private hospitals increased from 7 to 13 and the number of University Medical Centers remained 7 between 2013-2022.

RA: revision arthroplasty.

## Type of procedure by type of hospital

**FIGURE** Primary knee arthroplasties and knee revision arthroplasties (proportion [%] per category) by type of hospital in the Netherlands in 2022




Please note: in 2022, 64 general hospitals, 7 UMCs and 17 private hospitals performed knee arthroplasties.

General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital.


## Type of primary knee prosthesis by type of hospital

**FIGURE Type of hospital (proportion [%] per category) by type of primary knee arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022**



## Type of primary knee prosthesis by age category

**FIGURE Type of primary knee arthroplasty (proportion [%] per category) of patients who underwent a primary knee arthroplasty by age category in the Netherlands in 2022**



## Patient characteristics

### By type of knee prosthesis

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary knee arthroplasty by type of knee arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022**

N (%)	TKA 26,708 (79)	UKA 6,972 (21)	PFA 116 (0)	Total <sup>1</sup> 33,822
Mean age (years) (SD)	69.6 (8.7)	65.6 (8.7)	55.0 (9.9)	68.7 (8.9)
Age (years) (%)				
<50	1	3	30	2
50-59	13	24	41	15
60-69	32	38	20	33
70-79	42	30	7	39
≥80	12	5	2	11
Gender (%)				
Men	38	46	26	40
Women	62	54	74	60
ASA score (%)				
I	10	16	32	11
II	64	67	58	65
III-IV	26	17	10	24
Type of hospital (%)				
General	78	69	70	76
UMC	1	0	2	1
Private	21	31	28	23
Diagnosis (%)				
Osteoarthritis	97	99	98	97
Post-traumatic	2	0	2	1
Rheumatoid arthritis	1	0	0	1
Osteonecrosis	0	1	0	1
Other	0	0	0	0
Charnley-score (%)				
A One knee joint affected	36	44	37	38
B1 Both knee joints affected	36	35	42	36
B2 Contralateral knee joint with a total knee prosthesis	24	19	17	23
C Multiple joints affected or chronic disease that affects quality of life	4	2	4	3
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	29.4 (4.9)	29.0 (4.4)	28.2 (4.5)	29.3 (4.8)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)				
Underweight (<18.5)	0	0	0	0
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	19	19	25	19
Overweight (>25-30)	41	45	44	42
Obesity (>30-40)	37	34	30	36
Morbid obesity (>40)	3	2	1	3
Smoking (%)				
No	93	92	90	93
Yes	7	8	10	7

<sup>1</sup> Contains 26 (0.1%) primary knee arthroplasties of which the type of prosthesis was not registered.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; UKA: unicompartmental knee arthroplasty; PFA: patellofemoral knee arthroplasty; General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.

© LROI 2023

## By diagnosis

**TABLE** Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary knee arthroplasty by type of diagnosis in the Netherlands in 2022

N (%)	Osteoarthritis 32.712 (97)	Post-traumatic 396 (1)	Rheumatoid arthritis 275 (1)	Osteonecrosis 165 (0)	Total <sup>1</sup> 33.822
Mean age (years) (SD)	68.8 (8.8)	64.1 (10.3)	65.6 (10.1)	69.7 (10.7)	68.7 (8.9)
Age (years) (%)					
<50	1	6	6	4	2
50-59	15	31	20	16	15
60-69	34	31	37	22	33
70-79	39	24	32	42	39
≥80	11	8	5	16	11
Gender (%)					
Men	40	50	27	35	40
Women	60	50	73	65	60
ASA score (%)					
I	12	15	2	9	11
II	64	66	68	60	65
III-IV	24	19	30	31	24
Type of hospital (%)					
General	76	77	81	80	76
UMC	1	4	7	0	1
Private	23	19	12	20	23
Charnley-score (%)					
A One knee joint affected	38	70	24	74	38
B1 Both knee joints affected	36	19	36	12	36
B2 Contralateral knee joint with a total knee prosthesis	23	7	21	9	23
C Multiple joints affected or chronic disease that affects quality of life	3	4	19	5	3
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	29.4 (4.8)	28.4 (4.8)	28.0 (4.6)	28.4 (4.6)	29.3 (4.8)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)					
Underweight ( $\leq 18.5$ )	0	1	1	0	0
Normal weight ( $18.5-25$ )	19	26	31	24	19
Overweight ( $>25-30$ )	42	40	38	47	42
Obesity ( $>30-40$ )	36	31	29	26	36
Morbid obesity ( $>40$ )	3	2	1	3	3
Smoking (%)					
No	93	88	89	91	93
Yes	7	12	11	9	7

Please note: in 2022, 144 (0.4%) patients had a primary knee arthroplasty after a diagnosis that is not listed in the table. Of 130 (0.4%) primary knee arthroplasties the diagnosis was not registered.

General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.


© LROI 2023

## Total knee arthroplasty


### Surgical techniques

#### *Surgical approach*

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in surgical approach for performing a primary total knee arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2013-2022




TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Fixation****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Prosthesis characteristics****ODEP rating****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in ODEP rating in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2015-2021Please note: More information on ODEP rating can be found on [www.odep.org.uk](http://www.odep.org.uk).

Other: All TKAs of which no ODEP rating is available.


TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

*Type of femur component***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of femur component in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

Type of femur component (%)

Posterior Stabilized	45.78	48.87	52.16	56.65	58.74	61.18	61.68	62.52	64.91	64.21	<b>57.65</b>
Minimally Stabilized (CR)	55.15	49.20	45.90	40.97	38.20	35.60	35.32	34.65	32.18	32.30	<b>39.60</b>
Bicruciate sacrificing	1.87	1.04	0.88	1.06	0.97	1.33	0.77	0.50	0.51	1.09	<b>1.01</b>
Medial Pivot	0.32	0.42	0.59	0.82	1.54	1.24	1.44	1.64	1.67	1.76	<b>1.14</b>
Hinged	0.28	0.27	0.24	0.24	0.25	0.31	0.35	0.40	0.42	0.33	<b>0.31</b>
Fully Stabilized	0.20	0.19	0.23	0.26	0.30	0.34	0.44	0.30	0.31	0.31	<b>0.29</b>
Total (n):	22,133	24,051	23,870	23,758	23,980	24,994	24,866	18,180	21,137	26,293	<b>233,262</b>

TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

*Implantation of patella***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in implantation of patella in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

Implantation of patella (%)

No	79.91	79.04	78.01	78.51	79.42	78.55	79.21	79.75	79.49	85.06	<b>79.73</b>
Yes	20.09	20.96	21.99	21.49	20.58	21.45	20.79	20.25	20.51	14.94	<b>20.27</b>
Total (n):	22,229	24,155	24,082	24,711	25,334	25,661	25,652	19,340	21,358	26,521	<b>239,043</b>

TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

## Materials

### Femur component


**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in femur material in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**



TKA: total knee arthroplasty.



### Tibia component


**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in tibia material in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**



Please note: A standard PE tibia component was implanted in 2 (<0.01%) primary TKAs in 2013-2016.


TKA: total knee arthroplasty; PE:polyethylene.

*Insert***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in insert material in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022*Patella component***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in patella material in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022


**Bone cement****Antibiotics****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of antibiotics in bone cement in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

Please note: Bone cement with gentamicin and vancomycin was used in 14 (<0.01%) primary TKAs in 2015-2021.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Viscosity****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in bone cement viscosity in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Vacuum mixing system****FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; Separately packed: separately packed bone cement components; Pre-packed: Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system.

**Most frequently registered****Total knee prostheses****TABLE The most frequently registered primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022**

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Total knee arthroplasties (n)	24,992	24,866	18,180	21,137	26,294
Femur name: Proportion (%)					
Genesis II	24.8	23.5	22.2	21.2	20.3
NexGen	22.3	22.0	19.5	17.3	17.6
Vanguard Complete Knee	21.5	21.8	20.7	19.1	16.4
Persona	0.1	0.8	3.4	9.4	11.5
Attune	1.1	1.8	3.7	7.0	9.8
Triathlon	5.0	6.0	8.5	9.6	9.5
PFC/Sigma	9.6	9.1	8.5	7.3	6.7
balanSys	1.3	1.3	1.9	1.7	1.8
MRK	0.4	0.8	0.9	1.0	1.1
LCS	8.9	9.3	7.2	3.1	1.1

© LROI 2023


**Types of bone cement****TABLE The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022**

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	11,038	12,327	9,041	12,429	16,427
Cement name: Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	41.1	48.2	51.7	49.2	49.6
Refobacin Bone Cement R	49.0	44.0	39.4	45.2	43.8
Refobacin Plus Bone Cement	9.9	7.8	8.9	5.6	6.5
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	11,298	9,644	7,119	6,281	6,868
Cement name: Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	89.2	83.4	70.1	81.7	80.1
Refobacin Bone Cement R	2.3	5.9	16.7	5.8	6.2
Subiton G	1.1	3.4	5.0	5.8	5.7
Biomet Bone Cement R	0.5	1.0	1.6	3.5	4.2
Palacos MV+G	3.0	2.9	3.1	2.4	3.1

© LROI 2023

**Practice variation****Surgical approach**

**FIGURE** Distribution of surgical approach used during primary total knee arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=26,653)



TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Fixation**


**FIGURE** Distribution of type of fixation used during primary total knee arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=26,694)




TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Type of femur component**


**FIGURE** Distribution of type of femur component used during primary total knee arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=26,708)




TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Implantation of patella****FIGURE Distribution of implantation of patella during primary total knee arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=26,521)**

TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Unicondylar knee arthroplasty****Surgical techniques****Surgical approach****FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in surgical approach for performing a primary unicondylar knee arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**

UKA: unicondylar knee arthroplasty.

**Fixation****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in primary unicondylar knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022

UKA: unicondylar knee arthroplasty.

**Most frequently registered****Unicondylar knee prostheses****TABLE** The most frequently registered primary unicondylar knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Unicondylar knee arthroplasties (n)	3,687	4,443	4,071	5,465	6,838
Name; Proportion (%)					
Oxford PKR cementless	57.6	54.8	58.9	65.8	68.5
Physica Zimmer Unicompartimental High Flex Knee	9.8	13.2	17.4	16.6	15.2
Oxford PKR cemented	27.9	27.4	19.9	14.0	11.7
Restoris MCK	0.1	0.1	0.1	1.5	2.0
Journey Uni	1.8	1.7	1.6	0.7	1.3

© LROI 2023

**Types of bone cement****TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during primary unicondylar knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	701	895	629	934	1,112
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	19.1	32.0	50.9	63.4	59.1
Refabacina Bone Cement R	77.3	63.8	43.4	35.0	38.8
Refabacina Plus Bone Cement	3.6	4.2	5.7	1.6	2.1
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	942	1,156	1,066	965	1,010
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	84.5	79.5	70.2	78.9	79.4
Biomet Bone Cement R	0.0	0.1	5.4	7.4	10.8
Palacos MV+G	9.2	9.6	8.6	7.8	6.6
Subiton G	0.8	1.6	2.9	2.5	2.5
Simplex ABC Tobra	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4

© LROI 2023

## Patellofemoral knee arthroplasty

### Surgical techniques

#### Surgical approach


**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in surgical approach for performing a primary patellofemoral knee arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



Please note: In 2018, the surgical approach of 1 (<0.01%) primary PKA was registered as other.  
PKA: patellofemoral knee arthroplasty.

### Fixation

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in primary patellofemoral knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



PKA: patellofemoral knee arthroplasty.

## Most frequently registered

### Patellofemoral knee prostheses

**TABLE** The most frequently registered primary patellofemoral knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Patellofemoral knee arthroplasties (n)	147	158	142	101	97
<b>Name: Proportion (%)</b>					
Gender Solutions® Patello-Femoral Joint	60.5	53.2	57.7	49.5	71.1
Journey PFI	19.7	25.9	23.9	24.8	12.4
Avon	15.0	14.6	13.4	20.8	12.4
Restoris MCK	0.0	0.0	0.0	2.0	4.1

© LROI 2023

## Types of bone cement

**TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement used during primary patellofemoral knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022


Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement (n)	105	104	128	93	93
Cement name: Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	71.4	72.1	82.8	80.6	80.6
Refabacin Bone Cement R	17.1	14.4	11.7	12.9	12.9
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	5.7	8.7	4.7	5.4	5.4
Subiton G	1.0	3.8	0.8	1.1	1.1

© LROI 2023

## Knee revision arthroplasty

### Type of revision

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of revision in knee revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



Type of revision (%)											
Removal of prosthesis	4.52	5.37	6.16	6.67	4.80	6.20	4.40	5.98	5.28	4.68	5.40
Total revision	51.95	51.62	49.03	48.43	39.95	46.47	45.55	46.55	47.98	47.46	47.31
Major partial revision	11.16	11.10	9.93	9.46	8.81	8.46	9.29	8.03	7.82	6.49	8.99
Minor partial revision	31.49	31.20	33.23	34.02	45.39	37.50	38.63	37.56	37.65	39.83	36.88
Unknown partial revision	0.31	0.20	0.26	0.21	0.24	0.65	1.13	0.64	0.42	0.81	0.50
Other	0.58	0.51	1.38	1.20	0.82	0.72	1.00	1.24	0.85	0.74	0.91
Total (n):	2,258	2,532	2,678	2,907	2,939	2,920	3,091	2,492	2,595	2,973	27,385

RA: revision arthroplasty.

Major partial revision: revision of at least femur or tibia component.

Minor partial revision: Only insert and/or patella exchange (including patella addition).

Unknown partial revision: partial revision of which the revised components were unknown.

in 2022, the femur component was revised in 53 (27.5%) major partial knee revision arthroplasties and the tibia component was revised in 103 (53.4%) major partial knee revision arthroplasties.

## Reasons for revision

**TABLE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in reasons for revision in patients who underwent a knee revision arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
<b>Knee revision arthroplasty (n)</b>	2,556	2,686	2,926	2,997	2,930	3,101	2,496	2,601	2,985	25,278
<b>Reasons for revision; Proportion<sup>1</sup> (%)</b>										
Instability	25.3	26.4	25.1	27.7	25.8	27.4	26.2	26.3	24.6	26.1
Patellar pain	22.9	23.1	21.5	19.7	18.9	20.2	18.7	17.8	23.9	20.8
Loosening of tibia component	22.3	20.6	21.9	20.9	19.5	20.7	19.5	19.0	18.7	20.4
Infection	14.8	16.5	19.6	20.3	20.8	20.2	23.7	22.0	22.4	20.1
Malalignment	15.7	14.7	13.9	11.3	10.7	10.2	10.6	11.0	8.9	11.8
Progression of osteoarthritis	9.1	8.3	9.3	8.2	8.7	8.0	7.6	8.9	11.9	8.9
Loosening of femur component	10.0	9.5	9.0	8.9	8.4	8.6	8.0	8.8	8.4	8.8
Insert wear	8.4	7.8	7.6	6.8	6.6	7.1	7.0	7.7	10.3	7.7
Revision after knee removal	6.9	5.7	6.3	5.6	4.9	4.2	5.1	4.3	4.9	5.3
Arthrobrosis	4.7	5.1	4.3	4.9	4.6	5.3	3.9	4.3	3.9	4.6
Patellar dislocation	2.5	2.8	2.1	2.4	2.2	2.5	2.8	2.2	2.6	2.4
Periprosthetic fracture	2.2	2.3	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.9	2.6	2.7	2.5	2.1
Loosening of patella component	2.0	1.5	1.9	1.8	1.4	1.7	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.7
Bearing dislocation <sup>2</sup>									3.7	
Other	8.1	8.6	8.3	7.4	7.7	7.8	7.5	8.7	8.7	8.1

<sup>1</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.


<sup>2</sup> Please note: Bearing dislocation was not registered before 2022.

© LROI 2023

## Surgical techniques

### Fixation


**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in knee revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022




RA: revision arthroplasty.

### Conversion to TKA

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in conversion of a unicondylar or patellofemoral knee arthroplasty to a total knee arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2013-2022



RA: revision arthroplasty; TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

**Bone cement antibiotics****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of antibiotics in bone cement in knee revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2013-2022**Bone cement antibiotics (%)**

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Gentamicin	58.51	61.61	65.24	65.06	69.28	62.39	64.53	60.51	64.46	65.77	<b>63.87</b>
Gentamicin+Clindamycin	31.25	29.79	28.56	31.09	25.57	33.05	31.00	35.10	31.91	31.26	<b>30.75</b>
Erythromycin+Colistin	5.65	4.06	4.18	1.32	1.54	1.77	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<b>1.86</b>
Gentamicin+Vancomycin	1.01	1.60	1.03	1.71	3.02	2.20	3.56	3.93	3.40	2.81	<b>2.40</b>
Tobramycin	2.32	2.08	0.67	0.34	0.05	0.05	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.11	<b>0.56</b>
No antibiotics	1.25	0.85	0.31	0.49	0.53	0.54	0.91	0.46	0.23	0.05	<b>0.56</b>
Total (n):	1,680	1,873	1,936	2,052	1,885	1,861	1,968	1,527	1,705	1,849	18,336

RA: revision arthroplasty.

## Most frequently registered

### *Components*

**TABLE** The most frequently registered femur, tibia, insert and patella components in knee revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Femur(n)	1,334	1,314	1,027	1,157	1,272
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
NexGen	18.9	20.1	18.5	18.6	19.7
Legion	26.1	23.7	23.9	20.1	17.9
Genesis II	7.9	8.0	8.7	7.5	9.7
Attune	0.3	1.2	2.5	4.1	8.9
Triathlon	4.9	5.9	8.6	8.5	8.0
Vanguard Complete Knee	7.3	6.8	5.6	8.0	6.8
Vanguard 360	4.3	5.1	6.3	6.1	6.3
PFC / SIGMA	6.8	4.7	6.0	5.5	4.0
RT Plus	1.3	3.0	3.4	4.0	4.0
Legion Hinged	5.6	5.2	3.8	3.5	3.5

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Tibia (n)	1,376	1,417	1,115	1,245	1,371
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Legion	27.1	25.7	24.1	20.3	17.7
NexGen	18.9	19.8	16.0	15.5	17.6
Triathlon	5.2	6.3	9.0	9.1	8.7
Attune	0.4	1.9	3.0	4.7	8.4
Genesis II	5.2	5.2	4.9	4.8	7.1
Vanguard 360	6.3	6.9	7.0	7.4	6.7
Vanguard Complete Knee	5.1	4.9	4.0	5.5	5.6
RT Plus	1.2	2.8	4.4	5.8	5.3
Rotation Hinged Knee	3.1	2.9	3.4	3.3	4.2
S-ROM	12.6	10.6	9.5	7.5	4.1

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Insert (n)	2,192	2,282	1,752	1,970	2,214
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Genesis II	26.2	26.1	26.3	24.5	24.1
NexGen	18.8	18.3	16.3	14.2	14.7
Vanguard Complete Knee	8.6	10.2	7.9	8.7	8.8
Triathlon	4.3	5.8	7.2	8.0	8.2
Oxford PKR	4.5	4.1	5.3	5.9	7.3
Attune	0.5	1.2	2.2	2.9	5.3
Vanguard SSK	3.5	3.5	4.2	4.0	4.4
PFC / SIGMA	7.1	5.6	5.8	5.4	4.0
Legion	2.9	4.3	4.5	4.6	3.5
RT Plus	0.8	1.9	2.9	3.5	3.5

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Patella (n)	1,088	1,185	947	941	983
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Genesis II	44.4	45.7	50.6	45.2	44.8
Vanguard	14.7	15.3	13.2	15.1	17.4
NexGen	14.2	15.6	12.8	12.0	10.5
Attune	2.4	2.0	2.6	3.8	6.0
Triathlon	4.0	4.5	5.5	7.5	4.9
PFC / SIGMA	9.2	7.2	5.4	6.1	4.8
Persona	0.0	0.1	1.3	2.1	3.9
balanSys	0.6	1.3	2.0	2.8	2.3
LCS	4.1	2.8	2.7	2.1	1.9
AGC	0.6	1.6	0.5	0.9	0.6

© LROI 2023

**Types of bone cement****TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during knee revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	582	705	488	674	801
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	41.8	38.9	50.2	48.7	48.4
Refabacinc Bone Cement R	49.1	53.0	39.8	41.1	43.4
Refabacinc Plus Bone Cement	8.9	7.7	6.1	8.0	6.6
Refabacinc Revision	0.2	0.4	3.9	2.1	1.5

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	1,263	1,232	1,009	1,002	1,009
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Copal G+C	38.1	36.4	38.2	39.1	40.0
Palacos R+G	38.6	38.0	30.6	33.9	32.6
Refabacinc Revision	9.8	11.0	12.7	12.4	14.3
Copal G+V	2.2	4.6	4.5	5.2	4.3
Subiton G	0.5	2.7	4.3	4.7	4.2

© LROI 2023

**Survival total knee arthroplasty****Revision within 1 and 3 years****By type of revision within 1 year****TABLE** Cumulative 1-year revision percentage of primary total knee arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=117,934)

	Cumulative 1-year revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
Any type of revision <sup>1</sup>	1.0 (1.0-1.1)
Major revision <sup>2</sup>	0.3 (0.2-0.3)
Only femur	0.0 (0.0-0.1)
Only tibia	0.1 (0.0-0.1)
Femur and tibia	0.2 (0.1-0.2)
Minor revision <sup>3</sup>	0.7 (0.7-0.8)
DAIR	0.5 (0.4-0.5)
No DAIR	0.2 (0.2-0.2)
Patella addition	0.1 (0.0-0.1)

<sup>1</sup> Any type of revision includes minor and major revisions as well as revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.<sup>2</sup> Revision of at least the femur or tibia component.<sup>3</sup> Only insert and/or patella exchange (including DAIR procedures).

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2017-2021, 895 (0.8%) primary TKAs were implanted in patients who died within one year after the primary procedure.

**By type of revision within 3 year****TABLE** Cumulative 3-year revision percentage of primary total knee arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2015-2019 (n=125,934)

	Cumulative 3-year revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
Any type of revision <sup>1</sup>	3.3 (3.2-3.4)
Major revision <sup>2</sup>	1.3 (1.3-1.4)
Only femur	0.1 (0.1-0.2)
Only tibia	0.3 (0.2-0.3)
Femur and tibia	0.9 (0.9-1.0)
Minor revision <sup>3</sup>	1.9 (1.8-2.0)
DAIR	0.5 (0.5-0.6)
No DAIR	0.8 (0.8-0.9)
Patella addition	0.6 (0.5-0.6)

<sup>1</sup> Any type of revision includes minor and major revisions as well as revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.<sup>2</sup> Revision of at least the acetabulum or femur component.<sup>3</sup> Only insert and/or patella exchange (including DAIR procedures).

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2015-2019, 3,954 (3.1%) primary TKAs were implanted in patients who died within three years after the primary procedure.

***First major or minor revision*****TABLE Cumulative 3-year first revision percentage of primary total knee arthroplasties by type of first major or first minor revision in the Netherlands in 2015-2019 (n=125,934)**

Cumulative 3-year first revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)	
First major revision <sup>1</sup>	1.5 (1.4-1.5)
Femur	1.2 (1.1-1.3)
Tibia	1.3 (1.3-1.4)
First minor revision <sup>2</sup>	1.9 (1.8-2.0)
Insert	1.3 (1.2-1.4)
Patella	0.9 (0.8-0.9)


<sup>1</sup> First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

<sup>2</sup> Only insert and/or patella exchange (including DAIR procedures).

TKA: total


© LROI 2023

In 2015-2019, 3,954 (3.1%) primary TKAs were implanted in patients who died within three years after the primary procedure.

***Overall revision per hospital*****FIGURE Funnel plot of proportion of knee revision arthroplasties within three years after a total knee arthroplasty per hospital in the Netherlands in 2015-2019 (n=125,934)**

Please note: The proportion of revisions within 3 years per hospital were adjusted for casemix factors age, gender, ASA score and diagnosis (osteoarthritis versus other). TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CL: control limits; CI: confidence interval.

The mean 3-years revision percentage is 3.3 (95% CI: 3.2-3.4 ) in the Netherlands in 2015-2019.  
Control limits indicate the plausible range of outcome if all hospitals perform equally well.

**Major revision per hospital****FIGURE** Funnel plot of proportion of knee major revision arthroplasties within three years after a total knee arthroplasty per hospital in the Netherlands in 2015-2019 (n=125,934)

Please note: Major revision is defined as revision of at least femur or tibia component. Please note: The proportion of revisions within 3 years per hospital were adjusted for casemix factors age, gender, ASA score and diagnosis (osteoarthritis versus other). TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CL: control limits; CI: confidence interval.

**The mean 3-years major revision percentage is 1.3 (95% CI: 1.3-1.4) in the Netherlands in 2015-2019.  
Control limits indicate the plausible range of outcome if all hospitals perform equally well.**

**Reasons for revision by type of revision****TABLE** Reasons for revision within three years of primary total knee arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2015-2019

Reasons for revision	Major revision <sup>1</sup> (n=1,858)		Any type of revision <sup>3</sup> (n=4,176)	
	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)
Patellar pain	8.0	39.8	27.0	
Instability	32.2	23.0	26.7	
Infection	21.5	28.7	24.1	
Loosening of tibia component	32.1	0.6	14.1	
Malalignment	26.6	1.6	12.3	
Arthrophibrosis	10.0	7.0	8.4	
Loosening of femur component	8.1	0.3	3.6	
Patellar dislocation	3.8	4.3	4.0	
Periprosthetic fracture	4.6	0.2	2.2	
Revision after knee removal	3.5	0.3	1.3	
Insert wear	0.3	1.5	1.0	
Loosening of patella component	0.6	0.8	0.7	
Progression of osteoarthritis	0.2	0.7	0.5	
Other	6.8	9.8	8.7	

<sup>1</sup> First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

<sup>2</sup> Only insert and/or patella exchange (including DAIR procedures).

<sup>3</sup> Any type of revision includes all first revisions, including revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.

<sup>4</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.

© LROI 2023

**Time after primary TKA****TABLE** Time after primary total knee arthroplasty until short-term revision in the Netherlands in 2015-2019 (n=125,934)

Time after primary TKA	Percentage revisions (%)
Day 0-29	0.3
Day 30-182	0.4
Day 183-364	0.5
Day 365-730 (second year)	1.4
Day 731-1095 (third year)	0.8


TKA: total knee arthroplasty.

© LROI 2023

## Revision by patient characteristics

### TKA by patient province

**FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentages of total knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by patient province in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=206,726)




**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Patient province	Number (n)	Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
Drenthe	7,937	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	1.1 (0.8-1.3)	1.7 (1.4-2.1)	2.2 (1.8-2.7)	2.5 (2.0-3.1)
Flevoland	4,513	0.4 (0.2-0.6)	2.0 (1.6-2.5)	2.6 (2.1-3.1)	3.1 (2.4-3.7)	3.5 (2.7-4.3)
Friesland	8,170	0.4 (0.2-0.5)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	1.9 (1.6-2.3)	2.4 (2.0-2.8)	2.5 (2.0-3.0)
Gelderland	27,420	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	1.5 (1.4-1.7)	2.2 (1.9-2.4)	2.6 (2.4-2.9)	2.9 (2.6-3.2)
Groningen	6,520	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	1.1 (0.8-1.3)	1.4 (1.1-1.7)	1.8 (1.3-2.2)	1.8 (1.3-2.2)
Limburg	15,183	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	2.4 (2.1-2.8)	2.7 (2.3-3.1)
Noord-Brabant	30,952	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	1.6 (1.5-1.8)	2.1 (2.0-2.3)	2.5 (2.2-2.7)	2.9 (2.5-3.2)
Noord-Holland	31,193	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	1.3 (1.1-1.4)	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	2.1 (1.9-2.3)	2.4 (2.1-2.6)
Overijssel	14,805	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	2.2 (1.9-2.5)	2.7 (2.4-3.0)	3.4 (2.8-4.0)
Utrecht	13,639	0.3 (0.2-0.4)	1.5 (1.3-1.7)	2.0 (1.8-2.3)	2.5 (2.2-2.9)	3.1 (2.5-3.6)
Zeeland	5,084	0.1 (0.0-0.3)	0.7 (0.4-1.0)	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	1.1 (0.7-1.4)	1.4 (0.9-1.9)
Zuid-Holland	41,310	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	2.1 (1.9-2.2)	2.4 (2.2-2.6)	3.1 (2.6-3.6)

Major revision percentage: First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## TKA by pre-PROM

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by valid pre-operative PROM of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=209,153)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Pre-PROM	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
Valid	93,414	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	3.1 (3.0-3.3)	4.1 (3.9-4.2)	4.7 (4.5-4.8)	n.a.
Invalid	115,739	1.0 (1.0-1.1)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)	4.1 (4.0-4.3)	4.7 (4.6-4.9)	5.3 (5.1-5.5)


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Valid: pre-operative PROM reported; Invalid: non-responders to pre-operative PROM; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk..

© LROI 2023

TKA by complete PROM (pre-, 6mnd, 12 mnd)


**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by complete PROM (pre-, 6mnd, 12mnd) of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=209,153)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
Reported pre-, 6mnd and 12mnd PROM						
Complete	40,843	0.7 (0.6-0.7)	2.7 (2.6-2.9)	3.6 (3.4-3.9)	4.2 (3.9-4.5)	n.a.
Incomplete	168,310	1.1 (1.1-1.2)	3.4 (3.3-3.4)	4.2 (4.1-4.4)	4.8 (4.7-4.9)	5.4 (5.2-5.6)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Complete: pre-operative, 6 and 12 months postoperative PROM reported; Incomplete: one or more PROMs missing; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk..

**TKA by pre-OKS****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by pre-operative Oxford Knee score of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=82,169)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


Pre-operative Oxford Knee score	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
0 to 19	27,098	1.2 (1.0-1.3)	3.8 (3.6-4.1)	4.9 (4.6-5.2)	5.8 (5.3-6.2)
20 to 29	38,295	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	2.9 (2.7-3.1)	3.8 (3.6-4.1)	4.3 (4.0-4.6)
30 to 39	15,447	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	2.6 (2.3-2.8)	3.4 (3.1-3.8)	3.9 (3.4-4.3)
40 to 48	1,329	0.3 (0.0-0.6)	1.3 (0.6-2.0)	2.6 (1.4-3.8)	2.6 (1.4-3.8)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023


The Oxford Knee score measures the physical functioning and pain of patients with osteoarthritis to the knee. The score has a range of 0.0 to 48.0, with 0.0 representing no functional ability and 48.0 the most functional ability.

*TKA by gender***FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by gender in the Netherlands in 2007-2022  
(n=334,562)****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Gender	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Men	118,431	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	3.4 (3.3-3.5)	4.4 (4.2-4.5)	5.1 (4.9-5.2)	6.1 (5.9-6.2)	7.3 (7.0-7.6)
Women	216,131	0.9 (0.8-0.9)	3.2 (3.1-3.2)	4.2 (4.1-4.2)	4.8 (4.7-4.9)	5.6 (5.5-5.7)	6.5 (6.3-6.6)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

**TKA by age category****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by age category in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=334,768)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Age (years)	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<50	7,092	1.4 (1.1-1.6)	6.9 (6.3-7.5)	9.5 (8.7-10.2)	11.3 (10.5-12.1)	13.7 (12.8-14.7)	16.7 (15.4-18.0)
50-59	47,820	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	4.8 (4.6-5.0)	6.5 (6.3-6.7)	7.6 (7.4-7.9)	9.2 (8.8-9.5)	11.4 (10.9-11.8)
60-69	116,413	0.9 (0.8-0.9)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)	4.4 (4.2-4.5)	5.1 (5.0-5.2)	6.1 (5.9-6.2)	7.1 (6.9-7.4)
70-79	122,783	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	2.7 (2.6-2.8)	3.4 (3.3-3.6)	3.9 (3.8-4.0)	4.3 (4.2-4.4)	4.6 (4.4-4.7)
≥80	40,660	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	2.0 (1.9-2.2)	2.3 (2.2-2.5)	2.5 (2.3-2.6)	2.6 (2.4-2.7)	2.6 (2.4-2.8)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

*TKA by diagnosis***FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by diagnosis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=332,243)****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**


Diagnosis	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Osteoarthritis	319,800	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	3.2 (3.2-3.3)	4.2 (4.1-4.3)	4.8 (4.7-4.9)	5.7 (5.6-5.8)	6.7 (6.5-6.8)
Other	12,443	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	4.3 (3.9-4.7)	5.6 (5.2-6.0)	6.6 (6.1-7.1)	7.7 (7.1-8.3)	8.4 (7.7-9.1)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023


## TKA by ASA score

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by ASA score in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=325,686)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

ASA score	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
I	50,427	0.8 (0.7-0.9)	3.4 (3.3-3.6)	4.5 (4.3-4.7)	5.3 (5.1-5.5)	6.4 (6.2-6.7)	8.1 (7.7-8.5)
II	215,604	0.9 (0.8-0.9)	3.2 (3.1-3.2)	4.1 (4.0-4.2)	4.8 (4.6-4.9)	5.6 (5.4-5.7)	6.3 (6.1-6.5)
III-IV	59,655	1.3 (1.2-1.4)	3.5 (3.3-3.7)	4.5 (4.3-4.7)	5.1 (4.9-5.3)	5.7 (5.5-6.0)	6.4 (6.0-6.8)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

**TKA by BMI category****FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by BMI category in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=214,605)****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
<b>Body Mass Index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>						
Underweight ( $\leq 18.5$ )	383	2.0 (0.5-3.4)	5.4 (2.9-7.9)	5.4 (2.9-7.9)	6.5 (3.2-9.7)	n.a.
Normal weight ( $>18.5-25$ )	37,692	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	2.9 (2.7-3.1)	3.6 (3.4-3.9)	4.3 (4.0-4.6)	4.9 (4.5-5.3)
Overweight ( $>25-30$ )	88,356	1.0 (0.9-1.1)	3.2 (3.0-3.3)	4.1 (3.9-4.2)	4.6 (4.5-4.8)	5.2 (4.9-5.4)
Obesity ( $>30-40$ )	80,774	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	3.5 (3.3-3.6)	4.5 (4.3-4.6)	5.2 (5.0-5.4)	5.8 (5.5-6.1)
Morbid obesity ( $>40$ )	7,400	1.9 (1.6-2.2)	3.9 (3.4-4.3)	4.7 (4.1-5.2)	5.4 (4.7-6.0)	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk.

**TKA by Charnley score****FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by charnley score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=213,908)****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Charnley-score	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
A One knee joint affected	85,877	1.1 (1.0-1.1)	3.9 (3.7-4.0)	5.0 (4.8-5.2)	5.7 (5.5-5.9)	6.4 (6.1-6.7)
B1 Both knee joints affected	73,927	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	2.8 (2.7-3.0)	3.7 (3.5-3.8)	4.3 (4.1-4.5)	4.8 (4.6-5.1)
B2 Contralateral knee joint with a total knee prosthesis	46,800	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	2.6 (2.5-2.8)	3.2 (3.0-3.4)	3.7 (3.5-4.0)	4.0 (3.7-4.3)
C Multiple joints affected or chronic disease that affects quality of life	7,304	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	3.5 (3.0-3.9)	4.3 (3.7-4.8)	4.9 (4.2-5.5)	n.a.


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

© LROI 2023

*TKA by smoking*

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by smoking in the Netherlands in 2014-2022  
(n=210,312)



**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr	
<b>Smoking</b>							
No	193,046	1.0 (1.0-1.1)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	4.1 (4.0-4.2)	4.8 (4.6-4.9)	5.3 (5.1-5.5)	
Yes	17,266	1.4 (1.2-1.6)	4.2 (3.9-4.5)	5.3 (4.9-5.7)	6.0 (5.6-6.5)	6.9 (6.2-7.6)	


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## Revision by procedure characteristics

## TKA by type of revision

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=335,039)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number at risk (n)	Competing Risk (95% CI)	Kaplan Meier <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)
<b>Any type of revision</b>			
1-year revision (%)	304,549	1.0 (1.0-1.1)	0.9 (0.9-1.0)
3-year revision (%)	252,296	3.3 (3.2-3.3)	3.3 (3.2-3.3)
5-year revision (%)	194,494	4.2 (4.1-4.2)	4.2 (4.2-4.3)
10-year revision (%)	73,177	5.5 (5.4-5.6)	5.7 (5.6-5.8)
14-year revision (%)	13,062	6.2 (6.1-6.3)	6.7 (6.6-6.9)
<b>Major revision<sup>2</sup></b>			
1-year revision (%)	305,942	0.4 (0.4-0.5)	0.4 (0.3-0.4)
3-year revision (%)	256,416	1.6 (1.5-1.6)	1.6 (1.5-1.6)
5-year revision (%)	198,506	2.2 (2.1-2.2)	2.2 (2.1-2.2)
10-year revision (%)	75,118	3.1 (3.0-3.1)	3.2 (3.2-3.3)
14-year revision (%)	13,463	3.5 (3.4-3.6)	3.9 (3.8-4.0)
<b>Minor revision<sup>3</sup></b>			
1-year revision (%)	305,581	0.6 (0.6-0.7)	0.6 (0.6-0.6)
3-year revision (%)	256,236	1.7 (1.7-1.8)	1.8 (1.8-1.9)
5-year revision (%)	198,965	2.1 (2.1-2.2)	2.3 (2.2-2.3)
10-year revision (%)	76,046	2.6 (2.6-2.7)	2.9 (2.8-2.9)
14-year revision (%)	13,665	2.9 (2.8-3.0)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)


<sup>1</sup> The cumulative revision percentage using the Kaplan Meier method is shown in the figure.<sup>2</sup> First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.<sup>3</sup> Only insert and/or patella exchange (including patella addition).

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

In 2007-2022, 44,088 (13.2%) primary TKAs were implanted in patients who died within fourteen years after the primary diagnosis

**TKA by procedure year****FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties by procedure year of primary TKA in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=256,136)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)							
Procedure year primary TKA for osteoarthritis	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
2009-2010	33,148	0.35 (0.28-0.41)	1.68 (1.54-1.82)	2.40 (2.23-2.57)	2.87 (2.69-3.05)	3.52 (3.32-3.72)	4.08 (3.85-4.31)
2011-2012	39,145	0.41 (0.34-0.47)	1.82 (1.69-1.95)	2.52 (2.36-2.68)	2.97 (2.80-3.14)	3.52 (3.33-3.71)	n.a.
2013-2014	44,035	0.40 (0.34-0.46)	1.82 (1.69-1.95)	2.44 (2.29-2.59)	2.91 (2.75-3.07)	3.55 (3.34-3.76)	n.a.
2015-2016	46,940	0.43 (0.37-0.49)	1.63 (1.51-1.75)	2.21 (2.08-2.34)	2.59 (2.44-2.74)	n.a.	n.a.
2017-2018	49,370	0.33 (0.28-0.38)	1.38 (1.28-1.48)	1.88 (1.76-2.00)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	43,498	0.28 (0.23-0.33)	1.17 (1.06-1.28)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.


Major revision percentage: first revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

*TKA cemented by procedure year***Cumulative major revision percentage of cemented total knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=232,070)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**

## Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary cemented TKA for osteoarthritis	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
2009-2010	28,010	0.32 (0.25-0.38)	1.62 (1.47-1.77)	2.31 (2.13-2.49)	2.79 (2.59-2.99)	3.46 (3.24-3.68)	4.05 (3.81-4.29)
2011-2012	34,137	0.40 (0.33-0.47)	1.83 (1.69-1.97)	2.54 (2.37-2.71)	2.98 (2.80-3.16)	3.56 (3.36-3.76)	n.a.
2013-2014	40,178	0.37 (0.31-0.43)	1.81 (1.68-1.94)	2.43 (2.28-2.58)	2.92 (2.75-3.09)	3.59 (3.37-3.81)	n.a.
2015-2016	43,515	0.40 (0.34-0.46)	1.59 (1.47-1.71)	2.16 (2.02-2.30)	2.54 (2.39-2.69)	n.a.	n.a.
2017-2018	45,958	0.32 (0.27-0.37)	1.37 (1.26-1.48)	1.89 (1.76-2.02)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	40,272	0.27 (0.22-0.33)	1.15 (1.04-1.26)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.


*TKA uncemented by procedure year***Cumulative major revision percentage of uncemented total knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=11,235)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary uncemented TKA for osteoarthritis	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
2009-2010	1,982	0.76 (0.38-1.15)	2.56 (1.86-3.26)	3.50 (2.68-4.32)	3.78 (2.93-4.63)	4.48 (3.55-5.41)	4.75 (3.78-5.72)
2011-2012	2,189	0.41 (0.14-0.68)	2.26 (1.63-2.89)	2.83 (2.13-3.53)	3.13 (2.39-3.87)	3.56 (2.77-4.35)	n.a.
2013-2014	1,727	0.87 (0.43-1.31)	1.93 (1.28-2.58)	2.65 (1.89-3.41)	2.90 (2.10-3.70)	3.15 (2.22-4.08)	n.a.
2015-2016	1,692	1.01 (0.53-1.49)	2.51 (1.76-3.26)	3.13 (2.29-3.97)	3.42 (2.54-4.30)	n.a.	n.a.
2017-2018	1,874	0.59 (0.24-0.94)	1.68 (1.10-2.26)	2.10 (1.44-2.76)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	1,771	0.51 (0.18-0.84)	2.08 (1.38-2.78)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision percentage: first revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
 TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

© LROI 2023

**TKA by procedure side****FIGURE Cumulative major revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure side in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=319,487)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**


Procedure side	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Left	150,733	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	1.5 (1.5-1.6)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	3.1 (3.0-3.2)	3.8 (3.6-4.0)
Right	168,754	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	1.6 (1.5-1.6)	2.2 (2.1-2.3)	2.7 (2.6-2.7)	3.3 (3.1-3.4)	3.9 (3.7-4.0)

Major revision percentage: first revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**TKA by ODEP 5A or higher****FIGURE Cumulative major revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by ODEP classification 5A or higher in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n= 301,773)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<5A	28,880	0.3 (0.3-0.4)	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	2.5 (2.3-2.7)	3.0 (2.8-3.2)	3.9 (3.7-4.2)	4.9 (4.6-5.3)
5A or higher	272,893	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	1.5 (1.5-1.6)	2.1 (2.1-2.2)	2.6 (2.5-2.6)	3.1 (3.0-3.2)	3.7 (3.5-3.8)


Major revision percentage: First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

ODEP rating: ODEP provides ratings for hip femoral stems, hip acetabular cups and total knee replacement implants. Detailed information can be found at [www.odep.org.uk](http://www.odep.org.uk).

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023


**TKA by type of femur****FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentage of total knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by prosthesis design in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=300,909)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Prosthesis design	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Posterior stabilised (PS)	160,121	0.4 (0.4-0.4)	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	2.2 (2.2-2.3)	2.8 (2.7-2.9)	3.6 (3.5-3.8)	4.5 (4.3-4.7)
Cruciate retaining (CR)	140,788	0.3 (0.3-0.4)	1.5 (1.5-1.6)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	2.9 (2.8-3.0)	3.5 (3.3-3.6)

Major revision percentage: First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; PS: posterior stabilised; CR: cruciate retaining; CI: confidence interval.

**TKA by PE type****Cumulative major revision percentages of total knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by inlay material in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (306,279)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**

Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Fixation of primary THA	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
Uncemented	8,953	7.8 (7.3-8.4)	12.1 (11.4-12.8)	14.4 (13.6-15.2)	16.2 (15.3-17.1)
Cemented	3,080	9.5 (8.4-10.5)	13.5 (12.2-14.8)	15.5 (14.1-16.9)	16.8 (15.2-18.4)
Reversed hybrid	815	7.6 (5.7-9.4)	13.3 (10.8-15.8)	16.0 (13.2-18.8)	18.3 (15.1-21.6)
Hybrid	698	9.0 (6.8-11.2)	12.5 (9.9-15.1)	13.8 (11.0-16.7)	17.3 (13.6-21.0)

Major revision percentage: First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; XLPE: cross-linked polyethylene; AO: antioxidant; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

Revision per component name

*Cemented primary TKA – overall revision*

**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of cemented primary total knee arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=287,967)**

Femur component	Tibia component	Type of revision (n)								Cumulative revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
		Total primary TKAs (n)	Median age (IQR)	Total RAs (n)	Total knee (complete revision)	Patella addition	Only femur component	Only tibia component	Only insert/patella	Missing/unknown	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All cemented TKAs for osteoarthritis		287,967	69 (63-76)	12,339	4,322	2,332	501	1,079	3,799	306	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	4.2 (4.1-4.3)	4.8 (4.8-4.9)	5.7 (5.6-5.8)	6.8 (6.6-7.0)
Genesis II	Genesis II	63,915	69 (63-75)	3,101	739	679	235	215	1,160	73	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	3.9 (3.7-4.0)	5.0 (4.8-5.1)	5.6 (5.3-5.8)	6.3 (6.1-6.5)	7.3 (6.9-7.7)
NexGen	NexGen	60,333	69 (63-75)	2,559	1,060	277	74	308	773	67	0.9 (0.8-0.9)	2.8 (2.6-2.9)	3.8 (3.6-3.9)	4.7 (4.5-4.9)	5.9 (5.6-6.1)	7.2 (6.8-7.6)
Vanguard Complete Knee	Vanguard Complete Knee	48,103	69 (62-75)	1,793	622	332	45	134	617	43	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	3.0 (2.8-3.1)	3.7 (3.5-3.9)	4.3 (4.1-4.5)	4.9 (4.7-5.2)	6.0 (5.5-6.5)
PFC / SIGMA	PFC / SIGMA	31,886	70 (63-76)	1,221	376	276	31	89	418	31	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	3.0 (2.8-3.2)	3.7 (3.5-4.0)	4.1 (3.8-4.3)	4.6 (4.4-4.9)	5.1 (4.7-5.4)
LCS	LCS	17,251	70 (63-76)	789	413	84	34	145	102	11	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	3.1 (2.9-3.4)	4.2 (3.9-4.5)	4.7 (4.4-5.1)	5.3 (4.9-5.7)	5.8 (5.4-6.3)
Triathlon	Triathlon	10,507	70 (64-76)	365	102	60	16	34	148	5	1.3 (1.1-1.5)	3.5 (3.1-3.9)	4.4 (3.9-4.8)	4.9 (4.4-5.5)	5.4 (4.8-6.1)	n.a.
Persona	Persona	5,811	69 (62-74)	79	14	10	0	7	47	1	0.8 (0.6-1.1)	3.1 (2.1-4.0)	4.9 (3.1-6.6)	5.3 (3.4-7.2)	n.a.	n.a.
Attune	Attune	5,546	70 (63-75)	73	17	16	3	10	26	1	0.6 (0.3-0.8)	2.3 (1.7-2.9)	2.9 (2.1-3.6)	3.1 (2.2-3.9)	n.a.	n.a.
AGC V2	AGC V2	4,420*	71 (65-77)	185	106	58	1	2	12	6	0.3 (0.1-0.5)	2.0 (1.6-2.4)	2.6 (2.1-3.1)	3.2 (2.7-3.7)	3.9 (3.3-4.5)	5.1 (4.3-5.8)
balanSys	balanSys	4,087	69 (62-76)	191	64	72	4	11	36	4	0.9 (0.6-1.1)	3.8 (3.1-4.4)	4.9 (4.2-5.7)	5.8 (4.9-6.7)	6.8 (5.7-7.9)	8.4 (6.7-10.1)
TC Plus	TC Plus	3,729	70 (63-76)	119	58	32	2	5	17	5	0.7 (0.4-0.9)	2.3 (1.8-2.8)	3.0 (2.4-3.6)	3.4 (2.8-4.0)	4.1 (3.3-4.9)	4.6 (3.6-5.6)
Optetrak	Optetrak	3,073*	70 (62-76)	385	231	90	3	33	21	7	1.1 (0.7-1.4)	5.3 (4.5-6.1)	7.1 (6.2-8.0)	9.1 (8.1-10.2)	12.4 (11.2-13.7)	17.5 (15.4-19.6)
ACS	ACS	2,684	67 (60-73)	141	35	22	9	12	55	8	0.7 (0.4-1.0)	3.8 (3.0-4.5)	4.8 (3.9-5.6)	5.1 (4.3-6.0)	5.5 (4.6-6.4)	n.a.
Scorpio NRG	Scorpio	2,631*	70 (63-76)	140	49	47	10	4	29	1	0.8 (0.5-1.2)	3.2 (2.5-3.8)	4.5 (3.7-5.3)	5.1 (4.2-6.0)	5.7 (4.7-6.6)	n.a.
Scorpio	Scorpio	2,240*	71 (63-76)	117	62	22	3	6	20	4	0.3 (0.1-0.5)	2.4 (1.7-3.0)	3.2 (2.5-3.9)	3.7 (2.9-4.5)	4.7 (3.8-5.6)	6.0 (4.9-7.1)
MRK	MRK	1,610	70 (63-76)	31	14	8	0	0	8	1	0.1 (0.0-0.3)	1.4 (0.7-2.0)	2.7 (1.6-3.8)	3.7 (2.3-5.1)	n.a.	n.a.
Journey BCS	Journey BCS	1,566	69 (62-75)	138	19	64	1	3	49	2	1.0 (0.5-1.5)	5.5 (4.3-6.8)	6.8 (5.4-8.2)	8.7 (7-10.3)	10.9 (8.9-12.8)	16.9 (13.4-20.4)
NexGen GSF	NexGen	1,252	68 (61-74)	33	19	5	0	1	7	1	0.5 (0.1-0.9)	1.5 (0.8-2.2)	2.5 (1.6-3.4)	2.7 (1.8-3.7)	3.2 (2.1-4.4)	n.a.
PFC / SIGMA	LCS	1,212*	66 (58-75)	55	28	11	3	1	10	2	0.3 (0.0-0.7)	1.9 (1.1-2.7)	2.9 (1.9-3.8)	3.9 (2.7-5.0)	4.6 (3.3-5.8)	5.8 (4.1-7.4)
Journey II BCS	Journey BCS	1,137	65 (60-72)	72	15	28	0	0	27	2	0.4 (0.0-0.7)	4.5 (3.2-5.8)	6.0 (4.5-7.4)	7.0 (5.3-8.7)	n.a.	n.a.
Innex	Innex	1,126*	70 (62-77)	39	14	10	0	4	11	0	1.0 (0.4-1.6)	2.2 (1.3-3.0)	2.8 (1.8-3.8)	3.2 (2.1-4.2)	3.4 (2.3-4.5)	3.7 (2.6-4.9)
Evolution MP	Evolution MP	946	69 (63-74)	24	6	9	0	0	8	1	0.7 (0.1-1.2)	2.1 (1.1-3.1)	3.5 (2.0-5.0)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Profix	Profix	772*	68 (61-76)	60	41	7	2	2	7	1	0.5 (0.0-1.0)	3.7 (2.3-5.0)	5.6 (3.9-7.2)	6.6 (4.8-8.3)	7.7 (5.8-9.6)	8.5 (6.4-10.6)
Genesis II	Profix / Genesis MB baseplate	622*	67 (60-75)	66	27	30	0	1	7	1	1.0 (0.2-1.8)	6.9 (4.9-8.9)	9.0 (6.7-11.3)	10.3 (7.8-12.7)	11.2 (8.7-13.8)	11.2 (8.7-13.8)
Rotaglide	Rotaglide	428*	72 (65-78)	43	29	3	2	0	9	0	0.9 (0.0-1.9)	4.5 (2.5-6.5)	5.9 (3.7-8.2)	7.2 (4.7-9.6)	10.3 (7.3-13.3)	12.8 (7.8-17.7)
Advance MP	Advance	314*	71 (65-78)	33	7	7	1	5	10	3	1.9 (0.4-3.4)	7.7 (4.7-10.6)	9.0 (5.8-12.1)	9.3 (6.1-12.5)	9.7 (6.4-12.9)	n.a.
Maxim	Vanguard Complete Knee	272*	70 (63-77)	14	3	3	1	2	5	0	1.5 (0.0-2.9)	2.9 (0.9-4.9)	3.3 (1.2-5.4)	4.1 (1.7-6.4)	4.8 (2.3-7.4)	5.2 (2.5-7.8)

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary TKAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; TKA: total knee arthroplasty; RA: revision arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

Only combinations with over 250 procedures have been listed.

Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.

## Uncemented primary TKA – overall revision

**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of uncemented primary total knee arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=14,982)**

Femur component	Tibia component	Type of revision (n)								Cumulative revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
		Total primary TKAs (n)	Median age (IQR) (yr)	Total RAs (n)	Total knee (complete revision)	Patella addition	Only femur component	Only tibia component	Only insert/ patella	Missing/ unknown	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All uncemented TKAs for osteoarthritis		14,982	69 (62-75)	673	242	99	13	121	190	18	1.0 (0.8-1.1)	3.6 (3.3-3.9)	4.5 (4.1-4.8)	5.0 (4.6-5.4)	5.6 (5.2-6.1)	6.4 (5.8-7.0)
LCS	LCS	9,032	69 (63-76)	403	115	45	9	94	120	4	0.9 (0.7-1.1)	3.5 (3.1-3.9)	4.2 (3.7-4.6)	4.5 (4.0-5.0)	5.0 (4.5-5.5)	5.4 (4.8-6.0)
Triathlon	Triathlon	2,293	68 (62-74)	43	14	6	0	3	16	0	0.5 (0.2-0.9)	1.7 (1.0-2.3)	2.4 (1.5-3.3)	2.9 (1.9-3.9)	3.6 (2.2-4.9)	n.a.
ACS	ACS	702	69 (61-75)	32	11	7	1	4	8	0	2.0 (0.9-3.1)	4.6 (2.9-6.3)	5.1 (3.3-7.0)	5.4 (3.5-7.3)	n.a.	n.a.
Attune	Attune	467	67 (61-73)	12	3	2	0	0	7	0	1.2 (0.1-2.3)	6.1 (2.4-9.8)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Duracon	Duracon	296*	69 (61-77)	10	4	1	0	0	4	0	0.4 (0.0-1.0)	0.7 (0.0-1.7)	1.4 (0.0-2.8)	1.4 (0.0-2.8)	3.1 (1.0-5.1)	3.5 (1.2-5.7)
Rotaglide	Rotaglide	271*	69 (61-77)	63	40	11	1	1	7	1	1.5 (0.0-3.0)	10.3 (6.6-14.0)	16.3 (11.8-20.8)	19.8 (14.8-24.7)	22.7 (17.4-28.0)	n.a.
NexGen	NexGen	241	69 (63-77)	18	8	1	1	3	5	0	1.7 (0.1-3.4)	5.3 (2.4-8.3)	7.1 (3.6-10.5)	8.0 (4.1-11.9)	9.0 (4.7-13.4)	n.a.
ACS LD	ACS LD	249*	70 (61-76)	18	6	3	0	2	3	0	1.3 (0.0-2.8)	5.7 (2.7-8.7)	6.3 (3.1-9.5)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Genesis II	Genesis II	234	69 (62-75)	11	5	2	0	1	1	1	0.9 (0.0-2.2)	4.9 (1.9-7.9)	4.9 (1.9-7.9)	4.9 (1.9-7.9)	4.9 (1.9-7.9)	n.a.
Vanguard Complete Knee	Vanguard Complete Knee	168	67 (61-74)	15	7	2	0	4	1	0	2.5 (0.1-4.9)	5.7 (2.1-9.4)	5.7 (2.1-9.4)	7.6 (3.2-12)	10.1 (4.6-15.6)	n.a.
Triathlon	Triathlon Tritanium	112	69 (64-72)	0	0	0	0	0	0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary TKAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; TKA: total knee arthroplasty; RA: revision arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

Only combinations with over 100 procedures have been listed.

Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.

*Cemented primary TKA – major revision*

**TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages of cemented primary total knee arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=287,967)**

Femur component	Tibia component	Total primary TKAs (n)	Median (IQR) age (yr)	Major revision <sup>1</sup> arthroplasties (n)	Cumulative major revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
					1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All cemented TKAs for osteoarthritis		287,967	69 (63-76)	6,576	0.3 (0.3-0.4)	1.5 (1.5-1.6)	2.1 (2.1-2.2)	2.6 (2.5-2.7)	3.2 (3.1-3.3)	3.9 (3.8-4.0)
Genesis II	Genesis II	63,915	69 (63-75)	1,359	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	1.6 (1.5-1.7)	2.2 (2.0-2.3)	2.5 (2.4-2.6)	2.9 (2.7-3.0)	3.2 (2.9-3.4)
NexGen	NexGen	60,333	69 (63-75)	1,578	0.3 (0.3-0.4)	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	2.1 (2.0-2.2)	2.9 (2.7-3.0)	3.9 (3.7-4.1)	4.9 (4.6-5.3)
Vanguard Complete Knee	Vanguard Complete Knee	48,103	69 (62-75)	913	0.4 (0.3-0.4)	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	1.9 (1.7-2.0)	2.2 (2.0-2.3)	2.6 (2.4-2.8)	3.2 (2.9-3.6)
PFC / SIGMA	PFC / SIGMA	31,886	70 (63-76)	560	0.3 (0.2-0.3)	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	1.7 (1.5-1.8)	1.9 (1.7-2.0)	2.2 (2.0-2.3)	2.4 (2.2-2.6)
LCS	LCS	17,251	70 (63-76)	627	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	3.2 (2.9-3.5)	3.8 (3.5-4.1)	4.3 (4.0-4.7)	4.8 (4.4-5.2)
Triathlon	Triathlon	10,507	70 (64-76)	167	0.4 (0.3-0.6)	1.5 (1.2-1.8)	2.1 (1.8-2.5)	2.4 (2.0-2.8)	2.7 (2.2-3.2)	n.a.
Persona	Persona	5,811	69 (62-74)	25	0.3 (0.1-0.4)	1.0 (0.4-1.6)	2.3 (0.9-3.7)	2.8 (1.1-4.6)	n.a.	n.a.
Attune	Attune	5,546	70 (63-75)	32	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	1.0 (0.6-1.4)	1.4 (0.9-1.9)	1.4 (0.9-1.9)	n.a.	n.a.
AGC V2	AGC V2	4,420*	71 (65-77)	116	0.1 (0.0-0.2)	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	1.5 (1.1-1.9)	1.9 (1.5-2.4)	2.4 (1.9-2.9)	3.2 (2.6-3.8)
balanSys	balanSys	4,087	69 (62-76)	86	0.3 (0.1-0.4)	1.4 (1.0-1.8)	2.2 (1.7-2.7)	2.8 (2.2-3.5)	3.3 (2.5-4.0)	3.7 (2.7-4.7)

<sup>1</sup> Revision of at least the femur or tibia component.

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary TKAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

## Uncemented primary TKA – major revision

**TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages of uncemented primary total knee arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=14,982)**

Femur component	Tibia component	Total primary TKAs (n)	Median (IQR) age (yr)	Major revision <sup>1</sup> arthroplasties (n)	Cumulative major revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
					1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All uncemented TKAs for osteoarthritis		14,982	69 (62-75)	414	0.6 (0.5-0.7)	2.1 (1.9-2.4)	2.7 (2.4-3.0)	3.1 (2.8-3.4)	3.5 (3.2-3.9)	4.0 (3.5-4.5)
LCS	LCS	9,032	69 (63-76)	237	0.6 (0.4-0.7)	2.2 (1.8-2.5)	2.7 (2.3-3.0)	2.8 (2.4-3.2)	3.0 (2.6-3.4)	3.3 (2.8-3.7)
Triathlon	Triathlon	2,293	68 (62-74)	20	0.3 (0.0-0.5)	0.6 (0.2-1.0)	1.1 (0.5-1.7)	1.5 (0.7-2.2)	2.5 (1.1-3.8)	n.a.
ACS	ACS	702	69 (61-75)	18	1.4 (0.5-2.4)	2.7 (1.4-4.1)	3 (1.6-4.4)	3.3 (1.8-4.8)	n.a.	n.a.
Attune	Attune	467	67 (61-73)	3	0.2 (0.0-0.7)	1.4 (0.0-3.1)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Duracon	Duracon	296*	69 (61-77)	4	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)	0.4 (0.0-1.1)	0.4 (0.0-1.1)	1.6 (0.0-3.1)	1.6 (0.0-3.1)
Rotaglide	Rotaglide	271*	69 (61-77)	49	0.4 (0.0-1.1)	6.5 (3.5-9.5)	11.3 (7.4-15.2)	15.2 (10.7-19.6)	18.1 (13.2-23)	n.a.
NexGen	NexGen	241	69 (63-77)	13	1.3 (0.0-2.8)	4.0 (1.4-6.6)	5.0 (2.1-7.9)	5.9 (2.5-9.3)	5.9 (2.5-9.3)	n.a.
ACS LD	ACS LD	249*	70 (61-76)	9	1.3 (0.0-2.8)	3.5 (1.1-5.9)	4.1 (1.5-6.7)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Genesis II	Genesis II	234	69 (62-75)	6	0.5 (0.0-1.3)	3.0 (0.6-5.3)	3.0 (0.6-5.3)	3.0 (0.6-5.3)	3.0 (0.6-5.3)	n.a.
Vanguard Complete Knee	Vanguard Complete Knee	168	67 (61-74)	11	1.9 (0.0-4.0)	4.5 (1.2-7.7)	4.5 (1.2-7.7)	5.5 (1.7-9.2)	8.0 (3.0-13.1)	n.a.

<sup>1</sup> Revision of at least the femur or tibia component.

\* Denotes prosthesis combinations with no reported use in primary TKAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

## Bone cement TKA

**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of the most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system in primary total knee arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2007-2022**

Bone cement	Total primary TKAs (n)	Total RAs (n)	Cumulative revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
			1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
Separately packed	186,441	9,094	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)	4.3 (4.2-4.4)	5.0 (4.9-5.1)	5.9 (5.7-6.0)	6.9 (6.7-7.1)
Palacos R+G	139,537	6,691	0.9 (0.9-1.0)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)	4.3 (4.2-4.4)	5.0 (4.8-5.1)	5.8 (5.7-6.0)	6.9 (6.6-7.1)
Refabacin Bone Cement R	13,142	680	0.8 (0.6-0.9)	3.0 (2.7-3.3)	4.0 (3.7-4.4)	5.1 (4.7-5.5)	6.6 (6.1-7.1)	8.0 (7.3-8.7)
Palacos MV+G	8,394	382	0.8 (0.6-1.0)	3.1 (2.7-3.4)	4.1 (3.6-4.5)	4.8 (4.4-5.3)	5.3 (4.7-5.8)	n.a.
Simplex ABC EC	5,374	367	1.0 (0.8-1.3)	3.9 (3.4-4.4)	5.2 (4.6-5.8)	6.2 (5.6-6.9)	7.3 (6.5-8.0)	8.7 (7.4-10.0)
Simplex ABC TOBRA	5,165	232	0.8 (0.5-1.0)	2.2 (1.8-2.6)	3.0 (2.5-3.5)	3.6 (3.1-4.1)	4.7 (4.0-5.3)	6.5 (5.2-7.8)
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	3,163	205	1.1 (0.7-1.4)	4.4 (3.6-5.1)	5.4 (4.6-6.2)	5.9 (5.1-6.8)	6.5 (5.6-7.3)	7.1 (6.1-8.1)
Palacos R	1,753	80	0.6 (0.2-0.9)	2.6 (1.8-3.4)	3.3 (2.4-4.1)	3.9 (3.0-4.8)	4.7 (3.6-5.7)	5.3 (4.1-6.5)
Subiton G	1,550	53	1.4 (0.8-2.1)	4.9 (3.5-6.3)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Biomet Plus Bone Cement	1,472	66	1.0 (0.5-1.5)	3.5 (2.5-4.4)	4.4 (3.3-5.5)	4.6 (3.5-5.7)	5.0 (3.7-6.2)	n.a.
Palamed G	1,430	60	0.2 (0.0-0.4)	2.3 (1.5-3.0)	3.1 (2.2-4.1)	3.5 (2.6-4.5)	4.0 (3.0-5.0)	4.7 (3.5-5.9)
Biomet Bone Cement R	884	23	0.8 (0.2-1.4)	3.6 (1.9-5.4)	4.7 (2.4-6.9)	5.6 (2.7-8.5)	5.6 (2.7-8.5)	n.a.
Versabond	647	51	0.6 (0.0-1.2)	5.3 (3.6-7.0)	6.3 (4.4-8.1)	6.8 (4.8-8.7)	7.3 (5.3-9.3)	n.a.
cemSys 1G	636	46	1.4 (0.5-2.3)	3.8 (2.3-5.3)	6.2 (4.3-8.1)	7.2 (5.1-9.3)	n.a.	n.a.
Simplex P	409	22	0 (0-0)	2.8 (1.2-4.4)	3.8 (1.9-5.7)	4.4 (2.3-6.4)	5.3 (3.0-7.5)	6.1 (3.6-8.6)
Simplex HV	382	8	0.8 (0.0-1.7)	2.0 (0.5-3.5)	3.2 (0.4-6.0)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Synicem1G	339	12	0.6 (0.0-1.4)	3.6 (1.6-5.6)	3.6 (1.6-5.6)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Palamed	250	14	0.8 (0.0-1.9)	2.8 (0.8-4.8)	4.0 (1.6-6.4)	4.9 (2.2-7.5)	5.3 (2.5-8.1)	5.8 (2.8-8.8)
Pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system	96,573	3,230	1.0 (1.0-1.1)	3.3 (3.2-3.4)	4.2 (4-4.3)	4.8 (4.6-5.0)	5.6 (5.3-5.8)	6.8 (5.9-7.6)
Refabacin Bone Cement R	43,169	1,453	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	3.2 (3.1-3.4)	4.2 (3.9-4.4)	4.9 (4.6-5.2)	5.6 (5.3-6.0)	n.a.
Palacos R+G	35,186	974	1.1 (1.0-1.2)	3.3 (3.1-3.6)	4.1 (3.9-4.4)	4.7 (4.3-5.1)	n.a.	n.a.
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	16,356	687	0.7 (0.6-0.9)	3.2 (2.9-3.5)	4.0 (3.7-4.3)	4.5 (4.2-4.9)	5.3 (4.9-5.7)	6.7 (5.5-7.9)
Cemex Genta	1,444*	100	1.0 (0.5-1.6)	4.9 (3.8-6.0)	5.6 (4.4-6.8)	6.2 (5.0-7.5)	7.2 (5.8-8.6)	n.a.

\* Denotes types of bone cement with no reported use in primary TKAs in 2022.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; TKA: total knee arthroplasty; RA: revision arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023


**Only types of bone cement with over 250 procedures have been listed.**

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

## Rerevision

### *Overall second revision*

**FIGURE Cumulative second revision percentage of total knee arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=10,751)**



**TABLE Cumulative second revision percentages**

	Number at risk (n)	Competing Risk(95% CI)	Kaplan Meier <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)
1-year second revision (%)	9,103	5.7 (5.3-6.2)	5.3 (4.9-5.8)
3-year second revision (%)	6,669	12.0 (11.4-12.7)	12.0 (11.3-12.6)
5-year second revision (%)	4,383	15.2 (14.4-15.9)	15.5 (14.7-16.3)
7-year second revision (%)	2,594	17.2 (16.3-18.0)	17.8 (16.9-18.6)
10-year second revision (%)	954	18.7 (17.8-19.7)	19.6 (18.5-20.6)

<sup>1</sup> The cumulative revision percentage using the Kaplan Meier method is shown in the figure.

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis (excluding patella addition).


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

*By procedure year of first major revision*

**FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total knee arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=10,751)



**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages

Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
Procedure year major revision	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr
2011-2012	585	3.62 (2.10-5.14)	9.05 (6.70-11.4)	13.55 (10.73-16.37)	15.77 (12.75-18.79)	17.58 (14.4-20.76)
2013-2013	832	3.14 (1.95-4.33)	11.35 (9.17-13.53)	15.72 (13.21-18.23)	18.83 (16.13-21.53)	n.a.
2015-2016	1,052	2.47 (1.53-3.41)	8.03 (6.38-9.68)	11.50 (9.55-13.45)	14.01 (11.85-16.17)	n.a.
2017-2018	1,047	2.78 (1.78-3.78)	8.43 (6.73-10.13)	10.85 (8.91-12.79)	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	1,143	2.98 (1.99-3.97)	8.22 (6.57-9.87)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.


Major revision: Revision of at least the femur or tibia component.

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis (excluding patella addition).

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

*By type of first revision***FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total knee arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision by type of first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=10,751)**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
Major first revision	6.029	2.7 (2.3-3.1)	8.7 (8-9.5)	12.5 (11.5-13.4)	15.1 (14-16.2)
Minor first revision	4.387	8.6 (7.7-9.4)	15.9 (14.7-17.1)	19.2 (17.8-20.5)	20.8 (19.4-22.3)

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis (excluding patella addition).


Major revision: revision of at least the femur or tibia component.

Minor revision: only insert and/or patella exchange (excluding patella addition).

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**By time to first revision****FIGURE** Cumulative second revision percentage of total knee arthroplasty after a one-stage first revision by time to first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=10,751)**TABLE** Cumulative second revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative second revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
First revision within 1 year	2,804	11.2 (10.0-12.4)	18.0 (16.5-19.5)	20.8 (19.1-22.4)	22.6 (20.9-24.4)
First revision within 1-3 years	4,397	3.3 (2.8-3.8)	11.1 (10.1-12.1)	14.8 (13.7-16.0)	17.1 (15.8-18.4)
First revision within 3-5 years	1,571	3.6 (2.7-4.6)	8.8 (7.3-10.3)	13.0 (11.0-14.9)	16.0 (13.6-18.3)

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis (excluding patella addition).

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

*Reasons for seconds revision by type of first revision***TABLE** Reasons for second revision within seven years in patients who underwent a second revision after a one-stage first revision of a total knee arthroplasty by type of first revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022

Reasons for second revision	Major first revision <sup>1</sup> (n=670)	Minor first revision <sup>2</sup> (n=724)	Any type of first revision <sup>3</sup> (n=1,465)
	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)	Proportion <sup>4</sup> (%)
Infection	24.6	47.5	37.1
Instability	31.0	27.2	28.8
Loosening of tibia component	20.3	13.0	16.3
Patellar pain	18.2	8.2	13.1
Malalignment	10.6	9.8	10.3
Loosening of femur component	11.6	4.1	7.9
Arthrotfibrosis	7.6	4.3	5.9
Patellar dislocation	4.2	4.0	4.0
Loosening of patella component	2.2	1.5	2.0
Insert wear	2.2	1.9	2.2
Periprosthetic fracture	0.8	0.6	0.6
Progression of osteoarthritis	0.9	0.1	0.1
Other	7.9	5.7	6.7


<sup>1</sup> Revision of at least the femur or tibia component.<sup>2</sup> Only insert and/or patella exchange.<sup>3</sup> Any type of revision includes minor and major revisions as well as revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.<sup>4</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision or re-surgery. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.

One-stage revision: A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis (excluding patella addition).

## Survival unicondylar knee arthroplasty

### UKA by type pf revision

**FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of unicondylar knee arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=38,992)**



**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

	Number at risk (n)	Competing Risk (95% CI)	Kaplan Meier <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)
<b>Any type of revision</b>			
1-year revision (%)	40,463	2.1 (1.9-2.2)	1.9 (1.8-2.0)
3-year revision (%)	28,856	5.3 (5.1-5.4)	5.3 (5.0-5.5)
5-year revision (%)	19,562	7.2 (6.9-7.4)	7.2 (6.9-7.5)
10-year revision (%)	6,547	11.6 (11.2-12.1)	12.0 (11.5-12.4)
14-year revision (%)	1,437	14.8 (14.1-15.4)	15.5 (14.8-16.2)
<b>Major revision<sup>2</sup></b>			
1-year revision (%)	40,748	1.2 (1.1-1.3)	1.1 (1.0-1.2)
3-year revision (%)	29,186	4.1 (3.9-4.3)	4.1 (3.9-4.2)
5-year revision (%)	19,839	5.7 (5.5-6.0)	5.8 (5.5-6.0)
10-year revision (%)	6,636	9.9 (9.5-10.4)	10.3 (9.8-10.7)
14-year revision (%)	1,456	13.0 (12.4-13.7)	13.7 (13.0-14.4)
<b>Minor revision<sup>3</sup></b>			
1-year revision (%)	40,889	0.9 (0.8-1.0)	0.9 (0.8-1.0)
3-year revision (%)	30,142	1.4 (1.3-1.5)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)
5-year revision (%)	21,000	1.7 (1.6-1.9)	1.8 (1.7-1.9)
10-year revision (%)	7,665	2.1 (2.0-2.3)	2.2 (2.1-2.4)
14-year revision (%)	1,760	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	2.5 (2.3-2.7)

<sup>1</sup> The cumulative revision percentage using the Kaplan Meier method is shown in the figure.

<sup>2</sup> First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.


<sup>3</sup>Only insert and/or patella exchange (including patella addition).

UKA: unicondylar knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2007-2022, 2,153 (4.5%) primary UKAs were implanted in patients who died within fourteen years after the primary diagnosis

## UKA by procedure year

**FIGURE** Cumulative major revision percentage of unicompartmental knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary UKA in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=32,727)**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)


Procedure year primary UKA for osteoarthritis	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
2009-2010	3,151	1.81 (1.34-2.28)	6.77 (5.89-7.65)	9.68 (8.64-10.72)	11.66 (10.53-12.79)	14.89 (13.63-16.15)	17.8 (16.41-19.19)
2011-2012	3,069	1.80 (1.33-2.27)	6.71 (5.82-7.60)	8.94 (7.93-9.95)	10.97 (9.86-12.08)	13.17 (11.96-14.38)	n.a.
2013-2014	4,033	1.34 (0.99-1.69)	5.63 (4.92-6.34)	7.55 (6.73-8.37)	9.20 (8.30-10.10)	11.94 (10.66-13.22)	n.a.
2015-2016	5,509	1.22 (0.93-1.51)	3.85 (3.34-4.36)	5.00 (4.42-5.58)	6.31 (5.65-6.97)	n.a.	n.a.
2017-2018	7,583	0.86 (0.65-1.07)	2.88 (2.50-3.26)	3.93 (3.48-4.38)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
2019-2020	9,382	0.78 (0.60-0.96)	2.63 (2.29-2.97)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision: First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## UKA cemented by procedure year

## Cumulative major revision percentage of cemented unicompartmental knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=18,728)


**TABLE** Cumulative major revision percentages

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary cemented UKA for osteoarthritis	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
2009-2012	5,643	1.79 (1.44-2.14)	6.86 (6.20-7.52)	9.51 (8.74-10.28)	11.59 (10.75-12.43)	14.48 (13.55-15.41)	17.28 (16.18-18.38)
2013-2016	5,959	1.09 (0.83-1.35)	5.07 (4.51-5.63)	6.90 (6.25-7.55)	8.48 (7.76-9.20)	11.67 (10.32-13.02)	n.a.
2017-2020	7,126	0.66 (0.47-0.85)	3.23 (2.81-3.65)	4.63 (4.05-5.21)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision: First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**UKA uncemented by procedure year****Cumulative major revision percentage of uncemented unicondylar knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2009-2022 (n=13,292)****TABLE Cumulative major revision percentages**

Cumulative major revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary uncemented UKA for osteoarthritis	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	13yr
2009-2012	411	1.22 (0.16-2.28)	4.42 (2.42-6.42)	5.42 (3.22-7.62)	6.95 (4.46-9.44)	8.49 (5.77-11.21)	n.a.
2013-2016	3,412	1.53 (1.12-1.94)	3.68 (3.05-4.31)	4.51 (3.81-5.21)	5.70 (4.90-6.50)	n.a.	n.a.
2017-2020	9,469	0.92 (0.73-1.11)	2.31 (2.00-2.62)	3.02 (2.63-3.41)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Major revision: First revision of the femur or tibia component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.  
Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## UKA by component


**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of primary unicondylar knee arthroplasties by prosthesis component combination of patients who underwent a UKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=47,050)**

Femur component	Tibia component	Type of revision (n)								Cumulative revision percentage Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
		Total primary UKAs (n)	Median age (IQR)	Total RAs (n)	Total knee (complete revision)	Patella addition	Only femur component	Only tibia component	Only insert/patella	Missing/unknown	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
All UKAs for osteoarthritis		47,050	64 (58-70)	3,318	2,455	8	15	71	738	31	1.9 (1.8-2.0)	5.2 (5.0-5.5)	7.2 (6.9-7.5)	9.1 (8.8-9.5)	12.0 (11.5-12.5)	15.6 (14.9-16.3)
Oxford PKR Uncemented	Oxford PKR Uncemented	19,524	65 (58-71)	796	416	1	2	20	352	5	2.0 (1.8-2.2)	4.3 (3.9-4.6)	5.4 (5.0-5.8)	6.9 (6.3-7.4)	8.3 (7.3-9.2)	n.a.
Oxford PKR Cemented	Oxford PKR Cemented	15,224	63 (57-70)	1,635	1,306	5	10	31	263	20	1.8 (1.6-2.1)	5.9 (5.5-6.3)	8.3 (7.8-8.7)	10.2 (9.6-10.7)	13.2 (12.6-13.9)	16.8 (15.9-17.8)
Physica ZUK	Physica ZUK	4,745	63 (58-69)	148	122	0	0	1	25	0	0.7 (0.4-0.9)	3.0 (2.4-3.5)	4.0 (3.3-4.8)	5.6 (4.5-6.7)	8.0 (6.3-9.7)	10.7 (7.9-13.5)
Genesis Uni	Genesis Uni	1,278*	62 (56-68)	223	211	1	0	2	6	3	2.7 (1.8-3.6)	8.9 (7.3-10.5)	12.5 (10.6-14.3)	15.0 (13-17)	17.5 (15.4-19.7)	n.a.
BalanSys Uni	BalanSys Uni	588	61 (56-68)	65	55	1	0	4	5	0	2.7 (1.4-4.0)	9.1 (6.5-11.7)	10.5 (7.7-13.4)	12.1 (9.0-15.2)	16.0 (12.1-19.9)	n.a.
Journey Uni	Journey Uni	534	62 (56-69)	33	29	0	2	1	0	1	1.2 (0.2-2.1)	5.2 (3.1-7.3)	8.5 (5.5-11.4)	10.1 (6.3-13.8)	n.a.	n.a.
Oxford PKR Uncemented	Oxford PKR Cemented	530	66 (57-73)	34	22	0	0	0	12	0	3.0 (1.5-4.5)	5.2 (3.1-7.3)	7.5 (4.8-10.2)	10.9 (6.9-14.8)	n.a.	n.a.
Oxford PKR Cemented	Oxford PKR Uncemented	231	66 (59-73)	20	9	0	1	0	10	0	3.6 (1.1-6.0)	7.9 (4.1-11.6)	9.1 (4.7-13.6)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Restoris MCK	Restoris MCK	219	69 (61-75)	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	1.3 (0.0-3.0)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Triathlon	Triathlon	197*	60 (54-65)	22	21	0	0	0	1	0	1.5 (0.0-3.2)	7.2 (3.6-10.8)	8.7 (4.6-12.9)	10.8 (5.8-15.7)	14.9 (8.3-21.5)	n.a.
HLS Uni	HLS Uni	171*	58 (52-65)	37	36	0	0	0	1	0	2.3 (0.1-4.6)	8.8 (4.5-13)	16.5 (10.9-22.0)	19.4 (13.5-25.4)	20.6 (14.5-26.7)	n.a.
Allegretto	Allegretto	108*	57 (51-65)	22	19	0	0	3	0	0	6.5 (1.8-11.1)	12 (5.9-18.2)	18 (10.7-25.4)	20.4 (12.6-28.3)	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; UKA: unicondylar knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval; IQR: interquartile range.

© LROI 2023

**Results must be interpreted with caution. Patient characteristics like age and diagnosis, as well as procedure characteristics like the experience of the surgeon performing the procedure of the prosthesis may have influenced the cumulative revision percentages.**

**UKA by fixation****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of unicondylar knee arthroplasties for osteoarthritis by fixation of revision in the Netherlands in 2007-2022 (n=45,561)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	10yr	14yr
<b>Fixation</b>							
Cemented	24,231	1.7 (1.5-1.9)	5.8 (5.4-6.1)	8.1 (7.7-8.5)	10.1 (9.6-10.6)	13.2 (12.6-13.7)	16.8 (16.0-17.7)
Uncemented	21,330	2.1 (1.9-2.3)	4.4 (4.1-4.7)	5.5 (5.1-5.9)	7.1 (6.6-7.7)	8.8 (7.8-9.8)	11.3 (9.0-13.6)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

UKA:

unicondylar knee arthroplasty;


CI:

confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## UKA by pre-PROM

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of unicondylar knee arthroplasties by valid pre-operative PROM of patients who underwent a UKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=37,166)



**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Pre-PROM	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	9yr
Valid	15,186	1.8 (1.5-2.0)	4.4 (4.0-4.8)	5.8 (5.3-6.4)	7.3 (6.4-8.2)	n.a.
Invalid	21,980	1.9 (1.7-2.1)	4.7 (4.4-5.0)	6.1 (5.7-6.5)	7.7 (7.2-8.2)	9.1 (8.4-9.9)

Valid: pre-operative PROM reported; Invalid: non-responders to pre-operative PROM; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; UKA: unicondylar knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**PROMs****Response****Response TKA**

**FIGURE Pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative response percentage of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis per pre-operative PROMs registering hospital (n=94) in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**



**TABLE PROMs response percentages**

Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022 <sup>1</sup>
TKA for osteoarthritis (n)	22,197	22,322	22,918	23,635	24,052	24,051	18,116	19,939	24,841
TKA PROMs response (%)									
Pre-operative	3.7	17.6	46.7	55.9	58.4	61.0	56.5	57.7	57.7
Pre-operative and 12 months postoperative	2.2	8.6	28.6	36.4	36.0	37.9	36.6	36.8	n.a.
Pre-operative, 6 and 12 months postoperative	0.9	4.7	19.9	29.9	29.9	31.5	31.3	31.9	n.a.


<sup>1</sup> The 12 months postoperative PROMs response percentage is not (yet) available for 2022.

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

© LROI 2023


**Response pre-PROM per hospital**

**FIGURE Scatterplot of pre-operative response percentage of patients who underwent a primary TKA for osteoarthritis per hospital (n=88) in the Netherlands in 2022**




Please note: TKA: total knee arthroplasty; OA: osteoarthritis; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

**The mean pre-operative response rate is 55.8% in the Netherlands in 2022. 49 out of 88 (55.7%) hospitals scored above the national mean.**

**Response PROM trajectory per hospital****FIGURE Scatterplot of PROM trajectory (pre-operative and 12 months postoperative) response percentage of patients who underwent a primary THA for osteoarthritis per hospital (n=100) in the Netherlands in 2014-2021**

Please note: TKA: total knee arthroplasty; OA: osteoarthritis; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

**The mean PROM trajectory response rate was 30.0% in the Netherlands between 2014-2021. 43 out of 100 (43.0%) hospitals scored above the national mean.**

**Response UKA****FIGURE Pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative response percentage of patients who underwent a UKA per pre-operative PROMs registering hospital (n=90) in the Netherlands in 2014-2022****TABLE PROMs response percentages**


Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022 <sup>1</sup>
UKA (n)	2,227	2,552	2,812	3,533	3,916	4,732	4,582	5,500	6,730
<b>UKA PROMs response (%)</b>									
Pre-operative	3.1	10.0	31.9	42.1	42.2	44.5	50.5	51.8	56.5
Pre-operative and 12 months postoperative	1.8	6.0	18.4	26.1	26.3	28.9	32.0	34.1	n.a.
Pre-operative, 6 and 12 months postoperative	0.3	2.2	11.5	20.7	21.4	24.0	27.1	29.7	n.a.

<sup>1</sup> The 12 months postoperative PROMs response percentage is not (yet) available for 2022.

UKA: unicompartmental knee arthroplasty; PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

## Mean scores (pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months)

NRS (rest)

**FIGURE** Mean pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative NRS (rest) scores of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis by NRS satisfaction score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**TABLE** Mean NRS (rest) scores

NRS (rest) score NRS satisfaction	Pre-operative		6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Not satisfied: 0-4	2,485	5.44 (5.34-5.54)	2,480	3.36 (3.25-3.46)	2,517	3.52 (3.41-3.63)
Moderately satisfied: 5-7	7,580	5.49 (5.44-5.54)	7,675	2.99 (2.94-3.04)	7,743	2.91 (2.85-2.96)
Very satisfied: 8-10	24,709	5.05 (5.02-5.09)	24,999	1.32 (1.29-1.34)	25,284	0.87 (0.85-0.89)
Total	37,846	5.19 (5.16-5.21)	38,313	1.84 (1.82-1.86)	38,350	1.51 (1.49-1.53)


The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of after joint replacement. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied. TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The NRS (rest) score measures pain during rest. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing no pain and 10.0 representing the most possible pain.

**NRS (activity)**

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative NRS (activity) scores of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis by NRS satisfaction score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**




**TABLE Mean NRS (activity) scores**

NRS (activity) score NRS satisfaction	Pre-operative		6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Not satisfied: 0-4	2,483	7.49 (7.42-7.57)	2,477	4.71 (4.60-4.82)	2,520	4.94 (4.81-5.06)
Moderately satisfied: 5-7	7,576	7.46 (7.42-7.50)	7,679	4.39 (4.34-4.44)	7,745	4.33 (4.28-4.38)
Very satisfied: 8-10	24,700	7.28 (7.26-7.31)	25,004	2.16 (2.13-2.18)	25,305	1.50 (1.47-1.52)
Total	37,828	7.32 (7.30-7.34)	38,323	2.83 (2.80-2.85)	38,371	2.35 (2.33-2.38)

The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of after joint replacement. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied.  
TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**The NRS (activity) score measures pain during activity. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing no pain and 10.0 representing the most possible pain.**

*EQ5D index score***FIGURE** Mean pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative EQ-5D index scores of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis by NRS satisfaction score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**TABLE** Mean EQ-5D index scores

EQ-5D Index score NRS satisfaction	Pre-operative		6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Not satisfied: 0-4	2,509	0.54 (0.53-0.55)	2,463	0.64 (0.63-0.65)	2,485	0.61 (0.60-0.62)
Moderately satisfied: 5-7	7,705	0.54 (0.54-0.55)	7,664	0.69 (0.68-0.69)	7,706	0.69 (0.69-0.70)
Very satisfied: 8-10	25,286	0.58 (0.58-0.58)	25,111	0.83 (0.83-0.83)	25,228	0.86 (0.86-0.86)
Total	39,015	0.57 (0.57-0.57)	38,793	0.78 (0.78-0.79)	38,493	0.81 (0.80-0.81)


The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of after joint replacement. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied.  
 TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The EQ-5D index score measures quality of life. The score has a range of -0.329 to 1.0, with 1.0 representing the best possible quality of life.

*EQ5D thermometer*

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative EQ-5D thermometer scores of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis by NRS satisfaction score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**



**TABLE Mean EQ-5D thermometer scores**

EQ-5D thermometer score NRS satisfaction	Pre-operative		6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Not satisfied: 0-4	2,517	65.5 (64.7-66.3)	2,484	65.7 (64.9-66.6)	2,491	63.1 (62.2-63.9)
Moderately satisfied: 5-7	7,716	65.7 (65.2-66.1)	7,710	68.8 (68.4-69.2)	7,742	68.2 (67.8-68.6)
Very satisfied: 8-10	25,296	70.8 (70.5-71.0)	25,272	78.6 (78.4-78.9)	25,324	80.0 (79.8-80.3)
Total	39,102	69.0 (68.8-69.2)	39,031	75.5 (75.3-75.6)	38,698	76.0 (75.8-76.2)


The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of after joint replacement. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied.  
TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**The EQ-5D thermometer score measures the health situation. The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing the worst possible health situation and 100.0 the best possible health situation.**

**KOOS-PS score**

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative KOOS-PS scores of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis by NRS satisfaction score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**



**TABLE Mean KOOS-PS scores**

KOOS-PS score NRS satisfaction	Pre-operative		6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Not satisfied: 0-4	2,469	52.7 (52.1-53.3)	2,413	40.7 (40.1-41.4)	2,440	42.5 (41.7-43.2)
Moderately satisfied: 5-7	7,597	52.4 (52.1-52.7)	7,403	38.0 (37.8-38.3)	7,477	37.7 (37.5-38.0)
Very satisfied: 8-10	24,936	49.9 (49.7-50.0)	24,435	26.5 (26.4-26.7)	24,584	23.0 (22.9-23.2)
Total	38,451	50.6 (50.5-50.8)	37,735	30.2 (30.0-30.3)	37,548	27.7 (27.6-27.9)


The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of after joint replacement. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied.  
TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**The KOOS-PS score measures the physical functioning of patients with osteoarthritis to the knee. The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing no effort and 100.0 the most possible effort.**

**Oxford Knee score**

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 6 months and 12 months postoperative Oxford Knee scores of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis by NRS satisfaction score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**



**TABLE Mean Oxford Knee scores**

Oxford Knee score NRS satisfaction	Pre-operative		6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Not satisfied: 0-4	2,408	22.4 (22.1-22.7)	2,378	29.3 (28.9-29.7)	2,394	29.3 (28.9-29.8)
Moderately satisfied: 5-7	7,347	22.8 (22.6-22.9)	7,323	32.4 (32.3-32.6)	7,378	33.1 (33.0-33.3)
Very satisfied: 8-10	24,016	24.1 (24.0-24.2)	23,974	39.9 (39.8-40.0)	24,104	41.9 (41.9-42.0)
Total	36,895	23.7 (23.6-23.8)	36,728	37.5 (37.4-37.6)	36,348	39.1 (39.1-39.2)


The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of after joint replacement. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied. TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**The Oxford Knee score measures the physical functioning and pain of patients with osteoarthritis to the knee. The score has a range of 0.0 to 48.0, with 0.0 representing no functional ability and 48.0 the most functional ability.**

**NRS (satisfaction)**

**FIGURE** Mean 6 months and 12 months postoperative NRS (satisfaction) scores of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022



**TABLE** Mean NRS (satisfaction) scores

	6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
NRS satisfaction	35,796	7.87 (7.84-7.89)	35,936	7.98 (7.95-8.01)


TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of after joint replacement.  
The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied.

**Anchor questions**

**FIGURE Mean 6 months and 12 months postoperative change in daily functioning and pain of patients who underwent a TKA for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**



**TABLE Mean anchor questions**

Anchor question score	6 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Daily functioning	37,712	5.52 (5.51-5.53)	37,742	5.69 (5.67-5.70)
Pain	35,759	5.87 (5.86-5.88)	35,781	6.00 (5.99-6.01)

TKA: total knee arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The anchor questions daily functioning and pain measure change in daily functioning and change in pain degree after joint replacement.  
The score has a range of 1.0 to 7.0, with 1.0 representing very deteriorated and 7.0 representing very improved.

# Ankle arthroplasty

## Numbers

### Registered procedures


**TABLE** Number of registered ankle arthroplasties per year of surgery (2014-2022) in the LROI in April 2023

Year of surgery	Type of ankle arthroplasty				Total (n)
	Total arthroplasty (n)	Other (n)	Unknown/missing(n)	Revision arthroplasty (n)	
2014	102	0	1	16	119
2015	105	0	0	19	124
2016	125	6	1	37	169
2017	111	3	1	31	146
2018	143	1	1	29	174
2019	134	2	1	30	167
2020	122	0	1	26	149
2021	125	0	0	26	151
2022	115	1	0	20	136
Total	1,082	13	6	234	1,335

© LROI 2023

### Type of procedures


**FIGURE** Number of primary ankle arthroplasties and ankle revision arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands in 2014-2022




### Type of procedure per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of primary ankle arthroplasties and ankle revision arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=136)

© LROI 2023



**Type of hospital****FIGURE Primary ankle arthroplasties and ankle revision arthroplasties (proportion [%] per category) by type of hospital in the Netherlands in 2022**

Please note: in 2022, 8 general hospitals, 2 UMCs and 4 private hospitals performed ankle arthroplasties.  
General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital.

## Primary ankle arthroplasty

### Demographics

#### Patient characteristics by diagnosis

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary ankle arthroplasty by diagnosis in the Netherlands in 2022**

	Osteoarthritis (n=102)	No osteoarthritis <sup>1</sup> (n=14)	Total (n=116)
Mean age (years) (SD)	69.3 (7.5)	66.1 (6.6)	68.9 (7.5)
Age (years) (%)			
<50	1	0	1
50-59	9	14	9
60-69	38	57	41
70-79	43	29	41
≥80	9	0	8
Gender (%)			
Men	60	43	58
Women	40	57	42
ASA score (%)			
I	19	14	18
II	59	72	60
III-IV	22	14	22
Type of hospital (%)			
General	62	86	65
UMC	10	0	8
Private	28	14	27
Charlley-score (%)			
A One ankle joint affected	69	57	67
B1 Both ankle joints affected	14	0	12
B2 Contralateral ankle joint with a total ankle prosthesis	4	0	4
C Multiple joints affected or chronic disease that affects quality of life	14	43	17
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	27.6 (4.0)	27.4 (4.2)	27.5 (4.0)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)			
Underweight (≤18.5)	0	0	0
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	28	50	30
Overweight (>25-30)	50	21	46
Obesity (>30-40)	22	29	24
Morbid obesity (>40)	0	0	0
Smoking (%)			
No	94	100	95
Yes	6	0	5

<sup>1</sup> Another diagnosis than osteoarthritis registered as primary diagnosis, specifically post-traumatic (n=8), rheumatoid arthritis (n=5) or osteonecrosis (n=1).


General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.

© LROI 2023


## Surgery and prosthesis

### Surgical approach


**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in surgical approach for performing a primary ankle arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**



AA: ankle arthroplasty.

*Medial malleolus osteotomy***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in medial malleolus osteotomy in primary ankle arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

AA: ankle arthroplasty.

*Extension heel cord***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in heel cord extension in primary ankle arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

AA: ankle arthroplasty.

*Most frequently registered ankle prostheses***TABLE** The most frequently registered primary ankle arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022


Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Total ankle arthroplasties	133	124	114	115	110
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Salto	28.6	32.3	33.3	36.5	54.5
Infinity	51.1	44.4	59.6	51.3	39.1
Cadence	5.3	6.5	3.5	2.6	4.5
AAA OSG	12.0	8.1	1.8	3.5	1.8

© LROI 2023

## Ankle revision arthroplasty

### Type of revision

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of revision arthroplasty of ankle revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022



Please note: In 10 (4.3%) ankle revision arthroplasties, the type of revision was not registered.  
RA: revision arthroplasty.

### Reasons for revision

**TABLE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) reasons for revision in patients who underwent an ankle revision arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2016-2022

Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Ankle revision arthroplasty (n)	37	31	29	30	26	26	20	199
<b>Reasons for revision: Proportion<sup>1</sup> (%)</b>								
Cyst formation	21.6	41.9	41.4	53.3	23.1	53.9	30.0	37.7
Inlay wear	35.1	45.2	31.0	40.0	30.8	30.8	25.0	34.7
Loosening of talus component	29.7	38.7	37.9	40.0	11.5	30.8	30.0	31.7
Loosening of tibia component	18.9	22.6	34.5	26.7	19.2	23.1	35.0	25.1
Malalignment	8.1	29.0	24.1	26.7	11.5	15.4	25.0	19.6
Instability	8.1	25.8	20.7	26.7	19.2	7.7	5.0	16.6
Infection	13.5	3.2	24.1	10.0	11.5	15.4	5.0	12.1
Arthrophibrosis	5.4	9.7	3.5	6.7	23.1	26.9	5.0	11.1
Dislocation	5.4	9.7	6.9	10.0	7.7	3.9	0.0	6.5
Peri-prosthetic fracture	0.0	3.2	3.5	3.3	7.7	0.0	5.0	3.0
Other	5.4	0.0	10.3	10.0	15.4	3.9	15.0	8.0

<sup>1</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.


© LROI 2023

## Survival

### Revision by patient characteristics

#### By gender

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentages of primary ankle arthroplasties by gender in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=1,098)



**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	
<b>Gender</b>						
Men	639	1.2 (0.3-2.0)	3.6 (2.1-5.2)	6.7 (4.3-9.0)	8.2 (5.1-11.3)	
Women	459	0.5 (0.0-1.1)	4.1 (2.1-6.2)	5.8 (3.2-8.4)	7.0 (3.9-10.0)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: The number of registered ankle revision arthroplasties is not complete.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**By age category****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentages of primary ankle arthroplasties by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2023 (n=1,100)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Age category	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
<65	430	0.7 (0.0-1.6)	4.7 (2.6-6.8)	7.7 (4.9-10.6)	9.0 (5.7-12.2)
65-74	462	1.2 (0.1-2.2)	4.2 (2.1-6.3)	6.6 (3.7-9.6)	8.5 (4.6-12.5)
≥75	208	0.5 (0.0-1.5)	1.1 (0.0-2.6)	2.1 (0.0-4.6)	n.a.


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: The number of registered ankle revision arthroplasties is not complete.

Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

By BMI

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentages of primary ankle arthroplasties by BMI in the Netherlands in 2014-2024 (n=1,090)



**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

BMI category	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
Normal weight (<25)	297	0.4 (0.0-1.1)	2.0 (0.3-3.7)	5.0 (1.9-8.1)	5.0 (1.9-8.1)
Overweight (25-30)	527	0.6 (0.0-1.3)	2.5 (1.1-4.0)	4.2 (2.1-6.3)	6.6 (3.2-10.1)
Obesity (>30)	266	2.0 (0.3-3.7)	8.0 (4.4-11.6)	11.3 (6.8-15.8)	12.2 (7.4-17.0)


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: The number of registered ankle revision arthroplasties is not complete.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## Revision by procedure characteristics

*Overall***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of primary ankle arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=1,100)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages of primary ankle arthroplasties

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
Primary ankle arthroplasty	1,100	0.86 (0.30-1.43)	3.82 (2.57-5.07)	6.29 (4.54-8.04)	7.62 (5.44-9.80)	9.02 (6.10-11.94)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: The number of registered ankle revision arthroplasties is not complete.


CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2014-2022, 52 (4.7%) primary ankle arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within eight years after the primary procedure.

*By previous surgery*

**FIGURE Cumulative revision percentages of primary ankle arthroplasties by previous surgery in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=1,073)**



**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Previous surgery	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
No	733	0.7 (0.1-1.4)	2.6 (1.3-3.8)	5.3 (3.3-7.4)	5.3 (3.3-7.4)
Yes	340	1.2 (0.0-2.5)	5.6 (2.9-8.3)	7.8 (4.4-11.2)	10.9 (6.1-15.8)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: The number of registered ankle revision arthroplasties is not complete.

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

# Shoulder arthroplasty

## Numbers

### Registered procedures


**TABLE** Number of registered shoulder arthroplasties per year of surgery (2014-2022) in the LROI in April 2023

Year of surgery	Type of shoulder arthroplasty					Total (n)
	Reversed arthroplasty (n)	Total anatomical arthroplasty (n)	Hemi-arthroplasty (n)	Unknown/ missing (n)	Revision arthroplasty (n)	
2014	1,246	398	462	14	208	2,328
2015	1,578	502	423	7	272	2,782
2016	1,748	519	338	14	274	2,893
2017	1,988	560	343	14	346	3,251
2018	2,140	629	285	6	334	3,394
2019	2,430	600	233	3	353	3,619
2020	2,159	477	178	4	309	3,127
2021	2,451	586	178	38	328	3,581
2022	2,866	601	205	1	339	4,012
Total	18,606	4,872	2,645	101	2,763	28,987

© LROI 2023

## Procedures


**FIGURE** Number of primary shoulder arthroplasties and shoulder revision arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands 2014-2022



Out of 3,673 primary shoulder arthroplasties that were performed in 2022, 1% (n=47) was performed bilaterally.


## Type of procedure per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of primary shoulder arthroplasties and shoulder revision arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands 2022 (n=3,673)




## Type of hospital

**FIGURE Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of hospital performing primary and revision shoulder arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**




## Type of primary shoulder prosthesis by type of hospital

**FIGURE Type of primary shoulder arthroplasty (proportion [%] per category) by type of hospital in the Netherlands in 2022**



## Type of primary shoulder prosthesis by age category

**FIGURE** Type of primary shoulder arthroplasty (proportion [%] per category) by age category in patients with a primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022



SA: shoulder arthroplasty; Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.

## Primary shoulder arthroplasty

### Demographics

#### Patient characteristics by type of shoulder prosthesis

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary shoulder arthroplasty by type of primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022**

N (%)	Reverse 2,866 (78)	Total anatomical 601 (16)	Hemi 205 (6)	Total <sup>1</sup> 3,673
Mean age (years) (SD)	73.6 (7.9)	65.6 (8.2)	62.5 (12.5)	71.7 (9.0)
Age (years) (%)				
<50	1	4	15	2
50-59	4	19	24	8
60-69	21	42	32	25
70-79	52	32	21	47
≥80	22	3	8	18
Gender (%)				
Men	26	36	38	28
Women	74	64	62	72
ASA score (%)				
I	5	13	12	6
II	54	63	58	56
III-IV	41	24	30	38
Type of hospital (%)				
General	93	78	87	90
UMC	2	2	7	2
Private	5	20	6	8
Specialism (%)				
Orthopaedic surgeon	99	100	97	99
Trauma surgeon	1	0	3	1
Diagnosis (%)				
Osteoarthritis	34	91	51	44
Fracture	22	0	22	18
Cuff arthropathy	22	0	1	17
Post-traumatic	9	3	9	8
Cuff rupture	7	0	0	6
Rheumatoid arthritis	2	2	3	2
Osteonecrosis	1	3	9	2
Chronic (sub)dislocation	1	0	0	1
Inflammatory arthritis	0	0	1	0
Tumour	0	0	1	0
Other	2	1	3	2
Walch score (%)				
A1	47	31	48	43
A2	29	38	28	31
B1	9	19	8	11
B2	9	9	7	9
B3	4	2	4	4
C	2	1	5	2
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	28.2 (5.2)	28.6 (5.1)	28.6 (5.7)	28.3 (5.2)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)				
Underweight (<18.5)	1	1	1	1
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	29	25	28	28
Overweight (>25-30)	39	43	36	39
Obesity (>30-40)	28	28	31	29
Morbid obesity (>40)	3	3	4	3
Smoking (%)				
No	91	90	87	91
Yes	9	10	13	9

<sup>1</sup> Also contains 1 (0.03%) primary shoulder arthroplasty of which the type of prosthesis had not been registered.

Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: anatomic total shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty; General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.


© LROI 2023

The number of registered shoulder hemiarthroplasties in the LROI is not complete, since these procedures are also performed by trauma surgeons.

## Surgical techniques

### *Surgical approach by type of shoulder prosthesis*


**FIGURE** Surgical approach (proportion [%] per category) by type of primary shoulder arthroplasty in patients with a primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022



SA: shoulder arthroplasty. Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.

### *Fixation by type of shoulder prosthesis*

**FIGURE** Type of fixation (proportion [%] per category) by type of primary shoulder arthroplasty in patients with a primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022




SA: shoulder arthroplasty. Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.

## Bone cement

### *Antibiotics by type of shoulder prosthesis*


**FIGURE** Use of antibiotics in bone cement (proportion [%] per category) by type of primary shoulder arthroplasty in patients with a primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2021




SA: shoulder arthroplasty; Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.

### *Viscosity by type of shoulder prosthesis*

**FIGURE** Bone cement viscosity (proportion [%] per category) by type of primary shoulder arthroplasty in patients with a primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022



SA: shoulder arthroplasty; Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.

*Vacuum mixing system by type of shoulder prosthesis***FIGURE** Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (proportion [%] per category) by type of primary shoulder arthroplasty in patients with a primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022

Separately packed: separately packed bone cement components; Pre-packed: Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system.  
SA: shoulder arthroplasty. Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.

**Most frequently registered components***Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty***TABLE** The most frequently registered humeral stems, humeral liners, glenospheres, metaphyses and glenoid baseplates in primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<b>Humeral stem (n)</b>	<b>1,967</b>	<b>2,246</b>	<b>1,928</b>	<b>2,336</b>	<b>2,645</b>
<b>Name: Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	34.6	33.9	30.9	27.5	30.4
Aequalis Ascend Flex	13.4	13.4	14.1	16.1	13.7
Comprehensive Mini	9.3	8.4	8.9	7.7	10.0
Aequalis Reversed Fracture	6.0	5.1	7.2	7.1	6.7
Global Unite	1.1	4.5	3.4	4.3	5.6
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<b>Humeral liner (n)</b>	<b>1,823</b>	<b>2,085</b>	<b>1,899</b>	<b>2,272</b>	<b>2,517</b>
<b>Name: Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	33.6	35.9	34.3	31.9	37.3
Aequalis Ascend Flex	14.9	14.9	15.4	20.2	15.9
Comprehensive	11.4	11.9	13.3	12.1	15.0
Aequalis Reversed Fracture	5.9	5.3	6.5	6.6	6.3
Equinoxe	7.1	6.4	5.0	5.1	5.6
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<b>Glenosphere (n)</b>	<b>1,940</b>	<b>2,216</b>	<b>1,840</b>	<b>2,245</b>	<b>2,644</b>
<b>Name: Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	35.0	36.8	28.8	27.7	35.4
Aequalis Reversed II	27.0	24.5	26.9	26.2	20.8
Comprehensive	11.2	11.6	13.5	12.4	14.7
Perform Reversed	4.2	5.9	5.1	9.6	7.1
Equinoxe	6.8	6.0	5.4	5.2	5.3
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<b>Metaphysis (n)</b>	<b>1,510</b>	<b>1,829</b>	<b>1,498</b>	<b>1,821</b>	<b>2,067</b>
<b>Name: Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	34.2	37.5	29.1	27.7	34.2
Aequalis Ascend Flex	17.8	17.2	19.0	23.8	18.0
Comprehensive	14.0	13.1	16.2	14.1	17.7
Aequalis Reversed	12.7	12.1	9.1	7.4	6.7
Equinoxe	8.5	7.2	6.8	6.4	6.7
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<b>Glenoid baseplate</b>	<b>1,946</b>	<b>2,197</b>	<b>1,933</b>	<b>2,259</b>	<b>2,581</b>
<b>Name: Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	35.9	38.9	33.6	29.7	36.6
Aequalis Reversed II	26.7	24.8	25.6	26.1	21.7
Comprehensive	11.8	11.7	12.7	12.4	15.1
Perform Reversed	4.2	5.7	4.7	9.4	7.3
Equinoxe	6.7	5.4	3.9	4.9	5.2

© LROI 2023

*Total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty***TABLE** The most frequently registered humeral stems, humeral heads and glenoid components in primary total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Humeral stem (n)	526	518	380	514	535
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Aequalis Ascend Flex	26.6	26.3	33.9	37.0	34.8
Global Icon	4.2	6.2	7.1	6.6	11.8
Comprehensive Nano	8.6	7.7	7.4	10.5	11.4
SMR Stemless	5.7	6.9	7.6	5.1	9.9
Affinis Short	5.5	10.6	8.7	7.8	8.8
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Humeral liner (n)	530	529	393	530	546
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Aequalis Ascend Flex	27.4	27.4	35.4	37.0	35.2
Comprehensive	12.3	9.6	10.4	14.5	13.7
Global Icon	1.3	5.7	6.6	6.6	12.1
Global Unite/Global AP	18.3	17.2	16.0	12.6	11.0
SMR head	8.9	9.8	8.4	7.5	9.5
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Glenosphere (n)	580	551	389	540	544
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Aequalis Perform Keeled	15.3	18.7	27.8	27.8	27.4
Global APG+	28.1	26.9	23.7	18.0	24.4
Comprehensive	12.6	9.8	11.3	15.0	14.5
Aequalis Perform Pegged	10.0	9.8	9.5	10.6	10.1
SMR TT hybrid glenoid	0.0	0.2	2.6	5.0	6.1

© LROI 2023

*Shoulder hemiarthroplasty***TABLE** The most frequently registered humeral stems and humeral heads in primary shoulder hemiarthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Humeral stem (n)	211	166	141	157	164
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Aequalis Ascend Flex	18.0	21.1	27.0	23.6	22.6
Global Unite	7.6	5.4	3.5	6.4	7.9
Sidus Baseplate	6.6	2.4	4.3	7.0	7.3
Affinis Short	3.8	10.8	2.8	2.5	7.3
Comprehensive Fracture	6.6	3.6	7.8	11.5	6.7
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Humeral head (n)	251	198	158	160	172
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Aequalis Pyrocarbon Humeral Head	8.0	15.7	22.8	15.6	12.8
Aequalis Ascend Flex	8.8	4.0	5.1	10.0	12.8
Comprehensive	18.3	21.2	10.1	18.1	11.0
SMR head	3.2	5.1	9.5	11.3	10.5
Copeland	8.4	7.1	9.5	6.9	8.1

© LROI 2023

## Most frequently registered types of bone cement

### *Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty*

**TABLE The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022**

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	247	294	306	385	417
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	45.7	47.3	57.5	59.0	47.0
Refabacin Bone Cement R	49.0	44.2	32.0	34.3	46.5
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	5.3	8.5	10.5	6.8	6.5
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	255	239	218	183	240
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	66.3	68.6	59.2	80.9	69.6
Copal G+C	3.9	1.7	3.2	2.7	12.9
Palacos MV+G	4.7	3.8	7.8	4.4	5.8
Palacos LV+G	9.4	5.9	2.8	4.9	4.2
Refabacin Bone Cement R	0.4	9.6	14.7	3.3	3.3

© LROI 2023

### *Total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty*

**TABLE The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during primary total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022**

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	140	238	181	284	313
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Refabacin Bone Cement R	52.9	47.1	36.5	49.3	48.9
Palacos R+G	37.1	47.1	54.1	44.0	40.9
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	10.0	5.9	9.4	6.7	10.2
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	293	185	134	129	108
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	84.0	71.9	61.9	78.3	47.2
Copal G+C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	27.8
Simplex ABC EC	6.5	19.5	12.7	7.0	12.0
Refabacin Bone Cement R	1.0	5.9	19.4	5.4	4.6
Biomet Bone Cement R	0.0	0.0	2.2	3.9	3.7

© LROI 2023

### *Shoulder hemiarthroplasty*

**TABLE The most frequently registered types of bone cement by type of mixing system used during primary shoulder hemiarthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022**


Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system (n)	41	46	30	52	34
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Refabacin Bone Cement R	48.8	52.2	33.3	38.5	50.0
Refabacin Plus Bone Cement	26.8	13.0	40.0	15.4	29.4
Palacos R+G	24.4	34.8	26.7	46.2	20.6
Separately packed bone cement components (n)	48	34	29	12	30
Cement name; Proportion (%)					
Palacos R+G	66.7	47.1	65.5	83.3	56.7
Simplex ABC EC	4.2	8.8	6.9	0.0	16.7
Refabacin Bone Cement R	10.4	5.9	6.9	0.0	10.0

© LROI 2023

## Shoulder revision arthroplasty

### Type of revision

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of revision in shoulder revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022



Type of shoulder revision (%)										
Removal of prosthesis	8.33	4.62	5.70	6.33	11.21	12.36	13.77	13.46	10.71	9.87
Total revision	46.08	46.54	39.16	45.78	42.06	33.91	27.87	31.19	39.29	38.65
Partial revision	39.22	41.15	46.77	41.27	40.50	46.55	48.85	44.65	41.37	43.51
Other	6.37	7.69	8.37	6.63	6.23	7.18	9.51	10.70	8.63	7.97
Total (n):	204	260	263	332	321	348	305	327	336	2,696

RA: revision arthroplasty.

### Reasons for revision


**TABLE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in reasons for revision in patients who underwent a shoulder revision arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

Year	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Shoulder revision (n)	208	272	274	346	334	353	309	328	339	2,763
Reasons for revision; Proportion <sup>1</sup> (%)										
Infection	19.2	16.5	22.3	21.4	24.9	28.1	28.8	32.3	30.4	25.3
Instability	12.5	15.4	23.4	26.3	22.2	22.7	24.0	19.8	20.4	21.1
Progression of osteoarthritis	24.0	24.6	16.8	16.8	15.0	12.2	10.4	11.3	11.2	15.2
Loosening of glenoid component	12.5	13.2	10.6	13.0	11.1	11.3	10.4	14.3	18.6	12.9
Cuff rupture	13.9	15.1	11.0	14.5	12.0	11.3	10.0	11.0	10.9	12.1
Cuff arthropathy	12.5	13.2	13.5	11.9	9.6	11.6	9.7	7.6	4.4	10.2
Malalignment	12.0	12.9	8.4	8.3	6.0	6.2	9.4	5.8	9.7	8.5
Loosening of humeral component	7.8	7.7	11.0	4.6	7.2	5.4	8.7	7.9	8.9	7.6
Peri-prosthetic fracture	2.3	5.6	5.1	4.6	6.6	6.2	5.8	8.8	8.0	6.2
Revision after shoulder removal <sup>2</sup>									3.0	
Other	10.6	11.8	12.0	9.3	12.6	11.9	17.5	12.8	11.2	12.2


<sup>1</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.

<sup>2</sup> Please note: Removal after shoulder revision was not registered before 2022.


© LROI 2023

**Surgical techniques****Fixation****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in shoulder revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

RA: revision arthroplasty.

**Conversion to TSA****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in conversion of a shoulder hemiprosthesis to a total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

RA: revision arthroplasty; TSA: total shoulder arthroplasty.

*Conversion to hemi***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in conversion of a total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty to a shoulder hemiprosthesis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022*Bone cement antibiotics***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of antibiotics in bone cement in shoulder revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

## Most frequently registered

### Components

**TABLE** The most frequently registered humeral stems, humeral heads, humeral liners, glenoid baseplates, glenospheres and metaphyses in shoulder revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Humeral stem (n)	151	139	105	128	145
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	43.7	36.7	41.9	36.7	42.1
Aequalis Ascend Flex	3.3	7.9	8.6	7.0	13.8
Aequalis Ascend Flex Cemented	2.0	4.3	0.0	3.9	9.0
Global Unite	4.0	6.5	1.0	7.8	7.6
Aequalis Flex Revive	0.0	0.0	4.8	10.2	5.5
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Humeral head (n)	48	32	26	32	31
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Aequalis Ascend Flex	10.4	18.8	26.9	28.1	41.9
Global AP	25.0	21.9	15.4	15.6	19.4
Comprehensive	2.1	6.3	3.8	0.0	6.5
Global Unite/Global AP	6.3	12.5	7.7	6.3	6.5
SMR CTA head	0.0	6.3	0.0	3.1	6.5
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Humeral liner (n)	181	219	189	198	221
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	47.5	37.9	37.6	51.5	53.4
Aequalis Ascend Flex	7.7	14.6	12.7	16.7	20.4
Comprehensive	11.0	9.1	11.1	9.1	8.6
Aequalis Reversed II	8.8	9.1	5.8	5.1	4.1
Equinoxe	5.0	5.9	6.3	3.0	3.6
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Glenoid baseplate (n)	146	128	93	117	125
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	50.7	47.7	43.0	45.3	52.0
Aequalis Reversed II	11.6	21.1	15.1	13.7	18.4
Perform Reversed	4.1	4.7	3.2	9.4	9.6
Comprehensive	9.6	9.4	15.1	12.0	8.8
Affinis Inverse	2.1	3.9	6.5	4.3	2.4
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Glenosphere (n)	191	218	141	165	175
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Delta X-tend	46.6	37.2	27.0	38.8	44.0
Aequalis Reversed II	15.2	18.3	17.0	13.3	18.9
Perform Reversed	2.6	9.2	6.4	10.3	13.1
Comprehensive	11.0	7.8	11.3	9.7	7.4
SMR reversed head	3.7	4.1	11.3	3.6	2.9
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Metaphysis (n)	112	128	100	121	130
<b>Name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Aequalis Ascend Flex	13.4	20.3	16.0	26.4	30.8
Delta X-tend	25.0	21.9	17.0	24.0	26.9
Comprehensive	17.9	17.2	19.0	16.5	14.6
Aequalis Reversed II	10.7	13.3	7.0	4.1	3.8
Anatomical inverse Humeral Cups	8.9	10.2	10.0	5.0	3.8

© LROI 2023

### Types of bone cement

**TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement used during shoulder revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement (n)	101	84	60	76	90
<b>Cement name; Proportion (%)</b>					
Copal G+C	28.7	34.5	38.3	43.4	40.0
Palacos R+G	40.6	35.7	28.3	32.9	27.8
Refobacin Bone Cement R	14.9	14.3	11.7	15.8	14.4
Refobacin Plus Bone Cement	3.0	3.6	1.7	0.0	5.6
Copal G+V	2.0	1.2	0.0	2.6	3.3

© LROI 2023

## Survival overall

### Revision within 1 and 3 years

#### By type of shoulder arthroplasty

**TABLE Cumulative 1-year and 3-year revision percentage of primary shoulder arthroplasties by type of shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=15,178)**

Type of primary shoulder arthroplasty	Number (n)	Kaplan Meier (95% CI)	
		1yr	3yr
Reverse	11,131	2.5 (2.2-2.7)	4.0 (3.6-4.4)
Total anatomical	2,839	1.2 (0.8-1.6)	3.9 (3.2-4.7)
Hemi	1,208	2.2 (1.3-3.0)	6.6 (5.1-8.1)

Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.  
CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

In 2017-2021, 359 (2.3%) primary shoulder arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within one year and 789 (5.2%) primary shoulder arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within three years after the primary procedure.

#### By type of revision within 1 year

**TABLE Cumulative 1-year revision percentage of primary total (reverse and anatomical) shoulder arthroplasties by type of revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021**

	Cumulative 1-year revision percentage - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)	
	Reverse (n=11,131)	Anatomical (n=2,839)
Any type of revision <sup>1</sup>	2.5 (2.2-2.7)	1.2 (0.8-1.6)
Major revision <sup>2</sup>	1.1 (0.9-1.3)	1.0 (0.6-1.3)
Only humeral stem	0.2 (0.1-0.3)	0.2 (0.0-0.4)
Only glenoid baseplate (reverse) or glenoid (anatomical)	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	0.4 (0.1-0.6)
Humeral stem and glenoid baseplate (reverse) or glenoid (anatomical)	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	0.3 (0.1-0.5)
Minor revision <sup>3</sup>	1.4 (1.1-1.6)	0.2 (0.0-0.3)
DAIR	0.4 (0.3-0.5)	0.0 (0.0-0.0)
No DAIR	1.0 (0.8-1.2)	0.2 (0.0-0.3)

<sup>1</sup> Any type of revision includes minor and major revisions as well as revision procedures that could not be classified as minor or major revision.

<sup>2</sup> Revision of at least the humeral stem or glenoid baseplate (reverse)/ glenoid (anatomical) component.

<sup>3</sup> Only liner and/or metaphysis exchange (including DAIR procedures).

Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2017-2021, 216 (1.9%) primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasties and 20 (0.7%) primary total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within one year after the primary procedure.

#### Time after primary reverse TSA

**TABLE Time after primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasty until short-term revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=11,131)**

Time after primary reverse TSA	Percentage revisions (%)
Day 0-29	0.6
Day 30-182	1.4
Day 183-364	0.6
Day 365-730 (second year)	0.8
Day 731-1095 (third year)	0.3

TSA: total shoulder arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

#### Time after primary anatomical TSA

**TABLE Time after primary anatomical total shoulder arthroplasty until short-term revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=2,839)**

Time after primary anatomical TSA	Percentage revisions (%)
Day 0-29	0.1
Day 30-182	0.5
Day 183-364	0.7
Day 365-730 (second year)	1.7
Day 731-1095 (third year)	0.5

TSA: total shoulder arthroplasty

© LROI 2023

*Time after primary hemi***TABLE** Time after primary shoulder hemiarthroplasty until short-term revision in the Netherlands in 2017-2021 (n=1,208)

Time after primary hemi	Percentage revisions (%)
Day 0-29	0.4
Day 30-182	0.6
Day 183-364	1.6
Day 365-730 (second year)	2.6
Day 731-1095 (third year)	1.0

© LROI 2023

*Reasons for revision by type of shoulder***TABLE** Reasons for revision within one year in patients that underwent a shoulder revision arthroplasty by type of shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2017-2021

Reason for revision	Type of shoulder arthroplasty		
	Reverse (n=287) Proportion <sup>1</sup> (%)	Total anatomical (n=39) Proportion <sup>1</sup> (%)	Hemi (n=31) Proportion <sup>1</sup> (%)
Instability	45.6	30.8	25.8
Infection	29.6	0.0	9.7
Cuff rupture	n.a.	38.5	32.3
Malalignment	4.5	12.8	16.1
Cuff arthroplasty	n.a.	7.7	25.8
Loosening of glenoid component	10.5	10.3	0.0
Loosening of humeral component	2.8	2.6	6.5
Peri-prosthetic fracture	8.0	7.7	0.0
Progression of osteoarthritis	1.7	2.6	16.1
Other	10.8	15.4	22.6

Please note: After a reverse total shoulder arthroplasty, the rotator cuff is no longer present.  
 Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty.


<sup>1</sup>One patient may have more than one reason of revision.

© LROI 2023

Revision within 7 years

*Overall*

**FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of primary shoulder arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=26,112)**



**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**


	Number (n)	Number at risk (n)	Competing Risk (95% CI)	Kaplan Meier <sup>1</sup> (95% CI)
Primary shoulder arthroplasty	26,112			
1-year revision (%)		21,746	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	2.2 (2.0-2.4)
3-year revision (%)		14,823	4.4 (4.1-4.7)	4.5 (4.2-4.7)
5-year revision (%)		8,394	5.4 (5.1-5.7)	5.6 (5.3-5.9)
7-year revision (%)		3,446	6.1 (5.7-6.5)	6.5 (6.1-6.9)

<sup>1</sup> The cumulative revision percentage using the Kaplan Meier method is shown in the figure.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

In 2014-2022, 2,674 (10.2%) primary shoulder arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within seven years after the primary procedure.

*By type of shoulder arthroplasty***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of primary shoulder arthroplasties by type of shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=26,016)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Type of primary shoulder arthroplasty	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
Reverse	18,534	2.4 (2.2-2.7)	3.9 (3.6-4.2)	4.7 (4.4-5.1)	5.4 (4.9-5.8)
Total anatomical	4,855	1.1 (0.8-1.4)	4.1 (3.5-4.8)	5.5 (4.7-6.2)	6.5 (5.6-7.4)
Hemi	2,627	2.2 (1.7-2.8)	8.0 (6.9-9.1)	10.3 (9.0-11.6)	12.0 (10.5-13.4)


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Reverse: reverse total shoulder arthroplasty; Total anatomical: total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty; Hemi: shoulder hemiarthroplasty. CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

*By procedure year*

**FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasties by procedure year of primary shoulder arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2015-2022 (n=15,271)**



**TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Procedure year primary shoulder	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)			
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
2015-2016	4,330	2.02 (1.60-2.44)	4.22 (3.61-4.83)	5.37 (4.69-6.05)	6.23 (5.48-6.98)
2017-2018	5,302	2.29 (1.89-2.69)	4.07 (3.53-4.61)	4.80 (4.21-5.39)	n.a.
2019-2020	5,639	2.14 (1.76-2.52)	3.81 (3.30-4.32)	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.


CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

## Survival reverse total shoulder arthroplasty

## Revision by patient characteristics

## Reverse TSA by gender


**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by gender in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=18,520)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
Gender							
Men	4,352	5.2 (4.5-5.8)	7.9 (7.0-8.7)	8.8 (7.8-9.8)	11.0 (9.5-12.5)	11.4 (9.7-13.1)	
Women	14,168	1.6 (1.4-1.8)	2.8 (2.5-3.1)	3.5 (3.2-3.9)	3.8 (3.4-4.2)	3.9 (3.4-4.3)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

*Reverse TSA by age category***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=18,519)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
<b>Age (years)</b>							
<50	124	8.5 (3.4-13.5)	13.8 (7.2-20.4)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
50-59	711	4.3 (2.8-5.8)	7.2 (5.1-9.4)	8.2 (5.8-10.6)	9 (6.2-11.9)	9.0 (6.2-11.9)	
60-69	3,992	3.3 (2.7-3.8)	6.0 (5.2-6.8)	7.1 (6.2-8.1)	8.5 (7.2-9.7)	9.1 (7.6-10.6)	
70-79	9,407	2.3 (2.0-2.6)	3.5 (3.1-3.9)	4.4 (3.9-4.8)	4.8 (4.2-5.3)	4.8 (4.2-5.3)	
≥80	4,285	1.5 (1.2-1.9)	2.1 (1.7-2.6)	2.4 (1.9-2.9)	2.7 (2.1-3.4)	2.7 (2.1-3.4)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.


Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

*Reverse TSA by diagnosis***FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by diagnosis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=18,459)****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Diagnosis	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
Osteoarthritis	5,857	1.9 (1.5-2.2)	3.1 (2.6-3.6)	3.9 (3.3-4.5)	4.3 (3.6-5.1)	4.3 (3.6-5.1)	
Other	12,602	2.7 (2.4-3.0)	4.3 (3.9-4.7)	5.1 (4.7-5.6)	5.8 (5.3-6.4)	6.0 (5.4-6.6)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

*Reverse TSA by ASA score***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by ASA score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=18,359)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr
<b>ASA score</b>						
I	970	2.4 (1.4-3.4)	4.8 (3.3-6.3)	6.1 (4.3-7.9)	6.7 (4.7-8.6)	6.7 (4.7-8.6)
II	10,586	2.0 (1.7-2.3)	3.5 (3.1-3.8)	4.1 (3.6-4.5)	4.7 (4.2-5.3)	4.9 (4.3-5.5)
III-IV	6,803	3.1 (2.7-3.5)	4.6 (4.0-5.1)	5.7 (5.0-6.4)	6.3 (5.4-7.2)	6.3 (5.4-7.2)

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023


*Reverse TSA by BMI category***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by BMI category in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=18,222)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
Underweight ( $\leq 18.5$ )	193	5.5 (2.2-8.8)	7.3 (3.2-11.4)	7.3 (3.2-11.4)	n.a.	n.a.	
Normal weight ( $> 18.5-25$ )	5,282	1.6 (1.3-2.0)	3.3 (2.7-3.8)	4.1 (3.4-4.7)	4.7 (3.9-5.5)	5.0 (4.0-5.9)	
Overweight ( $> 25-30$ )	7,122	2.4 (2.1-2.8)	3.7 (3.2-4.2)	4.5 (3.9-5.0)	5.1 (4.4-5.9)	5.4 (4.5-6.2)	
Obesity ( $> 30-40$ )	5,112	3.0 (2.5-3.5)	4.6 (3.9-5.2)	5.4 (4.7-6.1)	6.0 (5.1-6.9)	6.0 (5.1-6.9)	
Morbid obesity ( $> 40$ )	513	4.1 (2.4-5.9)	6.5 (4.2-8.8)	7.7 (4.8-10.5)	n.a.	n.a.	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

*Reverse TSA by Walch score***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by walch score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=15,027)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Walch-score	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr
A1	7,826	2.3 (1.9-2.6)	4.0 (3.5-4.4)	4.8 (4.3-5.3)	5.6 (4.9-6.3)	5.6 (4.9-6.3)
A2	3,996	2.5 (2.0-3.0)	3.7 (3.1-4.3)	4.4 (3.6-5.1)	4.9 (4.0-5.9)	4.9 (4.0-5.9)
B1	1,534	1.9 (1.2-2.6)	3.2 (2.2-4.1)	4.1 (2.9-5.2)	4.3 (3.1-5.6)	4.3 (3.1-5.6)
B2	1,045	1.4 (0.6-2.1)	2.6 (1.5-3.7)	3.7 (2.2-5.2)	3.7 (2.2-5.2)	n.a.
B3	387	1.1 (0.0-2.2)	3.7 (1.4-6.0)	4.9 (1.6-8.2)	n.a.	n.a.
C	239	6.4 (3.1-9.7)	7.3 (3.6-11.0)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

*Reverse TSA by smoking***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by smoking in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=18,196)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages


	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
<b>Smoking</b>							
No	16,464	2.3 (2.1-2.5)	3.8 (3.4-4.1)	4.6 (4.2-5)	5.2 (4.7-5.7)	5.4 (4.8-5.9)	
Yes	1,732	3.6 (2.7-4.5)	5.7 (4.5-6.9)	6.2 (4.9-7.4)	7.1 (5.5-8.8)	7.1 (5.5-8.8)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

## Revision by procedure characteristics

## Reverse TSA by procedure year

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of primary reverse total shoulder arthroplasties by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=15,679)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary reverse TSA	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
2014-2017	6,532	2.53 (2.15-2.91)	3.95 (3.47-4.43)	4.76 (4.23-5.29)	5.40 (4.81-5.99)
2018-2021	9,147	2.57 (2.24-2.90)	3.92 (3.50-4.34)	4.59 (4.05-5.13)	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.


Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk.

TSA: total shoulder arthroplasty; CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

## Survival total anatomical shoulder arthroplasty

## Revision by patient characteristics


*Anatomical TSA by gender***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by gender in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,853)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
Gender							
Men	1,506	1.1 (0.5-1.6)	4.6 (3.4-5.8)	5.6 (4.2-7.0)	6.8 (5.0-8.6)	6.8 (5.0-8.6)	
Women	3,347	1.1 (0.7-1.5)	3.9 (3.2-4.7)	5.5 (4.5-6.4)	6.3 (5.3-7.4)	7.2 (5.8-8.6)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

**Anatomical TSA by age category****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by age category in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,853)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
<b>Age (years)</b>							
<50	262	1.6 (0.0-3.1)	5.9 (2.8-8.9)	7.8 (4.1-11.6)	n.a.	n.a.	
50-59	896	1.2 (0.5-1.9)	6.1 (4.3-7.8)	8.6 (6.3-10.9)	10.1 (7.5-12.8)	10.9 (7.8-13.9)	
60-69	1,928	1.2 (0.7-1.7)	4.8 (3.7-5.9)	6.3 (5.0-7.6)	7.2 (5.7-8.7)	8.4 (6.4-10.4)	
70-79	1,487	0.7 (0.3-1.2)	2.2 (1.3-3.0)	2.6 (1.7-3.6)	3.2 (2.1-4.3)	3.2 (2.1-4.3)	
≥80	280	1.5 (0.0-2.9)	2.3 (0.5-4.2)	3.1 (0.7-5.4)	3.1 (0.7-5.4)	n.a.	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.


Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

*Anatomical TSA by diagnosis***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by diagnosis in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,830)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Diagnosis	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
Osteoarthritis	4,254	1.0 (0.7-1.3)	3.6 (2.9-4.2)	4.8 (4.0-5.6)	5.9 (5.0-6.9)	6.5 (5.3-7.7)	
Other	576	2.2 (1.0-3.4)	8.3 (5.9-10.8)	10.6 (7.7-13.5)	10.6 (7.7-13.5)	11.4 (8.1-14.8)	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.  
CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

*Anatomical TSA by ASA score***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by ASA score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,813)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr
<b>ASA score</b>						
I	682	1.4 (0.5-2.3)	4.5 (2.8-6.3)	6.2 (4.1-8.4)	7.5 (4.9-10.1)	8.2 (5.3-11.2)
II	3,201	1.0 (0.6-1.4)	4.2 (3.4-4.9)	5.7 (4.7-6.6)	6.6 (5.4-7.7)	7.3 (5.9-8.7)
III-IV	930	1.3 (0.5-2.1)	3.8 (2.4-5.2)	4.2 (2.7-5.6)	5.3 (3.4-7.3)	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk. CI: confidence interval.


**Anatomical TSA by BMI category****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by BMI category in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,765)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
<b>Body Mass Index (kg/m<sup>2</sup>)</b>							
Underweight (<18.5)	29	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	1,201	1.2 (0.5-1.8)	3.9 (2.7-5.1)	6.0 (4.4-7.7)	6.3 (4.6-8.0)	7.2 (4.7-9.6)	
Overweight (>25-30)	1,863	1.0 (0.5-1.4)	3.7 (2.8-4.7)	4.9 (3.7-6.0)	6.3 (4.8-7.8)	6.6 (5.0-8.3)	
Obesity (>30-40)	1,523	1.1 (0.6-1.7)	5.1 (3.8-6.3)	6.2 (4.7-7.6)	7.4 (5.7-9.2)	8.3 (6.2-10.4)	
Morbid obesity (>40)	149	1.5 (0.0-3.5)	2.5 (0.0-5.3)	2.5 (0.0-5.3)	n.a.	n.a.	

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

*Anatomical TSA by Walch score***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by walch score in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,594)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Walch-score	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr
A1	1,769	1.6 (1.0-2.2)	5.0 (3.9-6.0)	6.8 (5.4-8.1)	7.2 (5.8-8.7)	8.6 (6.6-10.7)
A2	1,514	0.8 (0.4-1.3)	3.7 (2.6-4.7)	5.1 (3.8-6.5)	6.4 (4.7-8.1)	6.4 (4.7-8.1)
B1	839	0.5 (0.0-1.0)	3.2 (1.8-4.5)	4.1 (2.5-5.7)	5.1 (30-7.2)	5.1 (30-7.2)
B2	376	1.4 (0.2-2.6)	2.9 (10-4.7)	2.9 (1.0-4.7)	n.a.	n.a.
B3	65	1.7 (0.0-5.0)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
C	31	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

*Anatomical TSA by smoking***FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by smoking in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,802)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Smoking	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
No	4,252	1.1 (0.7-1.4)	3.9 (3.2-4.5)	5.0 (4.2-5.7)	6.0 (5.1-7.0)	6.8 (5.6-8.0)	
Yes	550	1.2 (0.2-2.1)	6.1 (3.8-8.3)	9.0 (6.1-11.8)	9.4 (6.4-12.4)	n.a.	


Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk; CI: confidence interval.

## Revision by procedure characteristics

## Anatomical TSA by procedure year

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of primary total anatomical shoulder arthroplasties by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=4,255)



**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages

Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)


Procedure year primary anatomical TSA	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
2014-2017	1,975	1.52 (0.98-2.06)	4.45 (3.54-5.36)	5.92 (4.87-6.97)	6.85 (5.70-8.00)
2018-2021	2,280	1.23 (0.78-1.68)	3.87 (3.01-4.73)	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.

Please note: n.a. if <50 cases were at risk.

CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

**Survival hemi****Revision by procedure characteristics****Hemi by procedure year****FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of primary hemi shoulder arthroplasties by procedure year of primary arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=2,423)PROMs****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)

Procedure year primary hemi arthroplasty	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr
2014-2017	1,555	2.54 (1.75-3.33)	8.45 (7.04-9.86)	10.86 (9.27-12.45)	12.47 (10.73-14.21)
2018-2021	868	2.91 (1.78-4.04)	6.97 (5.16-8.78)	n.a.	n.a.

Please note: Dotted lines represent the upper and lower limits of the 95% confidence interval.


Please note: n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk.

CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

**Response****Response**

**FIGURE** Pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative response percentage of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty per pre-operative PROMs registering hospital (n=67) in the Netherlands in 2014-2022



**TABLE** PROMs response percentages

Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022 <sup>1</sup>
<b>Total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty (n)</b>							
PROMs response (%)	1,813	2,030	2,275	2,488	2,137	2,496	2,804
Pre-operative	5.3	25.7	29.7	41.0	42.5	42.5	46.8
Pre-operative and 12 months postoperative	3.0	14.9	17.7	24.6	26.5	25.5	n.a.
Pre-operative, 3 and 12 months postoperative	1.9	10.7	14.0	18.5	20.6	20.9	n.a.


<sup>1</sup> The 12 months postoperative PROMs response percentage is not (yet) available for 2022.

PROM: patient reported outcome measure.

© LROI 2023

**Response pre-PROM per hospital**


**FIGURE** Scatterplot of pre-operative response percentage of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis per hospital (n=77) in the Netherlands in 2022



## Mean scores (pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months)

NRS (rest)

**FIGURE** Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative NRS (rest) scores of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis by recommendation score in the Netherlands in 2016-2021



**TABLE** Mean NRS (rest) scores

NRS (rest) score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	Recommendation score	n	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
1-3: not recommend	727	726	735	6.17 (6.01-6.33) 1.97 (1.80-2.14) 1.38 (1.22-1.54)	726	1.97 (1.80-2.14) 1.38 (1.22-1.54)
4-5: recommend	260	260	261	6.24 (5.95-6.53) 1.78 (1.52-2.05) 1.08 (0.86-1.31)	260	1.78 (1.52-2.05) 1.08 (0.86-1.31)
Total	1045	1046	1050	6.17 (6.03-6.30) 1.93 (1.80-2.07) 1.31 (1.18-1.44)	1046	1.93 (1.80-2.07) 1.31 (1.18-1.44)

The recommendation score measures to what extend the patient would recommend joint replacement to a friend or relative. The score has a range of 1.0 to 5.0, with 1.0 representing totally disagreement and 5.0 representing totally agreement.


CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The NRS (rest) score measures pain during rest. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing no pain and 10.0 representing the most possible pain.

**NRS (activity)**

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative NRS (activity) scores of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis by recommendation score in the Netherlands in 2016-2021**



**TABLE Mean NRS (activity) scores**

NRS (activity) score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
1-3: not recommend	727	7.93 (7.80-8.05)	726	3.44 (3.26-3.63)	735	2.27 (2.09-2.45)
4-5: recommend	261	8.01 (7.80-8.22)	259	3.32 (3.02-3.62)	259	2.06 (1.76-2.36)
Total	1046	7.92 (7.81-8.02)	1044	3.41 (3.26-3.57)	1048	2.21 (2.06-2.37)


The recommendation score measures to what extend the patient would recommend joint replacement to a friend or relative. The score has a range of 1.0 to 5.0, with 1.0 representing totally disagreement and 5.0 representing totally agreement.  
CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**The NRS (activity) score measures pain during activity. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing no pain and 10.0 representing the most possible pain.**

*EQ5D index score*

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative EQ-5D index scores of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis by recommendation score in the Netherlands in 2016-2021**



**TABLE Mean EQ-5D Index scores**

EQ-5D Index score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
1-3: not recommend	722	0.57 (0.55-0.58)	704	0.74 (0.73-0.75)	700	0.78 (0.76-0.79)
4-5: recommend	242	0.56 (0.54-0.59)	240	0.77 (0.75-0.79)	257	0.80 (0.78-0.82)
Total	1035	0.56 (0.55-0.58)	1016	0.75 (0.74-0.76)	1020	0.78 (0.77-0.79)

The recommendation score measures to what extend the patient would recommend joint replacement to a friend or relative. The score has a range of 1.0 to 5.0, with 1.0 representing totally disagreement and 5.0 representing totally agreement.  
CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

**The EQ-5D index score measures quality of life.**

**The score has a range of -0.329 to 1.0, with 1.0 representing the best possible quality of life.**

*EQ5D thermometer*

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative EQ-5D thermometer scores of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis by recommendation score in the Netherlands in 2016-2021**



**TABLE Mean EQ-5D thermometer scores**

EQ-5D thermometer Recommendation score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative <sup>1</sup>	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
1-3: not recommend	725	66.5 (65.1-67.9)	712	70.8 (69.2-72.3)	710	71.3 (69.7-72.9)
4-5: recommend	243	67.6 (65.2-70.0)	247	75.0 (72.6-77.3)	258	78.0 (76.1-79.8)
Total	1041	66.5 (65.3-67.7)	1031	72.2 (70.9-73.4)	1027	73.1 (71.9-74.4)

The recommendation score measures to what extend the patient would recommend joint replacement to a friend or relative. The score has a range of 1.0 to 5.0, with 1.0 representing totally disagreement and 5.0 representing totally agreement.  
CI: confidence interval.


© LROI 2023

The EQ-5D thermometer score measures the health situation.

The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing the worst possible health situation and 100.0 the best possible health situation.

*Oxford Shoulder score*

**FIGURE Mean pre-operative, 3 months and 12 months postoperative Oxford Shoulder scores of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis by recommendation score in the Netherlands in 2016-2021**




**TABLE Mean Oxford Shoulder Scores (OSS)**

Recommendation score	Pre-operative		3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
1-3: not recommend	719	19.2 (18.7-19.8)	711	30.6 (29.8-31.4)	722	36.8 (36.0-37.6)
4-5: recommend	255	19.7 (18.7-20.6)	255	31.4 (30.2-32.6)	254	37.0 (35.7-38.4)
Total	1036	19.4 (18.9-19.9)	1031	30.8 (30.2-31.4)	1026	36.9 (36.3-37.6)

The recommendation score measures to what extend the patient would recommend joint replacement to a friend or relative. The score has a range of 1.0 to 5.0, with 1.0 representing totally disagreement and 5.0 representing totally agreement.  
CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

**The Oxford Shoulder score measures the physical functioning and pain of patients with osteoarthritis to the shoulder. The score has a range of 0.0 to 48.0, with 0.0 representing no functional ability and 48.0 the most functional ability.**

*Recommendation***FIGURE** Mean 3 months and 12 months postoperative recommendation scores of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2016-2021**TABLE** Mean recommendation

	3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Recommendation score	998	2.27 (2.18-2.36)	996	2.29 (2.19-2.38)


CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The recommendation score measures to what extend the patient would recommend joint replacement to a friend or relative.  
The score has a range of 1.0 to 5.0, with 1.0 representing totally disagreement and 5.0 representing totally agreement.

**Anchor questions**

**FIGURE** Mean 3 months and 12 months postoperative change in daily functioning and pain of patients who underwent a primary total (anatomical or reverse) shoulder arthroplasty for osteoarthritis in the Netherlands in 2016-2021



**TABLE** Mean anchor questions: Daily functioning and Pain

Anchor question score	3 months postoperative		12 months postoperative	
	n	Mean (95% CI)	n	Mean (95% CI)
Daily functioning	1011	5.45 (5.36-5.54)	1010	5.93 (5.85-6.01)
Pain	997	5.92 (5.85-6.00)	1005	6.21 (6.14-6.27)

CI: confidence interval.

© LROI 2023

The anchor questions measure change in daily functioning and change in pain after joint replacement. The score has a range of 1.0 to 7.0, with 1.0 representing very deteriorated and 7.0 representing very improved.

## Elbow arthroplasty

### Numbers

#### Registered procedures


**TABLE** Number of registered elbow arthroplasties per year of surgery (2014-2022) in the LROI in April 2023

Year of surgery	Type of elbow arthroplasty							Total (n)
	Total arthroplasty (n)	Distal hemihumeral arthroplasty (n)	Radial head arthroplasty (n)	Radiocapitellar arthroplasty (n)	Other (n)	Unknown/missing (n)	Revision arthroplasty (n)	
2014	72	5	23	0	0	8	38	146
2015	78	4	41	1	0	1	67	192
2016	67	2	45	13	2	8	57	194
2017	67	1	41	13	0	23	61	206
2018	73	5	54	2	2	3	58	197
2019	79	2	57	0	0	2	63	203
2020	78	3	55	0	3	1	52	192
2021	74	7	74	0	0	0	44	199
2022	71	2	56	1	0	1	76	207
Total	659	31	446	30	7	47	516	1,736

© LROI 2023


### Type of procedures

**FIGURE** Number of primary elbow arthroplasties and elbow revision arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands in 2014-2022




### Type of procedure per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of primary elbow arthroplasties and elbow revision arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=207)



## Type of hospital

**FIGURE Primary elbow arthroplasties and elbow revision arthroplasties (proportion [%] per category) by type of hospital in the Netherlands in 2022**



## Primary elbow arthroplasty

### Demographics

#### Patient characteristics by type of elbow prosthesis

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary elbow arthroplasty by type of elbow arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022**

	Total arthroplasty <sup>1</sup> (n=73)	Radial head arthroplasty <sup>2</sup> (n=57)	Total <sup>3</sup> (n=131)
Mean age (years) (SD)	68.1 (9.2)	59.5 (14.7)	64.3 (12.6)
Age (years) (%)			
<50	3	21	11
50-59	14	23	18
60-69	34	33	33
70-79	41	16	30
≥80	8	7	8
Gender (%)			
Men	25	35	30
Women	75	65	70
ASA score (%)			
I	10	22	15
II	59	64	61
III-IV	31	14	24
Type of hospital (%)			
General	85	95	89
UMC	15	5	11
Private	0	0	0
Diagnosis (%)			
Acute fracture	11	82	42
Late post-traumatic	34	11	23
Osteoarthritis	26	2	16
Rheumatoid arthritis	18	0	10
Inflammatory arthritis	3	0	2
Osteonecrosis	0	2	1
Hemophilic arthropathy	1	0	1
Tumour	0	0	0
Other	7	3	5
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	28.1 (5.6)	27.9 (5.2)	28.1 (5.4)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)			
Underweight (<18.5)	0	2	1
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	30	27	28
Overweight (>25-30)	44	41	43
Obesity (>30-40)	22	27	24
Morbid obesity (>40)	4	3	4
Smoking (%)			
No	92	87	89
Yes	8	13	11

<sup>1</sup> Including distal humeral prostheses (n=2).


<sup>2</sup> Including radiocapitellar arthroplasty(n=1).

<sup>3</sup>Also contains 1 primary elbow arthroplasty of which the type of prosthesis has not been registered.

General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.

**Surgical techniques*****Surgical approach***

**FIGURE** Surgical approach for performing a primary elbow arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022  
(n=126)




Surgical approach	Number (n)	Proportion (%)
Posterior, Triceps-on	41	32.54%
Posterior, Triceps-split	9	7.14%
Posterior, Triceps-flap	22	17.46%
Posterior, Boyd	2	1.59%
Posterolateral (Kocher)	12	9.52%
Extensor split (Kaplan)	23	18.25%
Other	17	13.49%

Please note: In 2022 the registration has been changed. Therefore, no trend can be shown for the surgical approach.

© LROI 2023



***Fixation***

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in primary elbow arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

**Fixation (%)**

	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total (n):
Cemented	70.30	73.77	63.91	70.92	64.44	63.77	66.91	62.09	59.84	66.02
Cementless	22.77	23.77	33.83	28.37	34.81	34.78	31.65	37.91	39.37	32.30
Hybrid: ulna	5.94	2.46	0.75	0.00	0.74	1.45	1.44	0.00	0.79	1.35
Hybrid: humerus	0.99	0.00	0.00	0.71	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17
Hybrid: radius stem	0.00	0.00	1.50	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.17

EA: elbow arthroplasty.

**Bone cement****Antibiotics****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of antibiotics in bone cement in primary elbow arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022**Viscosity****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in bone cement viscosity in primary elbow arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

*Vacuum mixing system***FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system in primary elbow arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

EA: elbow arthroplasty; Separately packed: separately packed bone cement components; Pre-packed: Bone cement pre-packed in a vacuum mixing system.

**Most frequently registered***Elbow prostheses***TABLE** The most frequently registered total elbow arthroplasties and radial head arthroplasties in primary elbow arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022

Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Total elbow arthroplasties <sup>1</sup> (n)	70	65	58	70	65
Name; Proportion (%)					
Latitude EV	44.3	41.5	51.7	60.0	46.2
Conrad/Morrey	37.1	38.5	20.7	17.1	23.1
Latitude	2.9	10.8	15.5	12.9	12.3
NES	7.1	1.5	3.4	7.1	9.2
Discovery	7.1	7.7	8.6	2.9	6.2
Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Radial head arthroplasties (n)	46	46	45	60	45
Name; Proportion (%)					
RHS	56.5	56.5	80.0	65.0	42.2
Anatomic Radial Head	10.9	6.5	4.4	5.0	35.6
Explor	28.3	32.6	8.9	18.3	8.9
ICARA	2.2	2.2	2.2	6.7	8.9

<sup>1</sup> Including distal humeral prostheses.

© LROI 2023

**Types of bone cement****TABLE** The registered types of bone cement used during primary elbow arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2018-2022


Year	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
Bone cement used (n)	82	79	81	81	75
Name; Proportion (%)					
PALACOS R+G	24.4	34.2	35.8	28.4	36.0
COPAL G+C	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	18.7
PALACOS LV+G	6.1	6.3	7.4	13.6	12.0
Simplex ABC EC	30.5	24.1	25.9	8.6	9.3
Simplex ABC TOBRA	4.9	0.0	0.0	24.7	9.3

© LROI 2023

## Elbow revision arthroplasty

### Type of revision

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of revision in elbow revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022



RA: revision arthroplasty.

### Reasons for revision


**TABLE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in reasons for revision in patients who underwent an elbow revision arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2016-2022

Year	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	Total
Elbow revision arthroplasty (n)	57	61	58	63	52	44	76	411
<b>Reasons for revision: Proportion<sup>1</sup> (%)</b>								
Polyethylene wear	28.1	27.9	27.6	22.2	15.4	15.9	19.7	22.6
Metallosis	22.8	23.0	22.4	23.8	13.5	20.5	14.5	20.0
Instability	21.1	41.0	15.5	20.6	5.8	22.7	11.8	19.7
Loosening of ulnar component	15.8	18.0	17.2	17.5	23.1	13.6	21.1	18.3
Loosening of humeral component	15.8	14.8	15.5	12.7	30.8	20.5	14.5	17.3
Infection	14.0	3.3	15.5	15.9	23.1	18.2	23.7	16.3
Loosening of radial head component	21.1	18.0	20.7	15.9	15.4	13.6	6.6	15.6
Peri-prosthetic fracture	3.5	18.0	19.0	19.1	17.3	15.9	7.9	14.1
Malalignment <sup>2</sup>							7.9	
Revision after elbow removal <sup>2</sup>							2.6	
Other	12.3	24.6	10.3	14.3	13.5	15.9	15.8	15.3

<sup>1</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision. As such, the total proportion is over 100%.

<sup>2</sup> Please note: Malalignment and Removal after elbow revision were not registered before 2022.


© LROI 2023

**Surgical techniques****Fixation****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of fixation in elbow revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

RA: revision arthroplasty.

**Conversion to TEA****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in conversion of a radial head arthroplasty to a total elbow arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

RA: revision arthroplasty.

**Bone cement antibiotics****FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in use of antibiotics in bone cement in elbow revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022

RA: revision arthroplasty.

**Most frequently registered components****TABLE** The most frequently registered humerus, ulna, radial head and radial stem components in elbow revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2022

Humerus (n=24)	Number (n)	Proportion (%)
Latitude EV	8	34
Coonrad/Morrey	6	25
NES	6	25
Lateral Resurfacing Elbow	2	8
Latitude	1	4
Mutars	1	4

Ulna (n=31)	Number (n)	Proportion (%)
Latitude EV	20	65
Coonrad/Morrey	5	16
NES	3	10
Mutars	1	3
Unknown	2	6

Radial head (n=8)	Number (n)	Proportion (%)
ICARA	2	25
Lateral Resurfacing Elbow	2	25
RHS	2	25
Unknown	2	25

Radial stem (n=7)	Number (n)	Proportion (%)
RHS	4	57
ICARA	2	29
Unknown	1	14

© LROI 2023

**Most frequently registered types of bone cement****TABLE** The most frequently registered types of bone cement used during elbow revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=25)


Name	Number (n)	Proportion (%)
COPAL G+C	8	32
Refabacin Bone Cement R	5	20
Palacos R+G	3	12
Simplex ABC TOBRA	3	12
COPAL G+V	2	8
Refabacin Revision	2	8
Palacos LV+G	1	4
Simplex ABC EC	1	4

© LROI 2023

## Survival Overall

*Overall*

**FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of primary elbow arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2014-2022  
(n=1,211)




**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages of primary elbow arthroplasties

Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)						
	Number (n)	1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr
Primary elbow arthroplasty	1,211	3.46 (2.41-4.51)	7.52 (5.90-9.14)	9.59 (7.67-11.51)	12.42 (9.77-15.07)	13.60 (10.52-16.68)

CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023


In 2014-2022, 95 (7.8%) primary elbow arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within eight years after the primary procedure.

**By type of elbow arthroplasty****FIGURE Cumulative revision percentage of primary elbow arthroplasties by type of elbow arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2014-2022 (n=1,098)****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages of primary elbow arthroplasties**

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)					
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	8yr	
<b>By type of primary elbow arthroplasty</b>							
Total elbow arthroplasty	655	3.36 (1.94-4.78)	7.67 (5.44-9.90)	10.41 (7.67-13.15)	14.75 (10.77-18.73)	16.63 (11.95-21.31)	
Radial head arthroplasty	443	3.31 (1.60-5.02)	6.25 (3.80-8.70)	7.10 (4.40-9.80)	7.10 (4.40-9.80)	n.a.	

CI: confidence interval; n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk


© LROI 2023

**Survival TEA****Revision by patient characteristics****TEA by gender****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)				
		1yr	3yr	5yr	7yr	
<b>Gender</b>						
Men	162	3.2 (0.4-6.0)	7.8 (3.3-12.2)	10.8 (5.3-16.2)	n.a.	
Women	493	3.4 (1.8-5.1)	7.7 (5.1-10.2)	10.3 (7.1-13.5)	12.0 (8.1-15.9)	

CI: confidence interval; n.a. if &lt;50 cases were at risk


© LROI 2023

**TEA by age category****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

Age category	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)		
		1yr	3yr	5yr
>65 years	242	4.2 (1.7-6.8)	9.2 (5.3-13.1)	11.6 (7.2-16.1)
65-75 years	249	3.4 (1.1-5.7)	7.0 (3.5-10.5)	10.8 (6.1-15.4)
>75 years	164	2.0 (0.0-4.2)	6.1 (2.0-10.3)	7.5 (2.6-12.5)

CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

**TEA by BMI****TABLE Cumulative revision percentages**

BMI category	Number (n)	Cumulative revision percentages - Kaplan Meier (95% CI)		
		1yr	3yr	5yr
Normal weight (<25)	236	2.2 (0.3-4.2)	6.1 (2.7-9.5)	7.9 (3.8-12.0)
Overweight (25-30)	236	3.5 (1.1-5.9)	7.4 (3.7-11.0)	8.8 (4.7-12.9)
Obesity (>30)	171	5.0 (1.6-8.3)	9.2 (4.6-13.7)	15.3 (8.9-21.8)

CI: confidence interval

© LROI 2023

# Wrist arthroplasty

## Numbers

### Registered procedures

**TABLE** Number of registered wrist arthroplasties per year of surgery (2017-2022) in the LROI in April 2023


Year of surgery	Type of wrist arthroplasty						Total <sup>a</sup> (n)
	Total wrist arthroplasty (n)	Ulnar head arthroplasty (n)	DRU arthroplasty (n)	Hemi arthroplasty (n)	Other (n)	Revision arthroplasty (n)	
2017	35	13	10	1	14	17	95
2018	37	7	18	2	6	20	94
2019	43	7	30	5	20	32	141
2020	34	9	16	12	10	47	133
2021	27	4	16	16	8	26	102
2022	22	2	14	19	3	25	87
Total	198	42	104	55	61	167	652

<sup>a</sup> In 3.8% (n=25) primary wrist arthroplasties the type of primary wrist prosthesis has not been registered.

© LROI 2023


## Type of procedure

**FIGURE** Number of primary wrist arthroplasties and wrist revision arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands in 2017-2022



## Type of procedure per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of primary wrist arthroplasties and wrist revision arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=87)



Please note: in 2022, 18 general hospitals, 5 university medical centres and 3 private hospitals performed wrist arthroplasties.

## Primary wrist arthroplasty

### Demographics

#### Patient characteristics

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary wrist arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022**

	Plastic surgeon (n=32)	Orthopaedic surgeon (n=24)	Trauma surgeon (n=5)	Total (n=62)
Mean age (years) (SD)	62.1 (9.9)	67.3 (9.7)	64.0 (11.4)	64.6 (10.3)
Age (years) (%)				
<50	13	4	20	10
50-59	28	17	20	23
60-69	31	38	20	32
70-79	25	33	40	29
≥80	3	8	0	6
Gender (%)				
Men	50	46	0	44
Women	50	54	100	56
ASA score (%)				
I	31	4	20	19
II	59	42	20	48
III-IV	10	54	60	33
Type of hospital (%)				
General	72	92	80	81
UMC	6	8	20	8
Private	22	0	0	11
Diagnosis (%)				
Osteoarthritis	72	67	75	70
Post-traumatic	16	21	25	18
Rheumatoid arthritis	6	8	0	6
Osteonecrosis	3	0	0	2
Inflammatory arthritis	0	4	0	2
Other	3	0	0	2
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	28.3 (4.2)	27.1 (4.2)	35.2 (8.6)	28.3 (4.9)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)				
Underweight (<18.5)	0	0	0	0
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	18	42	0	27
Overweight (>25-30)	52	37	25	44
Obesity (>30-40)	30	21	50	27
Morbid obesity (>40)	0	0	25	2
Smoking (%)				
No	93	83	80	88
Yes	7	17	20	12

General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.

© LROI 2023

## Surgery and prosthesis

### Most frequently registered components

**TABLE The most frequently registered carpal, radial stem and ulnar head components in primary wrist arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2022**

Carpal (n=41)	Radial stem (n=20)		
Name	Number (n)	Name	Number (n)
RCPI	17	Freedom	8
Freedom	16	Distal radioulnar joint	7
Remotion	5	Remotion	3
Motec	3	Motec	2


Ulnar head (n=2)	Number (n)
Name	Number (n)
UHP prothese	2

© LROI 2023

## Wrist revision arthroplasty

### Type of revision

**FIGURE Type of revision arthroplasty of wrist revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2017-2022**



RA: revision arthroplasty.

### Reasons for revision

**TABLE Reasons for revision in patients who underwent a wrist revision arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=24)**

Reasons for revision	Number <sup>1</sup> (n)
Loosening of carpal component	11
Bone resorption of carpal component	8
Instability	7
Dislocation	4
Loosening of radial component	3
Bone resorption of radial component	3
Infection	2
Revision after removal	2
Peri-prosthetic fracture	1
Implant fracture	1
Loosening of ulnar component	1
Other	5

<sup>1</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision or re-surgery.


© LROI 2023

### Most frequently registered components

**TABLE The most frequently registered carpal, radial stem and ulnar head components in wrist revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2022**

Carpal (n=8)		Radial stem (n=3)	
Name	Number (n)	Name	Number (n)
Freedom	6	Freedom	1
Motec	1	Distal radioulnar joint	1
Remotion	1	Motec	1

© LROI 2023

**Survival****Overall****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of primary wrist arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2017-2022 (n=485)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages of primary wrist arthroplasties

	Number (n)	Number at risk (n)	Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
Primary wrist arthroplasty	485		
1-year revision (%)		409	2.48 (1.03-3.93)
2-year revision (%)		325	4.61 (2.57-6.65)
3-year revision (%)		244	5.88 (3.53-8.23)
4-year revision (%)		143	5.88 (3.53-8.23)
5-year revision (%)		73.5	6.57 (3.87-9.27)

CI: confidence interval.

Please note: The number of registered primary wrist and revision arthroplasties is not complete.

© LROI 2023

In 2017-2022, 15 (3.1%) primary wrist arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within five years after the primary procedure.

# Finger arthroplasty

## Numbers

### Registered procedures


**TABLE** Number of registered finger arthroplasties per year of surgery (2017-2022) in the LROI in April 2023

Year of surgery	Type of finger arthroplasty		
	Total arthroplasty (n)	Revision arthroplasty (n)	Total (n)
2017	186	14	200
2018	193	25	218
2019	263	24	287
2020	250	25	275
2021	230	16	246
2022	199	28	227
Total	1,321	132	1,453

© LROI 2023


### Type of procedure

**FIGURE** Number of primary finger arthroplasties and finger revision arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands in 2017-2022



### Type of procedure per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of primary finger arthroplasties and finger revision arthroplasties per hospital in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=227)



Please note: in 2022, 27 general hospitals, 2 university medical centres and 5 private hospitals performed finger arthroplasties.

## Type of primary finger prosthesis

**TABLE Type of primary finger prosthesis in primary finger arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2022  
(n=199)**

Finger joint	Thumb (n)	Index (n)	Type of finger			Total (n)
			Middle (n)	Ring (n)	Small (n)	
CMC	17	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	17
MCP	0	29	30	15	16	90
PIP	n.a.	19	30	29	8	86
DIP	0	2	1	0	1	4
Total (n)	17	50	61	44	25	197

Please note: In 2 (1.0%) primary finger arthroplasties, the type of finger was not registered.

© LROI 2023

## Primary finger arthroplasty

### Demographics

#### Patient characteristics by specialism

**TABLE Patient characteristics of all patients with a registered primary finger arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022**

	Plastic surgeon (n=102)	Orthopaedic surgeon (n=89)	Total (n=199) <sup>1</sup>
Mean age (years) (SD)	65.8 (9.7)	65.1 (10.9)	65.4 (10.1)
Age (years) (%)			
<50	9	6	7
50-59	14	21	18
60-69	35	36	37
70-79	37	30	33
≥80	5	7	5
Gender (%)			
Men	27	9	19
Women	73	91	81
ASA score (%)			
I	24	11	18
II	57	52	55
III-IV	19	37	27
Type of hospital (%)			
General	53	98	75
UMC	19	2	11
Private	28	0	14
Diagnosis (%)			
Osteoarthritis	80	46	64
Rheumatoid arthritis	17	52	33
Post-traumatic	1	1	1
Inflammatory arthritis	0	1	1
Osteonecrosis	0	0	0
Other	2	0	1
Mean Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (SD)	26.8 (4.9)	26.1 (6.4)	26.4 (5.7)
Body Mass Index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> ) (%)			
Underweight (≤18.5)	1	4	3
Normal weight (>18.5-25)	40	52	45
Overweight (>25-30)	30	33	31
Obesity (>30-40)	28	8	19
Morbid obesity (>40)	1	3	2
Smoking (%)			
No	87	90	88
Yes	13	10	12

Please note: in 2022, 1 (0.5%) patient had a primary finger arthroplasty performed by a trauma surgeon. For 7 (3.5%) patients with a primary finger arthroplasties the specialism was not registered.


General: general hospital; UMC: university medical centre; Private: private hospital; SD: standard deviation.

© LROI 2023

## Surgery and prosthesis

### Surgical approach


**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in surgical approach for performing a primary finger arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2017-2022



FA: finger arthroplasty.

### Soft tissue stabilisation

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of stabilisation in primary finger arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2017-2022



FA: finger arthroplasty.

### Most frequently registered components

**TABLE** The most frequently registered proximal and distal components in primary finger arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2022


Proximal (n=172)		Distal (n=8)	
Name	Proportion (%)	Name	Proportion (%)
MCP Implant Stryker	22.1	Tactys	62.5
Silicone PIP Integra	20.3	PIP Implant Integra	12.5
Silicone MCP Integra	14.5	Cap Flex PIP prothese	12.5
Silicone PIP Stryker	13.4	MAIA	12.5
Swanson	13.4		
KeriFlex MCP	3.5		

© LROI 2023

## Finger revision arthroplasty

### Type of revision

**FIGURE** Trend (proportion [%] per year) in type of revision arthroplasty of finger revision arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2017-2022



RA: revision arthroplasty.


### Reasons for revision

**TABLE** Reasons for revision in patients who underwent a finger revision arthroplasty in the Netherlands in 2022 (n=24)

Reasons for revision	Number <sup>1</sup> (n)
Implant fracture	15
Instability	11
Dislocation	10
Malalignment	6
Bone resorption of proximal component	5
Bone resorption of distal component	5
Loosening of proximal component	1
Other	0

<sup>1</sup> One patient may have more than one reason for revision.

© LROI 2023

**Survival****Overall****FIGURE** Cumulative revision percentage of primary finger arthroplasties in the Netherlands in 2017-2022 (n=1,318)**TABLE** Cumulative revision percentages of primary finger arthroplasties

	Number (n)	Number at risk (n)	Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
<b>Primary finger arthroplasty</b>	1,318		
1-year revision (%)		1,116	0.80 (0.31-1.30)
2-year revision (%)		878	1.75 (0.98-2.52)
3-year revision (%)		630	2.10 (1.24-2.96)
4-year revision (%)		378	2.26 (1.35-3.17)
5-year revision (%)		182	2.26 (1.35-3.17)

CI: confidence interval.

Please note: The number of registered primary finger and revision arthroplasties is not complete.

© LROI 2023

In 2017-2022, 26 (2.0%) primary finger arthroplasties were implanted in patients who died within five years after the primary procedure.

## Data quality

### Completeness

#### Coverage and completeness

**TABLE Completeness of registering hospitals and completeness of registered arthroplasties in the LROI based on the hospital information system in 2022**

	Number of hospitals in LROI <sup>1</sup> (n)	Completeness of registering hospitals <sup>2</sup> (%)	Median [range] number of registrations	Completeness of registrations <sup>3</sup> (%)
<b>Hip arthroplasties</b>		100		
Primary total hip arthroplasties	80		324 [1-1,023]	99
Primary hip hemiarthroplasties	78		68 [1-285]	92
Hip revision arthroplasties	81		36 [1-281]	97
<b>Knee arthroplasties</b>		100		
Primary knee arthroplasties	66		331 [22-990]	99
Knee revision arthroplasties	84		29 [2-418]	98
<b>Ankle arthroplasties</b>		100		
Primary ankle arthroplasties	9		2 [1-28]	96
Ankle revision arthroplasties	8		2 [1-6]	95
<b>Shoulder arthroplasties</b>		100		
Primary shoulder arthroplasties	65		37 [1-265]	97
Shoulder revision arthroplasties	59		2 [1-114]	95
<b>Elbow arthroplasties</b>		100		
Primary elbow arthroplasties	23		3 [1-21]	85
Elbow revision arthroplasties	15		4 [1-21]	87
<b>Wrist arthroplasties</b>		100		
Primary wrist arthroplasties	14		2 [1-11]	59
Wrist revision arthroplasties	10		1 [1-6]	85
<b>Finger arthroplasties</b>		100		
Primary finger arthroplasties	23		3 [1-26]	60
Finger revision arthroplasties	7		2 [1-6]	100

<sup>1</sup> Number of hospitals that performed arthroplasties in accordance with their hospital information system in 2022.

<sup>2</sup> Proportion of total number of hospitals that performed arthroplasties in 2022. The information provided regarding the completeness of registered hospitals is based on data available of the most recent update the Dutch Orthopaedic Association (NOV) provided us.


<sup>3</sup> Completeness of number of registered arthroplasties in the LROI in August 2023, compared to the total number of arthroplasties performed (based on the hospital information system) in 2022. This pertains only to hospitals that submitted data for comparison.

Please note: 3 hospitals (trauma surgery) and 5 hospitals (plastic surgery) registered not in the LROI and provided no hospital information system data for comparison..

© LROI 2023

## Overall completeness per arthroplasty

**FIGURE Completeness (proportion [%] per joint) of the registration of procedures in the LROI in 2022**



**TABLE Completeness (proportion [%] per joint)**

	Hip <sup>1</sup>	Knee	Ankle	Shoulder	Elbow	Wrist	Finger
Number of procedures in HIS (n)	47,352	37,104	142	4,143	259	129	327
Completeness of registrations in the LROI <sup>2</sup> (%)	97.9	99.2	95.8	97.2	85.7	64.3	62.1

<sup>1</sup> Includes primary total hip arthroplasties, primary hip hemiarthroplasties and hip revision arthroplasties.

<sup>2</sup> Completeness of number of registered arthroplasties (orthopaedic, trauma and plastic surgery) in the LROI in August 2023, compared to the total number of arthroplasties performed (based on the hospital information system) in 2022. This pertains only to hospital that submitted data for comparison.

Please note: 3 hospitals (trauma surgery) and 5 hospitals (plastic surgery) registered not in the LROI and provided no hospital information system data for comparison.

© LROI 2023

## Completeness per year

**TABLE Completeness (proportion [%] per joint) of the registration of procedures in the LROI in 2013-2022**

	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022
<b>Hip arthroplasties</b>										
Primary total hip arthroplasties	97	96	98	99	99	99	99	99	99	99
Primary hip hemiarthroplasties <sup>1</sup>	n.a.	92								
Primary hip hemiarthroplasties (orthopaedic surgeon)	71	84	88	95	96	96	94	97	96	n.a.
Primary hip hemiarthroplasties (trauma surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	50	64	65	63	68	74	n.a.
Hip revision arthroplasties	88	93	97	97	98	97	97	98	98	97
<b>Knee arthroplasties</b>										
Primary knee arthroplasties	95	96	98	99	100	99	99	99	97	99
Knee revision arthroplasties	90	93	98	98	98	97	97	98	97	98
<b>Ankle arthroplasties</b>										
Primary ankle arthroplasties	n.a.	80	91	92	100	98	98	95	95	96
Ankle revision arthroplasties	n.a.	55	67	94	87	83	55	95	96	95
<b>Shoulder arthroplasties</b>										
Primary shoulder arthroplasties <sup>1</sup>	n.a.	97								
Primary shoulder arthroplasties (orthopaedic surgeon)	n.a.	78	94	94	98	91	96	96	97	n.a.
Primary shoulder arthroplasties (trauma surgeon)	n.a.	32	25	n.a.						
Shoulder revision arthroplasties	n.a.	74	90	92	90	78	91	93	79	95
<b>Elbow arthroplasties</b>										
Primary elbow arthroplasties	n.a.	70	85	88	91	89	85	92	89	85
Elbow revision arthroplasties	n.a.	55	86	93	87	85	83	91	78	87
<b>Wrist arthroplasties</b>										
Primary wrist arthroplasties <sup>1</sup>	n.a.	59								
Primary wrist arthroplasties (orthopaedic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	71	29	55	70	73	n.a.
Primary wrist arthroplasties (plastic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	64	62	50	56	75	n.a.
Wrist revision arthroplasties <sup>1</sup>	n.a.	85								
Wrist revision arthroplasties (orthopaedic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	18	83	77	100	93	n.a.
Wrist revision arthroplasties (plastic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	25	50	50	86	75	n.a.
<b>Finger arthroplasties</b>										
Primary finger arthroplasties <sup>1</sup>	n.a.	60								
Primary finger arthroplasties (orthopaedic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	53	63	66	65	81	n.a.
Primary finger arthroplasties (plastic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	67	68	60	82	84	n.a.
Finger revision arthroplasties <sup>1</sup>	n.a.	100								
Finger revision arthroplasties (orthopaedic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	17	100	90	41	83	n.a.
Finger revision arthroplasties (plastic surgeon)	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	24	40	57	67	100	n.a.

<sup>1</sup> Completeness of number of registered hip, shoulder and elbow arthroplasties includes orthopaedic en trauma surgery. Completeness of number of registered wrist and finger arthroplasties includes orthopaedic, trauma and plastic surgery.

Completeness: Number of registered arthroplasties in the LROI compared to the total number of arthroplasties performed based on the hospital information system (HIS). This pertains only to hospitals that submitted data for comparison.


Please note: Ankle, shoulder and elbow arthroplasties were registered since 2014; wrist and finger arthroplasties were registered since 2016.

© LROI 2023

The completeness of number of registered arthroplasties in the LROI is determined every year in August. Improving data completeness and data quality by registering missing data is an ongoing process.


### Completeness primary THA per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary total hip arthroplasties in 2022




### Completeness primary hip hemiarthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary hip hemiarthroplasties (performed by an orthopaedic surgeon) in 2022




## Completeness hip revision arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for hip revision arthroplasties in 2022




## Completeness primary knee arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary knee arthroplasties in 2022




## Completeness knee revision arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for knee revision arthroplasties in 2022




### Completeness primary ankle arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary ankle arthroplasties in 2022




### Completeness ankle revision arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for ankle revision arthroplasties in 2022




### Completeness primary shoulder arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary shoulder arthroplasties in 2022




### Completeness shoulder revision arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for shoulder revision arthroplasties in 2022




### Completeness primary elbow arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary elbow arthroplasties in 2022




### Completeness elbow revision arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for elbow revision arthroplasties in 2022




## Completeness primary wrist arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary wrist arthroplasties in 2022

\* No data provided for comparison by the hospital


## Completeness wrist revision arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for wrist revision arthroplasties in 2022

\* No data provided for comparison by the hospital

### Completeness primary finger arthroplasties per hospital


**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for primary finger arthroplasties in 2022



\* No data provided for comparison by the hospital

### Completeness finger revision arthroplasties per hospital

**FIGURE** Number of procedures performed (based on the hospital information system) and the number of registered procedures in the LROI per hospital for finger revision arthroplasties in 2022




\* No data provided for comparison by the hospital

## Validity

### Overall validity

**FIGURE** Validity (proportion [%] per joint) of the registration of procedures in the LROI in 2022



**TABLE** Validity (proportion [%] per joint)

	Hip	Knee	Ankle	Shoulder	Elbow	Wrist	Finger
Number of procedures (n)	46,408	36,807	136	4,012	207	87	227
Valid registered procedures (%)	95.7	97.9	97.8	94.4	86.5	82.8	83.7

© LROI 2023

### Validity per variable

**TABLE** Overview of validity by variable for each joint of hip, knee, ankle, shoulder, wrist and finger arthroplasties registered in the LROI in the Netherlands in 2022

	Hip	Knee	Ankle	Shoulder	Elbow	Wrist	Finger
Number of arthroplasties (n)	46,408	36,807	136	4,012	207	87	227
Number of primary arthroplasties (n)	42,816	33,822	116	3,673	131	62	199
Number of revision arthroplasties (n)	3,592	2,985	20	339	76	25	28
General characteristics	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Gender	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Encrypted citizen service number	99.8	99.9	99.3	99.9	99.5	100.0	100.0
HIS patient number	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Date of birth	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Type of procedure	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Operating side	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Postal code	99.8	99.9	100.0	99.9	99.5	94.3	96.0
BMI	97.8	99.5	99.3	99.0	99.0	92.0	94.7
Smoking	98.8	99.6	100.0	99.3	98.0	93.1	96.0
ASA score	99.8	99.8	100.0	99.9	100.0	94.3	97.4
Fixation	99.8	99.8	100.0	98.8	98.46	90.8	93.4
Primary arthroplasty characteristics	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Diagnosis	99.5	99.6	100.0	99.1	100.0	96.8	96.5
Charnley/Walch score	99.4	99.5	100.0	98.6	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Prosthesis	99.9	99.9	100.0	99.7	99.2	96.8	99.1
Surgical approach	99.5	99.7	100.0	98.2	97.7	85.5	92.5
Revision arthroplasty characteristics	%	%	%	%	%	%	%
Type of revision	99.6	99.3	100.0	99.1	98.7	96.0	96.4
Charnley score	100.0	100.0	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.
Reason for revision	98.4	97.8	95	98.5	94.7	96.0	96.4

Please note: Validity by variable as determined in April 2023.

HIS: hospital information system; BMI: body mass index.

© LROI 2023

## General

### Methodology of survival analyses

The life span of a joint prosthesis is the time between implantation of a primary prosthesis and the time of the first revision. However, patients may die before the prosthesis needs to be revised (Figure).

#### Link between primary and revision arthroplasties

In order to assess a prosthesis' life span, follow-up time of all primary prostheses was examined. This was done by linking revision arthroplasties to the primary arthroplasties in the LROI by means of the encrypted Citizen Service Number (BSN). In this way, the correct revision arthroplasty can be linked anonymously to a primary arthroplasty. In about 11% of the arthroplasties, the encrypted BSN was not entered into the system, mainly in the first years of registration. Links between these primary and revision arthroplasties were established based on the LROI hospital number and the LROI patient number. As such, revision arthroplasties have been linked to primary arthroplasties of a patient when the patient underwent primary and revision arthroplasty on the same joint in the same hospital.


#### Kaplan Meier survival analysis

Survival of a prosthesis may be determined in various ways. Traditionally, the Kaplan Meier method is used. This method was developed for situations with one possible end point (such as death of the patient). However, in order to calculate survival of a prosthesis at least two end points are important: revision of the prosthesis and death of the patient. The Kaplan Meier method estimates the proportion of failed prostheses if patients would live on forever. However, a number of patients dies before the prosthesis requires revision. Consequently, fewer revisions are carried out than could be expected based on the model. That is why this method overrates the chance of revision.

#### Competing risk survival analysis

The competing risk method allows monitoring for several end points. When an end point occurs (such as death), other end points will no longer be available (such as prosthesis revision). The cumulative incidence (summed occurrence of an end point) will be calculated. Death of a patient is a final end point, the

**FIGURE SURVIVAL OF A PROSTHESIS.**



© LROI June 2017

prosthesis will no longer be revised and this finalizes the period that a prosthesis lasts. The time at risk will be the period from primary implantation to death.

#### Method comparison

In order to get a clearer picture of the difference in results between the Kaplan Meier method and competing risk method we have calculated the revision percentage within 10 years using both methods. The revision percentage was calculated for patients who underwent a total hip arthroplasty according to age group over the period 2007-2018.

This comparison shows that the revision percentage calculated by means of the Kaplan Meier method results in a higher chance of revision within 10 years. The difference is more pronounced in groups of patients with a higher chance of the competing event (death of the patient), as we can see in the groups of elderly patients (Table). This difference is still relatively minor, but will increase as follow-up extends. Consequently, this Annual Report estimates the chance of revision of a prosthesis by means of the competing risk method. However, for comparability with other arthroplasty registries Kaplan Meier revision rates are also shown.

**TABLE CUMULATIVE 10-YEAR REVISION PERCENTAGE OF PRIMARY TOTAL HIP ARTHROPLASTIES BY AGE IN THE NETHERLANDS IN 2007-2018.**

Age (years)	Number (n)	Cumulative 10-year revision percentage	
		Competing Risk (95% CI)	Kaplan Meier (95% CI)
<50	13,021	7.4 (6.8-8.2)	7.6 (6.9-8.3)
50-59	35,737	6.4 (6.0-6.9)	6.6 (6.2-7.1)
60-69	92,371	5.1 (4.8-5.3)	5.3 (5.1-5.5)
70-79	106,347	4.1 (4.0-4.3)	4.5 (4.3-4.6)
≥80	43,909	2.8 (2.6-3.0)	3.1 (2.9-3.4)

Please note: The primary outcome in a Kaplan Meier analysis is prosthesis survival, while this is the revision percentage of prostheses in the competing risk method.

In order to compare methods, survival as determined by means of the Kaplan Meier analysis is converted into the revision percentage (100% - survival% = revision%).

CI: confidence interval.

## Participating hospitals

### General hospitals

Admiraal de Ruyter ziekenhuis H(O) K A S E  
 Albert Schweitzer Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S W(P) F(P)  
 Alrijne Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S W(P) F(P)  
 Amphia Ziekenhuis H(O) K S E W(O) F(O)  
 Antonius Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S  
 Bernhoven H(O) K S E  
 BovenIJ Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S  
 Bravis Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S E F(O)  
 Canisius-Wilhelmina Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S W(P) F(P)  
 Catharina Ziekenhuis H(O) K S E  
 Centraal Militair Hospitaal H(O) K  
 Deventer Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S E W(P) F(P)  
 Diakonessenhuis H(O+T) K A S E W(P) F(P)  
 Dijklander Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S E  
 Elisabeth-Tweesteden Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S E W(O) F(P)  
 Elkerliek Ziekenhuis H(O+T) A K S E F(P)  
 Flevoziekenhuis H(O+T) K A S E  
 Franciscus Gasthuis & Vlietland H(O+T) K S E W(O+P) F(O+P)  
 GelreZiekenhuizen, location Apeldoorn H(O+T) K A S E W(O) F(O+P)  
 GelreZiekenhuizen, location Zutphen H(O) K S E  
 Groene Hart Ziekenhuis H(O) K S W(O) F(O)  
 Haaglanden Medisch Centrum H(O+T) K A S E W(P) F(P)  
 HagaZiekenhuis H(O+T) K A S E W(O+P) F(O+P+T)  
 Havenziekenhuis\* H(O) K S  
 Het Van Weel-Bethesda Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S  
 IJsselland Ziekenhuis H(O) K S  
 Ikazia Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S E W(O)  
 Isala Klinieken H(O+T) K A S E W(P) F(P)  
 Jeroen Bosch Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S E W(P) F(P)  
 LangeLand Ziekenhuis H(T) K S F(P+T)  
 Laurentius Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S E W(O) F(O)  
 Maastricht Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K A S E W(T) F(O)  
 Martini Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K A S E W(O+P) F(O+P)  
 Máxima Medisch Centrum H(O+T) K S E W(O) F(P)  
 MC Slotervaart\* H(O+T) K A S E  
 MC Zuiderzee\* H(O+T) K S  
 Meander Medisch Centrum H(O+T) K A S W(P) F(P)  
 Medisch Centrum Leeuwarden H(O+T) K S E W(P) F(P)  
 Medisch Spectrum Twente H(O) K S E  
 Noordwest Ziekenhuisgroep H(O+T) K A S E W(O) F(O+P)  
 OCON H(O) K S E W(O) F(O)  
 OLVG H(O+T) K A S E  
 Ommelander Ziekenhuisgroep Groningen H(O+T) K S E W(O) F(O)  
 Reinier de Graaf Gasthuis H(O+T) K A S E F(O)  
 Reinier Haga Orthopedisch Centrum H(O) K A S E W(O) F(O)  
 Rijnstate H(O+T) K S E W(P) F(P)  
 Rivas Beatrixziekenhuis H(O) K S  
 Rode Kruis Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S E F(O)  
 Saxenburg Medisch Centrum H(O+T) K S  
 Sint Maartenskliniek, location Boxmeer H(O) K S E  
 Sint Maartenskliniek, location Nijmegen H(O) K A S E W(O) F(O)  
 Sint Maartenskliniek, location Woerden\* H(O) K A S E  
 Slingeland Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S

Spaarne Gasthuis H(O+T) K A S W(P) F(P)  
 Spijkenisse Medisch Centrum H(O) K S  
 St. Anna Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S E  
 St. Antonius Ziekenhuis H(O+T) K S E F(P)  
 St. Jans Gasthuis H(O) K S E  
 Streekziekenhuis Koningin Beatrix H(O+T) K S E  
 Tergooi H(O+T) K S E W(O) F(O+P)  
 Treant Zorggroep H(O+T) K S E  
 VieCuri MC H(O+T) K S E F(P)  
 Wilhelmina Ziekenhuis H(O) K S E F(P)  
 Zaans Medisch Centrum H(O) K A S E  
 ZGT H(T)  
 Ziekenhuis Amstelland H(O) K A S E  
 Ziekenhuis Gelderse Vallei H(O+T) K S  
 Ziekenhuis Nij Smellinghe H(O) K S W(O+P) F(P)  
 Ziekenhuis Rivierenland H(O+T) K S F(P)  
 Ziekenhuis St. Jansdal H(O+T) K S F(P)  
 Ziekenhuis Tjongerschans H(O+T) K S  
 ZorgSaam Ziekenhuis H(O) K A S E W(O) F(O)  
 Zuyderland Medisch Centrum H(O) K S E W(O) F(O)

#### **University medical centres**

Amsterdam UMC H(O+T) K A S E  
 Erasmus MC H(O+T) K S E W(O) F(O+P)  
 Leids Universitair Medisch Centrum H(O) K S E W(O)  
 Maastricht UMC+ H(O+T) K A S E W(O+P) F(O+P)  
 Radboudumc H(O+T) K S E W(O) F(O)  
 Universitair Medisch Centrum Groningen H(O+T) K A S E W(O+P) F(O)  
 Universitair Medisch Centrum Utrecht H(O+T) K E W(P) F(P)

#### **Private hospitals**

Acibadem International Medical Center H(O) K A S  
 Annadal Kliniek H(O) K  
 Annatommie MC H(O) K A S  
 AVE Orthopedische Klinieken\* H(O) K A S  
 Berne Kliniek W(P) F(P)  
 Bergman Clinics H(O) K A S W(P) F(P)  
 CortoClinics H(O) K  
 DC Klinieken, Lairesse\* H(O) K S  
 Eisenhower Kliniek H(O) K S F(P)  
 FlexClinics H(O) K  
 Kliniek ViaSana H(O) K S  
 KneeClinic K  
 Medische Kliniek Velsen H(O) K S E  
 OrthoDirect H(O) K S  
 Orthoparc H(O) K  
 Orthopedie Kliniek Amsterdam\* K  
 Orthopedie Rijnmond\* H(O) K S F(O)  
 Park Medisch Centrum H(O) K  
 The Hand Clinic\* W(P) F(P)  
 Voor de hand F(P)  
 Xpert Clinics H(O) K A S E W(P) F(O+P)

H: hip; K: knee; A: ankle; S: shoulder; E: elbow; W: wrist; F: finger.

O: orthopaedic surgery; T: trauma surgery; P: plastic surgery.

\* These hospitals no longer perform joint replacement procedures

## Definitions and abbreviations

### Definitions

**Acetabulum component**

The part of a hip prosthesis that is implanted into the acetabulum – the socket part of a ball and socket joint

**Allograft**

Transplant of bone tissue from a different body

**Anchor question**

The anchor question (daily functioning) measures change in daily functioning after joint replacement. The anchor question (pain) measures change in pain degree after joint replacement. The score has a range of 1.0 to 7.0, with 1.0 representing very deteriorated and 7.0 representing very improved.

**Arthrodesis**

A procedure in which a natural joint is fused together

**Arthrosis**

Rigidity of the joint as a consequence of connective tissue adhesion

**Arthroscopy**

Keyhole surgery to examine and treat joint disorders

**Arthrotomy**

Opening a joint during surgery

**Articulation**

The two surfaces that move together (articulate) in a total joint replacement

**ASA score**

The American Society of Anaesthesiologists (ASA) score is a scoring system for grading the overall physical condition of the patient, as follows: I – fit and healthy; II – mild disease, not incapacitating; III – incapacitating systemic disease; IV – life threatening disease

**Autograft**

Transplant of bone tissue originating from the patient's own body

**Bilaterality**

Replacing the same joint on both sides of the body by means of a prosthesis within a specific period

**Body Mass Index**

Index for weight compared to body length (kg/m<sup>2</sup>); ≤18.5: underweight; >18.5-25: normal weight; >25-30: overweight; >30-40: obesity; >40: morbid obesity

**Bonegraft**

Bone transplant

**Bone resorption**

Process by which osteoclasts break down bone tissue

**Carpal component**

Part of a wrist prosthesis that is implanted in the patient's carpal bones

**Case mix**

Term used to describe variation in the population, relating to factors such as diagnosis, patient age, gender and health condition

**Cement**

Material (polymethyl methacrylate) used to fixate joint replacements to bone

**Charnley score**

Clinical classification system; A: one joint affected; B1: both joints affected; B2: contralateral joint with a prosthesis; C: several joints affected or a chronic disease that affects quality of life

**Competing risk survival analyse**

Method to calculate survival taking into account various outcomes, in this case revision and death

**Completeness**

The completeness of the number of registered procedures in the LROI, based on a comparison with the hospital information system of every hospital that performs hip and/or knee arthroplasty in the Netherlands

**Completeness PROM trajectory**

A PROM trajectory is considered complete when preoperative, 3-months (hip, shoulder) or 6-months (knee) postoperative and 12-months postoperative PROMs are reported

**Cuff arthropathy**

Osteoarthritis of the shoulder joint as a consequence of the tendons around the shoulder joint being affected

**Cuff rupture**

Rupture of a tendon of the muscles that are around the shoulder joint

**Cumulative incidence**

The added up incidence over a specific period of an event (such as revision of a prosthesis or death of a patient)

**Cumulative revision percentage**

Added up revision percentage over a specific time period

**Difference score**

Difference in calculating score between pre-operative and 3, 6 or 12 months postoperative scores

**Distal component**

Part of a finger prosthesis that replaces the distal phalanx

**Distal hemihumeral prosthesis**

Elbow prosthesis in which the distal part of the humerus (upper arm bone) is replaced

**Dual mobility cup**

Acetabular component that consists of a dual cup and, therefore, has two independent articulation points

**EQ-5D index score**

The EQ-5D index score measures quality of life. The score has a range of -0.329 to 1.0, with 1.0 representing the best possible quality of life.

**EQ-5D thermometer score**

The EQ-5D thermometer score measures the health situation. The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing the worst possible health situation and 100.0 the best possible health situation.

**Femur component**

Part of a hip or knee prosthesis that is implanted into the femur (thigh bone)

**Femoral head component**

Part of a hip prosthesis that is implanted on top of the femoral component of a hip prosthesis and moves inside the acetabular component or the cup of the hip joint

**Flail elbow**

Situation after removal of an elbow prosthesis in which no joint is present any more between the upper and lower arm

**Girdlestone situation**

Revision procedure to a hip in which the hip joint or hip prosthesis is removed and no new prosthesis is implanted (often because of a bacterial infection)

**Glenoid baseplate**

Part of a reversed shoulder prosthesis: a metal plate that is screwed into the glenoid (shoulder cup) of the shoulder blade, on which the glenosphere is fixed

**Glenoid component**

The part of a shoulder prosthesis that is placed in the glenoid; the cup-shaped notch of the shoulder blade

**Glenoid liner**

Intermediate component (inside layer) of a total anatomical shoulder prosthesis that will be placed in a glenoid component (most often a metal one)

**Glenosphere**

The part of a reversed shoulder prosthesis that is placed on the glenoid baseplate which is screwed into the glenoid and is spherical in shape

**HOOS-PS score**

The HOOS-PS score measures the physical functioning of patients with osteoarthritis to the hip. The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing no effort and 100.0 the most possible effort.

**Hybrid fixation**

Fixation of a prosthesis in which (most often) one of both parts of a prosthesis is cemented and the other one uncemented

**Humerus component**

The part of a shoulder or elbow prosthesis that replaces the humerus (upper arm bone). The humeral component of a shoulder prosthesis may consist of two parts: the humeral head and the humeral stem component

**Humeral liner**

Intermediate component (inner layer) of a reversed shoulder prosthesis that will be placed in a metaphysical component

**Inlay**

Intermediate component (inner layer), made of polyethylene

**Insert**

Intermediate component (inner layer), made of polyethylene that is placed in the tibial component of a knee prosthesis

**Kaplan Meier survival analysis**

Method to calculate survival, in which only one end point is possible, in this case revision

**KOOS-PS score**

The KOOS-PS score measures the physical functioning of patients with osteoarthritis to the knee. The score has a range of 0.0 to 100.0, with 0.0 representing no effort and 100.0 the most possible effort.

**Lateral collateral ligament**

Lateral (outer) knee ligament or elbow ligament

**Lateral resurfacing arthroplasty**

Elbow prosthesis in which only the lateral side of the joint is replaced

**Major revision (journey)**

Revision of at least the acetabular or femoral component (hip) or femoral or tibial component (knee). Journey: First revision of the acetabulum or femur/tibial component, regardless of whether a minor revision has already taken place. Therefor, the first three revision procedures were reviewed.

**Malalignment**

Strain on a part of the body due to an abnormal position of a joint component with respect to other components

**Medial malleolus osteotomy**

Surgical approach of the ankle in which the medial malleolus (protruding part of the tibia on the inside of the ankle) is incised and later re-fixed to be able to have better access to the inside of the joint

**Meniscectomy**

Meniscus removal

**Metallosis**

Deposition of metal debris in soft tissues of the body

**Metaphysis component**

The part of a shoulder prosthesis that replaces the metaphysis (upper part) of the humerus (upper arm bone)

**Minor revision**

Revision of only inlay and/or femoral head component (hip) or only insert and/or patella exchange (knee)

**NRS score**

Numeric Rating Scale score. The NRS (rest) score measures pain during rest. The NRS (activity) score measures pain during activity. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing no pain and 10.0 representing the most possible pain. The NRS (satisfaction) score measures patients' satisfaction with the outcome of joint replacement. The score has a range of 0.0 to 10.0, with 0.0 representing very unsatisfied and 10.0 representing very satisfied.

**ODEP rating**

Orthopaedic Data Evaluation Panel. ODEP provides ratings for hip femoral stems, hip acetabular cups and total knee replacement implants. An ODEP rating consists of a number and a letter (A or B), and a star (optional). The number represents the number of years for which the product's performance had been evidenced. The letter represents the strength of evidence presented by the manufacturer (A represents strong evidence and B represents acceptable evidence). A Star (\*) represents very strong evidence above A and B. Detailed information can be found at [www.odep.org.uk](http://www.odep.org.uk)

**Olecranon**

The most proximal part of the ulna

**One-stage revision**

A single revision procedure to change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) one or more components of the prosthesis (excluding patella addition)

**Open Reduction and Internal Fixation surgery**

Type of surgery to treat a bone fracture where the broken bone is reduced or put back into place, followed by internal fixation using devices (screws, plates, rods, or pins) to hold the broken bone together

**Osteoarthritis**

Disorder in which the cartilage of a joint is affected

**Osteochondral bone defect**

Defect of the joint surface in which both cartilage and underlying bone are affected

**Osteonecrosis**

Cellular death of bone tissue

**Osteosynthesis**

Securing broken bone parts together with plates, pins and/or screws

**Osteotomy**

Incise the bone in order to correct the position, to shorten or lengthen the bone

**Oxford Hip score**

The Oxford Hip score measures the physical functioning and pain of patients with osteoarthritis to the hip. The score has a range of 0.0 to 48.0, with 0.0 representing no functional ability and 48.0 representing the most functional ability.

**Oxford Knee score**

The Oxford Knee score measures the physical functioning and pain of patients with osteoarthritis to the knee. The score has a range of 0.0 to 48.0, with 0.0 representing no functional ability and 48.0 representing the most functional ability.

**Patella addition**

Knee revision procedure in which only a patella component was added to the primary knee prosthesis

**Patella component**

Part of a knee prosthesis that is implanted on the inner side of the knee cap

**Patellofemoral prosthesis**

Two-piece knee prosthesis that provides a prosthetic (knee) articulation surface between the patella and trochlea (furrow) of the thigh bone (femur)

**Primary prosthesis**

The first time (primary) a prosthesis is implanted to replace the original joint

**PROMs**

Patient Reported Outcome Measures

**Proximal component**

Part of a finger prosthesis that replaces the proximal phalanx

**Radial head component**

Part of an elbow prosthesis that replaces the head of the radius (spoke-bone)

**Radial head prosthesis**

Elbow prosthesis in which only the head of the radius (spoke-bone) is replaced

**Radial stem component**

Part of an elbow or wrist prosthesis that is implanted in the shaft of the patient's radius (spoke-bone)

**Recommendation score**

The recommendation score measures to what extend the patient would recommend joint replacement to a friend or relative. The score has a range of 1.0 to 5.0, with 1.0 representing totally disagree and 5.0 representing totally agree.

**Resurfacing hip arthroplasty**

Hip prosthesis in which the cup (acetabulum) is replaced and a metal cap is implanted on top of the femoral head

**Resurfacing shoulder arthroplasty**

Shoulder prosthesis in which a metal cap is implanted on top of the humeral head

**Reversed hybrid fixation hip prosthesis**

Fixation of a hip prosthesis in which the acetabular component is cemented and the femoral component is uncemented

**Reversed shoulder prosthesis**

Adjusted type of total shoulder arthroplasty in which the parts are implanted in a reversed manner. A sphere (glenosphere) is implanted onto the glenoid and a stem with cup in the shaft of the shoulder head

**Revision arthroplasty**

Any change (insertion, replacement and/or removal) of one or more components of the prosthesis

**Sauvé Kapandji procedure**

Arthrodesis of a natural wrist joint and construction of a new wrist joint by splitting the ulna

**Shoulder hemiarthroplasty**

Shoulder hemiarthroplasty with humeral stem, stemless hemi shoulder prosthesis (without humeral stem) or resurfacing shoulder hemiarthroplasty

**Synovectomy**

Removal of inflamed mucosa in a joint

**Talus component**

Part of an ankle prosthesis that is inserted in the talus (ankle bone)

**Tibia component**

Part of a knee or ankle prosthesis that is inserted in the tibia (shin bone)

**Total arthroplasty**

Arthroplasty in which the entire joint of a patient is replaced

**Ulnar component**

Part of an elbow or wrist prosthesis that is inserted in the ulna

**Ulnar nerve**

One of the three nerves that runs along the elbow. This nerve largely runs along the ulna

**Unicondylar knee arthroplasty**

Replacement of half the knee (either inner or outer side) by a prosthesis

**Validity**

Level of accuracy and completeness of registered data

**Vektis**

Vektis is a care information centre. Vektis collects and analyses data on the costs and quality of health care in the Netherlands. Vektis data mainly originates from reimbursement files of health care insurers. Therefore, Vektis has national data on medication use and use of aiding devices, data on primary health care and data on Diagnosis Treatment Combinations (DBCs/DOT) in hospitals and any other types of insured care in the Netherlands. In addition, Vektis collects demographic data, based on surveys among insurers and results of quality studies. [www.vektis.nl](http://www.vektis.nl)

**Walch score**

Clinical classification system for level and type of wear of a shoulder joint; A1: humeral head centred, minimal erosion of shoulder cup; A2: humeral head centred, substantial erosion of shoulder cup; B1: Posterior subluxation of humeral head, posterior joint cavity narrow, subchondral sclerosis and osteophytes; B2: posterior subluxation of humerus head, retroversion of shoulder cup with posterior erosion; C: retroversion of shoulder cup over 25 degrees, irrespective of erosion

## Abbreviations

<b>AA</b>	Ankle arthroplasty
<b>AO</b>	Antioxidant
<b>ASA</b>	American Society of Anaesthesiologists
<b>BMI</b>	Body Mass Index
<b>BSN</b>	Citizen Service Number
<b>CI</b>	Confidence Interval
<b>CMC</b>	Carpometacarpal [finger joint]
<b>D(IP)</b>	Distal interphalangeal [finger joint]
<b>DRU</b>	Distal Radioulnar [prosthesis]
<b>EA</b>	Elbow arthroplasty
<b>HIS</b>	Hospital Information System
<b>HA</b>	Hip arthroplasty
<b>IQR</b>	Interquartile range
<b>KA</b>	Knee arthroplasty
<b>LROI</b>	Dutch Arthroplasty Register
<b>MCP</b>	Metacarpophalangeal [finger joint]
<b>NOV</b>	Netherlands Orthopaedic Association
<b>NRS</b>	Numeric Rating Scale
<b>OA</b>	Osteoarthritis
<b>ODEP</b>	Orthopaedic Data Evaluation Panel
<b>ORIF</b>	Open Reduction Internal Fixation
<b>PE</b>	Polyethylene
<b>PIP</b>	Proximal interphalangeal [finger joint]
<b>PKA</b>	Patellofemoral Knee Arthroplasty
<b>PROM</b>	Patient Reported Outcome Measure
<b>RA</b>	Revision arthroplasty
<b>RHA</b>	Resurfacing hip arthroplasty
<b>RTSA</b>	Reverse total shoulder arthroplasty
<b>SA</b>	Shoulder arthroplasty
<b>SD</b>	Standard Deviation
<b>TEA</b>	Total Elbow Arthroplasty
<b>THA</b>	Total Hip Arthroplasty
<b>TKA</b>	Total Knee Arthroplasty
<b>TSA</b>	Total Shoulder Arthroplasty
<b>UKA</b>	Unicondylar Knee Arthroplasty
<b>UMC</b>	University Medical Centre
<b>XLPE</b>	Cross linked polyethylene
<b>Zo</b>	Oxidized Zirconium